```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                       FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
 2
                             NORTHERN DIVISION
 3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 4
               Plaintiff,
                                      Criminal No. 21-cr-0036-ELH
 5
    JACKY LYNN MCCOMBER
               Defendant.
 6
                                                Baltimore, Maryland
                                                January 9, 2024
 7
                                                10:03 a.m.
 8
 9
                  THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER CAME ON FOR
10
                               TELECONFERENCE
11
                 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELLEN L. HOLLANDER
12
13
                           APPEARANCES
14
    On Behalf of the Plaintiff:
         JEFFERSON M. GRAY, ESQUIRE
15
    On Behalf of the Defendant:
         PATRICIA RICHMAN, ESQUIRE
16
         CRYSTAL WEEKS, ESQUIRE
         ALLI KATZEN, ESQUIRE
17
    Also Present:
18
         JAMES PINE, ESQUIRE, NSA-OGC
AGENT HOLLY PEACY, NSA-OIG
19
         AGENT JULIE DAVIS, NSA-OIG
20
21
            (Computer-aided transcription of stenotype notes)
22
23
                                Reported by:
                         Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR
Federal Official Reporter
24
                     101 W. Lombard Street, 4th Floor
                         Baltimore, Maryland 21201
25
```

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

```
1
    (10:03 \text{ a.m.})
 2
             THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. This is the case
 3
    of United States of America v. Jacky McComber, Criminal Number
 4
    ELH-21-036. I am Judge Ellen Hollander.
 5
         Do I have a court reporter?
             THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
                                        Judge Hollander.
 6
                                                          It's
 7
    Ronda Thomas.
 8
             THE COURT: Thank you so much, Ms. Thomas.
 9
         Now let me ask for the Government, who is present?
10
             MR. GRAY:
                        Jefferson Gray from the U.S. Attorney's
    Office on behalf of the United States. Also with me are Jim
11
12
    Pine from the Office of General Counsel and then Holly Peacy
13
    and Julie Davis who are both agents with the NSA Office of the
14
    Inspector General.
15
             THE COURT: Can you spell Ms. Peacy's name, please.
16
             MR. GRAY: P-E-A-C-Y.
17
             THE COURT: Thank you so much.
18
         For the defense, who is on the line, please?
19
             MS. RICHMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. This is
    Patricia Richman from the Office of the Federal Public
20
21
    Defender, and I'm joined today by Crystal Weeks and Alli
22
    Katzen.
23
             THE COURT:
                        Okay.
                                From Weil Gotshal.
24
             MS. RICHMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
25
             THE COURT: Okay. We had a telephone conference on
```

week, today is January 9th of 2024. We did not finish that conference when -- I believe we were doing it over lunchtime roughly from 1:00 -- I thought it would be finished by 2:00 and we weren't finished, and Mr. Gray and I had another matter in court. So I think we recessed to approximately 2:11 or something like that, and we said we would resume today.

But in the interim, if my recollection was correct, there was some homework that was going to be done about availability for certain defense requests. And I don't know that there was enough time for counsel to actually go to NSA, but that had been contemplated, to look at I believe 471 emails in PDF format which would not then be searchable by a term.

I don't want to be the one summarizing necessarily, but that was one of my takeaways.

We were going through the defense letter of January 4, 2024, so that was one day before the conference, I believe that's ECF-401. And then there were points about that that we were -- and I say we, of course I don't mean me personally, but all of us. There were issues about some of the requests that we were going to be discussing.

And then also last night, I can't remember exactly the time, but somewhere in the neighborhood of 11:00 last night the Government filed ECF-404 regarding today's deadline for responding to some of the disputes with the hope of having them

1 narrowed. So we have a number of things to discuss today. 2 I don't have an order of preference. Does anybody wish to 3 begin? 4 MS. RICHMAN: Your Honor, this is Patricia Richman. 5 Not on substance, but I just wanted to flag at the outset of 6 this call that I have a sentencing at noon, a substantive issue 7 has arisen that I need to advise my client about before 8 sentencing. So I will have a hard stop on this call at 11:10, 9 so I can get over to lockup. And I apologize for the inconvenience. 10 11 **THE COURT:** Not a worry. And I sure hope we're done before that. 12 13 Okay. So is there anything, well, Ms. Richman, you have 14 the floor so to speak. Would you like to supplement anything I 15 said? 16 No, Your Honor. We have not heard from MS. RICHMAN: 17 the Government yet about setting up a time for us to go to NSA, 18 and we have not gone to NSA yet. We are interested to hear, 19 you know, it's our hope that on today's call the people from 20 NSA can illuminate sort of what the status of materials have 21 been gathered but not reviewed or produced, as of that sort of 22 January 2022 timeline, so that we can define the narrow scope 23 of inquiry that can be made in response to our request. 24 Because we are, you know, we are very interested in bringing

this to a close, finding a resolution either, you know,

25

learning from the Government that they've given us what they've got, or they would be able to do so by date certain.

So that's what we're hoping to resolve during today's call.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Gray, anything you want to say?

MR. GRAY: Your Honor, just to recap sort of where we stood last time around. We had begun going through the list of four items that were in the letter that's ECF-401. We'd had discussion about the performance evaluations, which is this first item. I had indicated that we had no reason to believe there were any signed or executed performance evaluations or any of the draft performance evaluations beyond what had previously been produced.

In addition, there's the whole issue, as Your Honor noted last time around, this applies to item two as well, that since the issue here is not whether the Ironbridge contract -- and the other 14 or so people working on the Ironbridge contract performed their job effectively, these performance evaluations are of -- to call it dubious materiality is probably an understatement, if anything. We were discussing the status reports. I think we were just beginning to reach item three.

And as to the emails, however, we had, I believe, reached a consensus on the emails that the defense was going to take advantage of the opportunity they were previously offered back in December to go out there and review at least the emails that

were addressed to, that were sent by the Defendant, or they
were addressed to her and a small group of others. It was -- I
mean, my assumption is they would advise us -- well, at any
rate. . .

THE COURT: So the question is how soon could that be made available to the defense?

MR. GRAY: Yeah, and I think the NSA people can address that, and I think it can be made available with reasonable promptness.

But, Mr. Pine, would you like to address that and/or Ms. Peacy? Since Ms. Peacy is the one who will actually sort of sit there and be present in the general office while the person from the defense on is onsite.

MR. PINE: What needs to happen is that they'll request a one time read-in be done, and that means that they'll -- we'll get the information regarding whichever attorneys are coming out. And I'm not clear on how many are planning on coming out. And we'll have them fill out the forms, which would give them a limited, like, we call it a one time read-in. They're read into a secret level of information to allow them to review these materials in case there is any classified information in them. And then they'll be read-out at the end of the time they're here.

THE COURT: I don't want to be -- what does that mean, read-out and read-in?

MR. PINE: You sign that you're aware of all of the --1 2 THE COURT: Oh. 3 MR. PINE: -- it's a couple pages, explaining that 4 that is potentially secret material, and that you're being 5 allowed access to it with the understanding that you won't 6 share it. 7 **THE COURT:** But what if they want copies? MR. PINE: Well, if they want copies, they'll identify 8 them and those will then have to be submitted for redaction 9 before the copies are provided. And, again, we'll be redacting 10 11 names --12 **THE COURT:** Okay. Can they take notes while they're 13 there? For example, I'm just making something up. I mean, 14 what you think is important and they think is important could 15 be very different. You're going to -- from what I learned 16 before, for example, names get redacted. But they might be 17 interested in content if it's just, theoretically, it said 18 something -- Ms. McComber was sent an email about she had 19 scheduled 10 interviews with prospective Ironbridge employees. 20 Are they going to be able to write a note that they can then, 21 in the meantime, before NSA -- and this isn't a criticism --22 goes through its process to release this information, they want 23 to write a memo to me and say in an email dated X, Ms. McComber 24 said Y. 25 Are they going to be able to do that?

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

MR. PINE: Again, their notes will have to go through a redaction process as well, a review and redaction. But we can prioritize that.

**THE COURT:** What if it's work product?

MR. GRAY: Your Honor, if I may address that, Your Honor. I mean, they would not -- well. Every time I go out there I can't take my notes with me when I leave because they have to go through classification review and redaction.

So, for example, when I went out there and spent a full day out there around the end of November, very beginning of December, I still have not received my notes back from that time that I was out there because they are still in the queue. So the idea that I think was presented, and perhaps in the Defendant's original email to me on last Thursday or Wednesday, that they wanted the stuff by January the 29th is, quite frankly, simply fanciful.

THE COURT: But this is the problem. I want this case to go forward with a hard deadline we have of the sentencing on March 7th. I don't mean to be rude or difficult. But I just can't deal with NSA's -- they have other things on their plate, I get it. But I'm just not concerned about those. I need this case to be finished. And I can't wait for three months to get the material if there is anything, and I'm not suggesting there is, it may not be anything of use. But if there is, I have to figure out, Mr. Pine and Ms. Peacy, what we're going to do to

expedite? We're just out of time.

MS. RICHMAN: Your Honor, this is Patricia, if I could be heard briefly on this. You know, we know now that Mr. Gray has gone and reviewed all of these emails himself. We know that he identified a subset of these with, you know, different, either "to" or "from" Ms. McComber or with a list of recipients. That was in early December. I think, my understanding, December 21st was for Mr. Gray to go ahead and produce that set of 471.

My concerns here with the process we're contemplating, we're happy to go and review and do the work, but it does seem like this is going to further attenuate things. Seems like we'll go do our review, we'll make notes that we won't be able to use in our memo, which is due I think February 6th, until they've cleared NSA review.

I would like to know whether the Government is committing to produce the emails that we identified during that review because in prior letters they told us that they weren't committing to produce anything to us, even after Ms. Derrow's review, which was part of the reason we decided we needed to go to court before we completed that.

This just seems like a very attenuated process, and it's going to take up a lot of resources. I say resources' time, Ms. Peacy's time.

I just wonder whether it would be more efficient to simply

produce the subset that Mr. Gray identified in early December because that is there. Maybe the eight hours that Ms. Peacy would spend in a room with us or 16 hours could be spent just checking those for, you know, the need for even redacting them.

THE COURT: Mr. Pine, that's accurate, isn't it?
(Overlapping speakers.)

MR. GRAY: Your Honor, Your Honor, I'm sorry. Just about everything Ms. Richman just said was a mistake or inaccurate. So I have to address that first.

First, she began by saying I had gone out there and reviewed all of the emails. We discussed this, I think, both in the hearing on the 21st and probably in the last conference call as well, that I said that the full day that I spent out there originally was sufficient for me doing -- pretty close to an email-by-email review -- to review the first three and a half months' worth of emails, which is about a fifth of the overall time period.

However --

THE COURT: When you say a bunch of emails, what time period are you talking about?

MR. GRAY: That's from when she started as program manager in mid-March of 2016 through the end of June of 2016.

Although that, in terms of the time period, is about a fifth of the total time period. It's more than that, I'm sure, in terms of the overall number of emails that she wrote or that were

sent to her and a small group of others. Because the volume of those emails dropped so dramatically, certainly by, like, July 31st and certainly by, like, September the 30th. So --

THE COURT: You have to put years on your conversation because this is a record and nobody reading this is going to know what you're talking about.

MR. GRAY: Sure. September 30th, 2016.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. GRAY: Right. Now, in terms of the emails that I had mentioned at the hearing, which were the roughly I think 171 or so that she sent herself and the other 289 that she sent to other people, we discussed this at the last conference call.

As I was leaving the courthouse with Mr. Pine, I said, "How long would it take us just to get those produced," and his answer to me was, "Could be a couple of months."

So that was something that I absolutely wanted to make sure the Court understood at the very beginning of the last conference call and that's when we discussed it.

So the pitch I made the last time around was given that doing what I would call just an *in toto* production of any email that she sent or that was received by her was going to be pretty time consuming because, Your Honor, you haven't seen what these redacted pages look like. I mean, a single page may have, because of the names and everything else, it may have 15 or 20 redactions on it. It's a painstaking, time-consuming

process.

And so what I suggested was, and this was based on my own review, that I know that probably many of those 171 emails that she actually wrote over this 19-month period are of no significance whatsoever. And so I suggested that what I thought still made sense was for them to take advantage of the chance to go out there and to go through those emails, boil it down to something more reasonable, like, maybe 60 or so, and then if we can do that. And then there's also the ones that were sent to her, that I think probably a lot of those she was basically just being copied on and that 299 might overall be of less significance.

THE COURT: Can I interrupt for a second --

MR. GRAY: No, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Excuse me, I was just confused because I thought that -- I'm trying to understand why this information wasn't produced before the trial. So much material was produced before the trial, but why wasn't this part of what was produced during the trial?

MR. GRAY: Well, Your Honor, the short answer to that, I'm going to give my understanding of it and then Ms. Peacy, who actually worked on the production can confirm it.

What was done, and this was basically accomplished for all of the high side emails by all of the named custodians, was that we ran keyword searches on those, and we did produce the

ones that were turned up on those keyword searches. And as I mentioned before, there are actually three Government exhibits at trial that contain a number of things.

THE COURT: Because this is important. Because this

is what I want to be clear about because -- and if this is incorrect, you need to tell me. But is it accurate that of this tranche of emails, to use Ms. Richman's word on other occasions, some of this has already been produced? It's not like all of this would be brand new necessarily; is that correct? They may have been produced by virtue of some other methodology.

MR. GRAY: Yeah. Your Honor, my understanding is that, yes, that is absolutely correct. And as I've indicated, and I think it's probably at least 100 pages, maybe 120, 130 pages of high side emails are already put in the trial record --

MS. RICHMAN: Your Honor, if I could be heard?
(Overlapping speakers.)

THE COURT: Whoa, whoa, only one of us at a time because the court reporter is super human, but she still can only get one person speaking at a time.

MR. GRAY: Right. Now, the person who has the detailed knowledge --

THE COURT: Wait. I'm trying to clarify. So some of what --

```
MR. GRAY: We could clarify it if we heard from
 1
 2
    Ms. Peacy.
 3
                           Your Honor, I would like to be heard.
             MS. RICHMAN:
             MR. GRAY:
                      No --
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Let me hear Ms. Peacy. First, Ms. Peacy,
    you heard what I said?
 6
 7
             MS. PEACY: Yes, ma'am.
             THE COURT: You were involved apparently, I didn't
 8
 9
    know you were involved, but I'm told you were involved in this
              So this identification of emails that we're now
10
    process.
11
    discussing, have some of these already been produced to the
    defense?
12
13
             MS. PEACY: Yes, ma'am. Her classified, top secret,
14
    secret, and confidential emails related to any of the defense
15
    search terms that we received in August of 2022, were produced.
16
    They were produced to the AUSA's office on the 10th of January
17
    of 2023.
18
         What was not produced was the search terms for her UFOUO
19
    emails. And the reason that was not produced is because we
20
    received an email on the 18th of January of 2023, telling us
21
    that they were moving forward with whatever had been produced
22
    at that time and to stand down.
23
             THE COURT: Can you give us that abbreviation again?
24
             MS. PEACY: Oh, sorry. AUSA attorney's office.
25
             THE COURT: No, no. You said US --
```

```
MS. PEACY:
                         I said AUSA.
 1
 2
             MR. GRAY:
                        UFOUO.
 3
             MS. PEACY: Oh, thank you. UFOUO. It's Unclassified
 4
    For Official Use Only.
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. That's what I wanted
 5
    the record to clarify.
 6
 7
         I don't know if that answers the question. It's helpful.
 8
    Some of it, in other words, may have already been provided.
 9
    What the defense is seeking to look at now, what you're saying
10
    is to the extent as of January 10 of 2023, based on search
11
    terms that were requested by the defense, it may encompass some
12
    of what we're now discussing?
13
             MS. PEACY: Yes, some have already been provided,
    ma'am.
14
             MR. GRAY: Well --
15
16
             MS. RICHMAN: Your Honor, may I speak?
17
         (Overlapping speakers.)
18
             THE COURT: No, no, whoa, whoa. I'm going to hang up
19
    and this conference is going to come to an end if you cannot
20
    follow my instructions which is one person at a time. Each of
21
    you will have all the time, subject to your own limitations of
22
    other needs, you will each have adequate time to address the
23
             But we have to have a method of doing it and it cannot
24
    be with multiple people talking at the same time. I know that
25
    you can't see each other so you can't tell who's about to talk,
```

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

but you can hear each other.

I've never once not let everyone have their moment. So
I'm not changing that, but you've got to work with me. I can
only hear one of you at one time, and I can only ask the court
reporter to take down one person at a time.

I don't know that Mr. Gray was done so I'm going to let him finish and then I will call you on, Ms. Richman, and you will have all the time that you want.

Mr. Gray, what did you wish to say on this topic?

MR. GRAY: Yeah, what I really wanted to do was just clarify with Ms. Peacy were all of the -- were what was produced on January 10th to the U.S. Attorney's Office --

THE COURT: January 10th of 2023.

MR. GRAY: -- right, did that consist of a complete review of -- was that just of A) the high side emails of just Ms. McComber, or was it sort of all of the named individuals, and B) was that a complete review of all of those emails based on the search term.

And the next thing I want to say is, I want to confirm whether that production, which got produced right at the time the defense said "we're ready to go to trial" and when we were, I think, eight days away from commencing jury selection, whether we then also produced that to the defense in light of the representations that they made on January 10th of 2023, that we're ready for trial and we're done. So I'm still going

```
1
    to need to confirm that.
 2
         But, Ms. Peacy, can you clarify for us on the record,
 3
    first, who all the emails had been searched as part of that
 4
    last production using keywords?
 5
             MS. PEACY: The production I just spoke to I was only
    speaking to Ms. McComber's emails. But also around that same
 6
 7
    time, on either the 10th or 11th of January of 2023, production
 8
    was also provided for Kristin Mair, Jonathan Smith, Regina
    Shirley, Jason Clark. That's it. For the search terms for all
 9
    of their emails and files as well. So they were all provided.
10
11
         The only thing that was not provided were Ms. McComber's
12
    UFOUO emails on those search terms and none of her classified
13
    emails were provided at that time.
14
         (Overlapping speakers.)
             THE COURT: Who chose the search words?
15
16
             MR. GRAY: That was defined jointly between the
17
    Government and Mr. Ahlers.
18
         I'm sorry, what?
             THE COURT: You have the terms that he wanted?
19
20
             MR. GRAY: I'm sure we have them somewhere. This was
21
    obviously handled by Mr. Cooch and not me.
22
             THE COURT: But I'm saying, to your knowledge, did
23
    Mr. Ahlers get the terms that he wanted?
24
             MR. GRAY:
                        Yes.
25
             THE COURT:
                       Okay.
```

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

```
MR. GRAY:
                        I'm sorry, Ms. Peacy, you said Kristin
 1
 2
    Mair, Jonathan Smith, Regina Shirley, and I think there was one
 3
    more?
 4
             MS. PEACY: Jason Clark.
 5
             MR. GRAY: Well, I'm still a little bit -- I'm trying
 6
    to make sure my understanding is correct and the record is
 7
    clear. So you've been drawing a distinction between
 8
    Ms. McComber's classified emails and her UFOUO emails. Can you
 9
    explain that distinction to us, Ms. Peacy?
10
             MS. PEACY: Yes, so the UFOUO emails, those
11
    unclassified emails were pulled off separately. They were
12
    originally searched. We did pull on the search terms but they
13
    never went to redaction and weren't sent anywhere to be
14
    redacted because right around that same time is when they
15
    decided they were going to let her come in and select the
16
    emails her, which she did over two days.
17
             THE COURT: I'm sorry, by her, just so the record is
18
    clear, you mean Ms. McComber?
19
             MS. PEACY: Ms. McComber, yes. She selected emails
20
    over two days, Ms. McComber did. We were in the midst of
21
    pulling those emails when a decision was made that we were
22
    going to move forward with the trial with whatever we had
23
    redacted and produced at that time, which is why everything
24
    stopped.
25
             THE COURT: Do you still have a record of what she
```

1 identified? 2 MS. PEACY: Yes, ma'am, I do. 3 MR. GRAY: We do. That's the 1,315 emails that we 4 spoke about frequently. And as a matter of fact, I think I 5 have now received a copy of her notes on that that went through 6 redaction. And I'm glad to tender that to the Court and 7 opposing counsel because it will certainly -- it's already been 8 seen, I think, by Ms. Derrow. But it will give you a clear 9 sense as to just, especially as time goes on, how few emails 10 she was sending out that she drafted or that were directed 11 specifically to her. 12 **THE COURT:** Okay. Are you done, Mr. Gray? 13 MR. GRAY: I think so, Your Honor. I'm likely to have 14 some rebuttal points to whatever Ms. Richman --15 **THE COURT:** But for right now. I just wanted to make 16 sure you had time to say what you wanted. 17 Okay. Ms. Richman. 18 MS. RICHMAN: Yes, Your Honor. I just have to start 19 by saying I'm a bit disturbed that my client's notes and 20 impressions of the emails she reviewed were produced to the 21 Government without them going to us. That, I think, is 22 privileged. So I'm interested to learn more about that and to 23 get a copy of those. I did not know -- and for that reason the 24 same concern that any work product that we produce at the NSA,

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

will NSA then head it over to the Government beforehand?

25

So that's the first time I'm learning that Mr. Gray was ever going to see my client's notes and impressions of those emails. I'm interested to see that production.

(Overlapping speakers.)

MS. RICHMAN: I would like to finish before Mr. Gray interrupts me. I'm sorry that I have been interrupting, Your Honor. It's often difficult to get a word in edgewise in these conversations.

THE COURT: I don't think that's accurate at all. At all.

MS. RICHMAN: Your Honor, the record will show what it shows, I would like to continue.

Your Honor, with respect to these emails, what I'm hearing is that search terms were sent, were run over the high side emails. These are not the high side emails. These are the UFOU. Probably I got that acronym wrong.

The Government, to the extent that it wishes to deduplicate the set against what has already been produced, we would gladly have them do so. We are looking for any way we possibly can to make this more efficient and to streamline it. Our requests for any discovery related to loss, and it's not just these emails, it's the other emails in our memo as well, that we submitted has been outstanding since August 3rd. The Government did not take itself to NSA to look at any subset of these materials until December, and it started with the emails.

At the November hearing where we raise it, we reference both the emails and other materials.

I'm hearing from Ms. Peacy that four of the 11 priority custodians, who were identified as potentially having responsive material, had their materials searched for key terms and produced. I'm wondering about the remaining set, eight custodians who were identified as priority custodians, and whether their materials are gathered, and whether they can be searched for the discrete items that are remaining.

At a certain point, Your Honor, our client has a due process right to a fair and timely resolution of this case.

Our discovery requests on this have been outstanding for many months now.

If, in August, the Government had said, well, look, there were these emails, there were these custodians, we'll take a look at those, we would not be having this delay and this discussion. I'm concerned about the amount of time this is taking. Even if in December the Government had begun the process of producing emails that had not been produced before, we would be so much further along than we are now.

I am incredibly concerned about the length of time it has taken the Government to even attend to these discovery requests and the amount of delay that it is taking to even determine what the next steps are.

My impressions of the December 21st hearing was that the

Court concluded that Ms. McComber was entitled to certain materials that could still be in the Government's custody and control and that the next step we were going to was what exactly the Government had to search to -- search for in connection with that.

And I'm anxious for a resolution here, but I'm also anxious about this delay. I think it does nothing but prejudice my client.

THE COURT: I just have to say your comments about the delay I find really surprising and disturbing --

MS. RICHMAN: Your Honor --

THE COURT: No, no, now it's my turn and I'm in charge.

The whole scope of this very much has felt to me all along like we were starting over, and I couldn't be more clear about the fact that we are not having a do-over. Any issues that took place, anything regarding the trial will be resolved by the Fourth Circuit. But I have given you a lot of latitude. I gave the defense a lot of latitude in the first place.

And your argument, as I've understood it, is that these are relevant to show that, in fact, they concern the amount of the Government's loss; and much of this strikes me as incredibly tangential to the hope of finding something that will support your claim that she did more than the Government is giving her credit for. And now we've been on this

```
incredible fishing expedition, which I largely countenanced.
 1
 2
    But for you to suggest this is, like, the Government's fault,
 3
    I'm really troubled by that assertion.
         There's a lot of explanation, shall we say, most of which
 4
 5
    I think are in the record as to what has accounted for some of
 6
                I think -- I feel everybody has done their best to
    the delay.
 7
    work expeditiously considering this is not -- it's ironic, I
    was thinking about this this morning. Mr. Gray is in the
 8
 9
    position the defense usually is in, with one defense attorney
    and an army of federal prosecutors. Now he's getting a taste
10
11
    of what it's like to be on the other side by himself, and
12
    there's an army of defense attorneys.
13
         You've created, and I know you have justified it,
14
    Ms. Richman, but this is a lot of work, and not in an ordinary
15
    situation. And it's difficult, but there are hurdles that have
16
    to be overcome. And I'm just troubled by the fact that you're
17
    now waiving this flag of delay, when the delay really was
18
    occasioned by the defense in the first place from my
19
    perspective.
20
             MS. RICHMAN:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
21
             THE COURT: I find that very troubling. Now, let's
    get the work done.
22
23
             MS. RICHMAN:
                           I just want to make a record on that
24
    point, please. Your Honor --
             THE COURT: I couldn't hear you?
25
```

```
1
             MS. RICHMAN:
                           I'm sorry, Your Honor. May I make a
 2
    brief record in response, please?
 3
             THE COURT: Go for it.
                           Thank you, Your Honor. We timely lodged
 4
             MS. RICHMAN:
 5
    our discovery requests with the Government on August 2nd, 2023.
 6
    We have been available to work with the Government to narrow
 7
    those discovery requests to issues of loss.
         If the Court wishes to make a ruling that we are seeking
 8
 9
    materials that are not relevant to loss and that we are not
10
    entitled to, we will take the Court's ruling. But we have been
11
    trying very hard for many months to work this out with the
12
    Government --
13
             THE COURT: I'm sorry to interrupt, but, in fact,
14
    you're right to this extent at least, most of this didn't
15
    involve me, and when it did, I jumped on it.
16
             MS. RICHMAN: We appreciate that, Your Honor.
                                                            Thank
17
    you.
18
             THE COURT: But I am trying to look at it as broadly
19
    as I can. I'm not going to make the ruling you're asking for.
20
    I've been trying to accommodate your position that these are
21
    relevant to show whatever it is you've argued they might show.
22
    And on that basis, I've been willing to give you at least what
23
    can reasonably be provided, narrowed, because some of your
24
    materials I'm having a hard time grasping what they have to do
25
    with Ms. McComber's work, as opposed to the contract work,
```

which is a very different animal. And the contract work itself
was never in any way impugned. So there's a difference, and we
talked about this last time. It's on the record from
January 5.

MS. RICHMAN: Yes, Your Honor. We discussed it on December 21st and on January 5th, what the relevance of these are to our theory of loss. We tried very hard to work with Mr. Gray and not bring discovery disputes before the Court.

THE COURT: And I appreciate that --

MS. RICHMAN: We appreciate your intervention in November, but I think the December 21st hearing makes absolutely clear that the Government took no steps to respond to our specific request on loss beyond a discrete matter that came up around stoplight charts and going to look at the emails in the first week of December. These are the delays I'm concerned about. I think if the Government had been working with us on this, from August 3rd forward, we would be in very different shoes.

MR. GRAY: All right. Your Honor, I need an opportunity to respond to that now.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GRAY: Let me start with what Ms. Richman began talking about at the beginning where she was getting very excited about the idea that her client's, as she put it, work product, had been in the possession of the Government and not

disclosed to the defense.

For one thing -- well, first thing is what these notes are, they do not, as Ms. Richman suggested -- she can put this worry aside -- they do not contain any impressions or any notes. They are simply a list of 1,315 emails that

Ms. McComber indicated she wanted us to produce after she was out at the NSA on December 7th and December 9th of 2022. As we indicated, have indicated a number of times, this 1,315 emails would take -- it would take months to go through the classification and redaction and review process on that.

Moreover, as you will see, what I tendered to you, I'll try to do it later today but, as always, I have lots of things going on, you can see specifically it lists dates of emails, it lists who was the sender, and it lists who was the receiver. You'll see that probably a couple hundred of these are ones where Ms. McComber was simply -- simply received an email that was sent out either to everyone at NSOC or everyone at NSA.

So there's nothing in terms of, like, her impressions, aside from just identifying she wanted these 1,300 emails, which theoretically would imply something about their significance, except for the fact that you can tell by looking at the list that many of these are not significant. That's all there is in terms of that.

I want to be clear, I think Ms. Peacy was drawing a distinction between the high side and the UFOUO emails.

thought all of the emails we were talking about here are high side emails. It's just some of them are UFOUO and some of them actually carry an expressed classification.

Is that understanding right, Ms. Peacy?

MS. PEACY: Yes, that's correct. They all came from the high side. I was speaking for the classifications of the emails.

MR. GRAY: Right, okay.

THE COURT: I would like to address, Mr. Gray, the contention of the defense that you're responsible, essentially, for the delay that's been occasioned since August 2nd or so, that when this request was made you've sat and done very little to respond.

MR. GRAY: Your Honor, we had a conference, an in-person conference about this on August 24th. And Ms. Richman has seemed to indicate that she thinks that I completely endorsed all of the requests for what they had put forward in the letter of August 2nd. I'm quite confident I never did that because, among other things -- well, I'll not go there.

Let me think for a moment.

THE COURT: Can I ask you this while you're thinking, I just want to ask this: We all know the history and the change of counsel and the trial had already taken place, and there had been a voluminous amount of production of the

1 exhibits in discovery before Ms. Richman and the Weil Gotshal 2 attorneys entered the case. My understanding was that when 3 that happened, Mr. Gray, that at least what I thought had taken 4 place is that you actually were -- I don't know what the right word would be -- I'll say of help, being essentially informing, 5 6 I'll use that word, informing new defense counsel by way of providing materials that had already previously been provided 7 to Mr. Ahlers. But maybe my understanding isn't correct. 8 9 that, in itself, was a big effort on your part. You were 10 redoing what had already taken place. And it is what it is. 11 And I'm not saying that you get a medal for that. But that's 12 my understanding.

You didn't just say, well, you're on your own and go find Mr. Ahlers' file. I thought you worked with defense counsel to bring them up to speed as best you could.

Is that correct or not correct?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GRAY: Yes, to go back to that, as I recall it, our initial idea is they would obtain what they needed from Mr. Ahlers, that was everything we produced to him. They were going to want to look through that and be confident that was what was in his possession at the time of the trial.

At some point in the summer of 2023, Ms. Richman got in touch with us, I tend to think it was maybe late June, and asked us -- expressed some concerns about whether they had really gotten everything from Mr. Ahlers that we had produced.

So she asked, basically, could we duplicate that production.

So we agreed to do that.

We put it all on a thumb drive, which we gave to them, this is, like, in the early July time frame, I think. And then I think it was about a month later that we heard from Ms. Richman that they couldn't make the thumb drive work, and they had some problem with it. We got the thumb drive, again, we couldn't see any problem with it. But we responded to whatever concerns they had and produced anything they asked for at that time.

I should note here, Your Honor, that also in addition to no longer having Mr. Cooch with me, Jermain Davis, who is the paralegal who handled this matter and right up to trial, and during trial, left the Government in April. So he was not available to us either.

Now, then in August they came in with the August 2nd letter, and I will say now that my reaction to the August 2nd letter was that -- I thought the August 2nd letter was asking for things that many of which had already been produced, many of which had been determined did not exist. And the only thing that I was somewhat receptive to trying to do by way of additional discovery in August was with regard to the email that had been identified by Ms. McComber back in December.

And as I believe I made plain to the defense at the time, the reason why when they kept saying, can you certify to us

that there is nothing of exculpatory value that is out there? Since I was not involved in the original email review and production, I couldn't make any statement about what was in the email beyond what I knew from the reports that the AUSA MacDonald and OIG Lori Hazenstab, that they had seen nothing that they considered of significant volume to the defense. And, if anything, they believe that overall particularly the overall pattern of the emails and the character of those emails was actually extremely helpful to the Government.

Now, it was also in that August time frame that the defense began raising what they'll first refer to as the personnel file. And when that came up, I mean, I first told them, I certainly never asked to see any personnel file of hers out of the NSA and was even a little doubting that there would be such a thing because she was a contractor and that turned out to be the case.

But once they clarified that it was the security file they were looking for, again, I thought their claim of relevance and materiality for the contents of that security file would be maybe questionable.

But for, you know, from Ms. Richman's claim that I never went out there until December, actually, the first time I went out there was September 30th, when I spent a day going through the two volumes of the security file that were where they were supposed to be, at a time when I had a massive appellate brief

due in the Fourth Circuit four days later. So for me to go out there, that was not a small ask at that time.

And then it turned out, after I gave a summary back to the defense as to what I had seen when I was out there -- because, once again, I couldn't get copies made promptly because they have to go through this whole process. I couldn't even bring my own notes out. They indicated that they thought there was something else I had missed --

**THE COURT:** Who is the "they"?

MR. GRAY: Ms. Weeks and Ms. Richman. It turned out that they had been in possession of documents that were obtained by the Defendant by an FOIA request back in February of 2020, that had led them to think that there might be some other stuff in the security file that might be helpful. They didn't tell me that in August. They didn't mention that they had these things. They didn't say based on these things, we believe there's other stuff. I didn't have the benefit of that knowledge when I first went out there September 30th.

I then followed up with the NSA in light of that, and I'll be glad to further paper the record with all of the email traffic back and forth, because it was extensive, and the email then determined that there was another volume that had not been included in the security file. It's not clear if it was in maybe the psychological assessment section, or something like that, that was also available.

So once again, I went back out there I think on, like, October the 17th and spent --

THE COURT: This is 2023?

MR. GRAY: -- 2023, and spent as long as it took to go through that and figure out what we thought might be relevant from that. And as a matter of fact, it was a day that we got a conference call in this case, and I had to leave the NSA premises and go back out to my car in the parking lot so I could get my cell phone and participate in the conference call from there.

I mean, trying to run down these defense requests as I indicated was done I think back on November 21st, was taking a lot of my time during a period in which I had a crushing appellate deadline, that all of the attorneys that originally handled it had left.

So, also, Ms. Richman has tried to suggest that in addition to that -- and I can assure you, and I've already produced substantial emails, and the court has seen them in my filing of, I think, God knows, December the 20th. Believe me, there are many, many more that I could provide to the court to make the record on this. Because, quite frankly, I was infuriated by Ms. Richman's suggestions in that letter that she sent to the court on I think December 9th that I had "slow-walked" this stuff.

When, in fact, I had repeatedly at times when I was under

extreme pressure in other matters had taken the time to go out there on what I continue to regard as this fool's errand because, and I'm sure we discussed this back at the session in August, too, this fixation that Ms. Richman has on trying to get all of these, like, high side emails.

As I have said repeatedly, this is huge, it's reason in and of itself for denying the defense's request. We have agreed, as part of the restitution statement that -- we decided to take this position as part of the restitution statement, we'll give her credit for 75 percent of the time she spent on site. So any -- the high side emails simply consist of stuff she would have drafted while she was at the NSA. So we've already agreed 75 percent of that time you can have and the 25 percent of the time that we're not agreeing to give her was the time that she either was elsewhere in the NSA working on the Silent Roar contract, or she was going to and from the NSOC site but was not present in NSOC work.

So why we are still having this enormous fight about these high side emails when it's pretty darn clear they will add -- first, a number of them have already been produced, and a number of them have already been introduced in evidence at trial. And to say that this stuff is of marginal value in terms of establishing how much work she did when she was high side at the NSA is an understatement. Because she, herself, repeatedly testifies, what I did was care and feeding of

employees, circulating around and talking to people and listening to people, et cetera, et cetera. None of that leaves a trace in an email record when you're talking about an office like NSOC that is, I don't know, maybe 60 feet across. They are packed into that office.

So this is -- this is -- I'm sorry to have to use these terms, you can call it a wild goose chase, you can call it a fool's errand. But there's just, you know, what I -- moreover, the idea that Ms. Richman is throwing rocks at us about having stymied this process, when we set up for them, in spite of all these reasons, these high side emails aren't going to matter any significance in terms of the amount of loss, nothing of material value -- we set up multiple dates when we agreed we would have people available.

Mr. Pine even indicated he would come in during the week between Christmas and New Years when he was supposed to be on leave. We had set up already a day and a half with a promise of an additional full day after the Christmas date.

And Ms. Derrow went out there for four hours and then had, as we all know from the transcript of December 21st, then had a call with Ms. Richman at which she said, I can't run this search or that search, and then Ms. Richman said so we decided to pull her back.

They didn't say, why don't you stay out there for the rest of the day since you're already there, and see how much further

you can get, and see how much more you can learn about what these emails show.

I mean, that's mind boggling to me.

If we had done all that, yes, we would have been done with this by at least the last week after Christmas and this thing could have been further under way.

But the bottom line is, to be continuing to waste this kind of time on the high side emails in light of the concession we had made in our restitution statement. In light of the fact that, I mean, Ms. McComber was out there for two days, and after having done that she then obviously agreed with her counsel that I want to go to trial now without getting these things. So I think that's a pretty good reflection of how significant she considered these things.

Your Honor, you're right. We need to get this done. But, I mean, I think the very fact that we're having this conversation and spending this long on the line, again, you know, demonstrates that, as I indicated in my last communication to Ms. Weeks on January the 4th, that it seemed to me that what we really need to do is just do a filing with affidavits attached to it that summarizes everything that happened so it's part of the record. Yet, that's something else that I don't have time to do because we're trying to keep everything on track here.

And -- there's one final thing I wanted to add. It's

escaping me right now. But the issue of the fact that all of this supposedly is about the amount of the loss.

It goes back to what I said also in the last call, there are 2,000 pages of NSA investigator transcripts in which people were asked about what Ms. McComber did, and what she, herself, was asked. That's before you get to the trial.

Then there's a roughly 3,000-page transcript of the trial. I think the Government called -- or in total there were 25 witnesses who testified, and most of who were people who worked in connection with the Ironbridge contract out at the NSA, and who gave their impressions of how much work she did. You know, that is an incredible gold mine of material for new defense counsel in this case to work with; and to continue to pursue these things just almost for the sake of a matter of form or because they feel that if we don't do this we haven't shown the necessary consciousness and diligence.

I mean, at a certain point in time you have to look at what's already there, which is some 32,000 pages of produced records and transcripts, of investigative transcripts, plus the 3,000 pages of the trial transcript itself, you know, plus the opportunity defense counsel had at trial to ask questions of any of these witnesses about what Ms. McComber did, and what documents there might be that might evidence it in. Plus, the fact of Ms. McComber's own extensive testimony in which she could have said, you know, and I know that there's

```
such-and-such a thing that I did that would reflect the work
 1
 2
    that I did or some work that I did. There just hasn't been
 3
    anything like that for the simple reason that she didn't do the
 4
    work.
 5
             THE COURT: Well, thank you, Mr. Gray.
         Now, Ms. Richman, I'm sure you want to say something back?
 6
             MS. RICHMAN: Yes, Your Honor, I'd like to note --
 7
             THE COURT: That was helpful, I think.
 8
 9
             MS. RICHMAN:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: I think that the only thing that's
11
    bothered me so far is the suggestion that somehow this is all
12
    the Government's fault, this delay, and that's what set me off.
13
    I'll be honest that was just, I think, unfair and inaccurate.
14
         We've all been working to the same goal, and there is a
15
    lot in here that I have to say, I've said it before, I thought
16
    was tangential, but I was willing to listen, of course, as I
17
    always am. And then I wasn't expecting you to start casting
18
    stones like that, Ms. Richman, I just wasn't.
19
             MS. RICHMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. At this point,
20
    Your Honor, it's incumbent on me to make a record about what
21
    the Government's efforts have been to respond to our request on
22
    loss.
23
             THE COURT:
                         Just can I say one other thing?
24
             MS. RICHMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
25
             THE COURT: By the way, this is just important because
```

you made your pint. I got your point. The record is clear, I think, and if you want to add to it by all means. Maybe the simplest way for you is to each submit something in writing so we don't have to listen to each other cast stones, blaming each other.

But the one thing I will say is your whole argument presupposes that you were entitled to any of this in the first place, that they were supposed to drop everything and give it to you. And that's where I was troubled. That's what I thought the conference was about, and I thought the hearing was about. It isn't a given that just because you ask for it, you get it.

So when you start accusing the Government of delaying and dragging its feet and not responding and not doing this and not doing that, I mean, it's not at all clear that you should get all of these things.

But I'd much rather -- I know you've made your point. If you wanted to submit something in writing so that the Fourth Circuit can read later, I think that's fine. You can do it at your convenience. The point I'm trying to accomplish is you have to leave soon, and I would like to get something done on the merits of these requests.

I personally think that I am incredibly generous in allowing some of this, I always was. I said that before. That's not news to you. I thought I was willing to give

```
Mr. Ahlers a lot more than maybe was even reasonable, but that
 1
 2
   was my ruling and I'll live by it. Now let's get the same
 3
    thing done here.
         Let's talk about the process that we're going to use to
 4
 5
    get you and your team to NSA to look at the emails.
             MS. RICHMAN: Yes, your Honor.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: I want to know from Mr. Pine or Ms. Peacy
    how we're doing this so we have a plan. What do the defense
 8
 9
    lawyers need to do to get this done. I cannot tolerate, I
10
    simply cannot tolerate a response by NSA once the emails are
11
    identified of a three-month delay. I just can't do it.
                                                            Ι
12
    don't care what you have to do to make it happen quicker, but
    you've got to.
13
14
         So let's talk about what's the process the defense needs
15
    to follow to get out there.
16
             MS. RICHMAN: Your Honor?
17
             THE COURT: Yes.
18
             MS. RICHMAN: May I please respond to Mr. Gray? I've
19
    had no opportunity to do so.
20
             THE COURT: No, I just said let's not use -- it's
21
    11:00.
            I know you think it's their fault. You made that
22
    point.
           If you wish to submit something in writing to cast
23
    aspersions that would be more than --
24
             MS. RICHMAN: Your Honor, this is not just about
25
    emails.
             This is also about loss. So --
```

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

THE COURT: I'm trying to get there. But I'm trying 1 2 to get to the first topic and then we'll go to the next topics. 3 MS. RICHMAN: I also wish the record to reflect that 4 Mr. Gray in his remarks used a mocking tone --THE COURT: He did not. 5 MS. RICHMAN: -- in describing -- yes, Your Honor, I 6 7 believe the audio speaks for itself. And I want to say that's 8 consistent with a pattern of ad hominem attacks against myself 9 and other counsel and has made this extremely difficult to 10 litigate, Your Honor. That is not the style in which I 11 litigate. But I would like to make the record that that is the 12 case. 13 **THE COURT:** Okay. I'm going to make the record that 14 it's 11:01, and we're going to end this call, because you need 15 to, at 11:10 with nothing to show for it. But if this is how 16 you want to spend the remaining nine minutes, go ahead. 17 MS. RICHMAN: Your Honor, we will submit something 18 written correcting the misstatements in what Mr. Gray just 19 stated, but we can attend to the matters in the email now. 20 **THE COURT:** Okay. I think that's more useful. Let me 21 get an answer to my question. I'm trying to accomplish 22 something for the defense. What is the system we're setting up 23 for the defense to go out to NSA and look at those however many 24 emails we said it was ASAP? So can either Ms. Peacy or 25 Mr. Pine tell me what they have to do.

```
Your Honor, I have to arrange with our
 1
             MR. PINE:
 2
    security people. I think what we need is some information from
 3
    the attorneys coming out, mainly their full name, probably
 4
    Social Security number, address, date of birth, place of birth,
 5
    some personal information that we put into our system.
    send them out the forms that they need to fill out. And I'm
 6
 7
    not sure how many people plan on coming out. If it's more than
 8
    one attorney? Have they thought about that at all?
 9
             THE COURT: I don't know. But, Mr. Pine, I need that
10
    form to them by the end of the day.
11
             MR. PINE:
                        Yes.
12
             THE COURT: And I need to make sure the first day they
13
    say they're available, that's the day I want them there.
14
    that a problem?
             MR. PINE:
                        Shouldn't be. Just because either the
15
16
    Inspector General has spaces that's we did it the last time or
17
    as the backup the General Counsel's Office has some places we
18
    could put them up. We have two standalone laptops that we
    could use so we could accommodate two different people
19
20
    searching or, you know, if they wanted to do two people on one
21
    laptop, that would be fine as well.
22
         I just need to know how many are coming out and the
23
    particulars of the people coming out.
24
             THE COURT: Is it possible that when I hang up, you
```

and -- I know Ms. Richman has to go, but if we finish maybe

11:15, an extra five minutes she could stay on the line and the lawyers for the defense can give you the information that you need to set this up and get them out there ASAP?

MR. PINE: I have to find out what particular information we need myself --

THE COURT: Well then you can exchange phone numbers and they can call you or you can call them.

MR. PINE: Absolutely. Absolutely. And email address as well.

THE COURT: Right. And there can be no delay. I don't know how to be more clear. Once they identify what they want, Mr. Pine and Ms. Peacy, I can't use your normal system because we're in the phase now where time is of the essence, too much time has gone by, for whatever the reasons.

I need somebody at NSA who understands the gravity of this and who is going to put this at the front of the line. I just can't -- I cannot tolerate any delay. I can't be more clear. If they're reasonable. Now, if you come back to me and say their requests are either unreasonable or frivolous, I'll have to hear you out. But if their requests are reasonable, then somebody is going to have to work extra and get this done.

MR. PINE: Along that lines, Your Honor, we had identified a smaller subset of the emails that excluded some of the more general distribution emails and it went -- it was about -- took off, like, 60 percent of the emails. If we could

1 start with just that smaller subset that would probably be 2 helpful right there. 3 **THE COURT:** I understood what we were looking at were 4 ones either to or from Ms. McComber herself or where she was 5 part of a small group. MR. PINE: Right. 6 7 **THE COURT:** Not a big distribution. Not a massive --8 MR. PINE: Right. That's the smaller group we're 9 talking about because the ones that Ms. McComber -- she 10 reviewed, were 3,000 some, but those included some larger 11 distribution emails. **THE COURT:** So we're down to the number that Mr. Gray 12 13 talked about, of that number. 14 MR. PINE: That's helpful right there. 15 **THE COURT:** Okay. Item two, status reports, including 16 project management status reports -- we talked about some of 17 this, I'm just going over it again -- for the Ironbridge 18 contract, technical status reports for the Ironbridge contract, 19 any other weekly or monthly status reports relating to the 20 duties and responsibilities of the program manager on the 21 Ironbridge contract, and monthly COR status reports. 22 So this is one number with really multiple requests under 23

the umbrella of status reports.

Ms. Richman, it would seem to me project management status reports, what's relevant here would be something related to the

24

1 program manager. 2 MS. RICHMAN: Your Honor, I'd like to turn to 3 Ms. Weeks who is more familiar with the particular status 4 I also want to preview that I may step away from the 5 call with the Court's permission and allow Ms. Weeks to take over representation. 6 7 THE COURT: Sure. MS. RICHMAN: This is an important conversation that I 8 9 don't want to attenuate. 10 **THE COURT:** Okay. And if you're done, you could come 11 back on if we're still on. 12 But, Ms. Weeks, on status reports, can you help me out 13 here? 14 MS. WEEKS: Yes, Your Honor. We are talking about the 15 status reports listed in item number two of ECF-401, which 16 would show that relate in any way to the work that Ms. McComber 17 was doing as program manager, whether she was drafting 18 reports --19 **THE COURT:** See, I think this is too broad. That's my 20 concern. This seems like it's about anybody's work on the 21 contract. 22 MS. WEEKS: Well, Ms. McComber was responsible for 23 submitting these status reports. 24 THE COURT: But that's like being the captain of a

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

ship. You're not going to be able to prevail on the ground

1 that because she's the captain of the ship she gets credit. 2 You're talking about what work she did personally, aren't you? 3 MS. WEEKS: Yes, Your Honor. For any, I mean, her job was to be the manager of the contract, if that's the captain of 4 5 the ship and she was steering the ship, she was doing her job. 6 To the extent that the status reports reflect what was 7 happening on the contract that she was responsible for 8 coordinating, organizing, planning, strategizing, communicating 9 with the Government on the 14 employees on the Ironbridge 10 contract, that shows she was doing work. She was not required to do that work in the SCIF at NSA. She could be doing it 11 12 offsite. 13 That is why we asked the Government --I don't know what substantive work she 14 THE COURT: could do offsite, so I don't know if I agree with you. 15 16 mean, I think -- understandably, you've painted a very broad picture of her responsibilities, but this is a very broad 17 18 request it seems to me and unduly burdensome and 19 disproportionate to what it might show that she actually did 20 for which the -- I'll use the word for which she would be given some kind of credit, if you will. 21 22 What I think is reasonable, at this point, is anything 23 under these umbrellas that show her personal work.

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

The fact that she's in charge of everybody and then let's

doesn't -- I don't understand your argument, I guess.

24

```
just say -- what you're talking about would be -- so, let's say
 1
 2
    we have Jason Doyle doing X, Y and Z substantively on the
 3
    contract, and because she hired Jason Doyle and she's in charge
 4
    of the contract that if there's something that Jason Doyle did
 5
    she must be doing it too. That doesn't hold up.
             MS. WEEKS: I don't think that's what we're arguing,
 6
 7
    Your Honor. We arguing that if she hired Jason Doyle and there
 8
    was work that was done to hire him, train him, and staff him on
 9
    the contract --
             THE COURT: That's different.
10
11
             MS. WEEKS: -- that's what we're talking about, Your
12
    Honor.
13
         (Overlapping speakers.)
14
             THE COURT:
                       Okay. So let's be reasonable here
15
    because, yes, if she is having a substantive discussion or a
16
    discussion with Mr. Doyle about the contract, of course they
17
    should produce that. But that's really not what number two
    asks for.
18
19
             MS. WEEKS: It asked for status reports --
20
         (Overlapping speakers.)
21
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
22
             MS. WEEKS: Status --
23
             THE COURT: You asked for technical status reports for
24
    the Ironbridge contract, as if anybody else's work would fall
25
    under that, even if she had nothing to do with it, just because
```

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

she's in charge. 1 2 MR. GRAY: Your Honor --3 MS. WEEKS: Go ahead. MR. GRAY: May I address -- thank you, Ms. Weeks, I 4 appreciate that. If I can address the technical status reports 5 6 narrow, specifically. I mean, for one thing, Ms. McComber has always said, 7 8 dating back to her original interview with the NSA, that she 9 did not do coding on the contract. There was -- Jason Doyle 10 was the technical lead and the person we also said was the 11 de~facto program manager. Any technical status reports he 12 would have been doing I think would have been done by him 13 onsite at the NSA and he was one of the (inaudible.) There is no reason whatsoever to believe -- I know of no 14 15 piece of evidence to indicate that Ms. McComber ever worked up 16 technical status reports of her own offsite. 17 And, moreover, and this is huge, if she prepared status 18 reports or any other status reports offsite, those would be 19 part of the InfoTek corporate records. They would be as 20 accessible to her as they would be to the Government. And if 21 that's the case then the Government is not required to produce 22 them under *Brady*. That is one of the most fundamental 23 principles of *Brady* law. And we attached these, I think, to my December the 20th 24 25 filing, you know, the Government exhibits that showed 24E, 1

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

through 3, that when a new government COR, Erica Heinz, 1 2 requested in late March of 2017, that those status reports had 3 not been done in quite awhile, apparently for six months, and 4 could someone please produce a status report. And so what 5 happened, we saw this at trial, we had the testimony about it, 6 and there were emails introduced relating to this. You saw the various emails introduced at trial. Some of these were low 7 8 side emails in InfoTek itself. She had to reach out to 9 Mr. Doyle and ask him, can you mockup a few bullet points for 10 me on this. And he said -- after asking for clarification 11 about what exactly she wanted on it, he managed to do it within 12 a matter of minutes, and then he transmitted it to her, and she 13 transmitted it directly to Ms. Heinze.

And so that's one of the reasons for my frustration. And I'm sorry to have to use these terms like fool's errand and wild goose chase, but we repeatedly point out things like this to the defense. It's there in the record, no status reports have been requested for six months.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And when she gets the email from Ms. Heinze making it clear that she now does want status reports, when she forwards it to Mr. Doyle, Ms. McComber, the first thing she says in it is "Ugh, we've been told we didn't have to do those and now we have to do them again."

And I just don't understand. When we point things out to the defense, things like that, it seems to float into the air. THE COURT: Okay. Let's get back on task.

So let's talk about the topic, I understand about -- what has already been produced or introduced.

Project management status reports for the Ironbridge contract. I don't even know what that is, but if they exist, how would that be relevant to Ms. McComber? That's the question. If they involve Ms. McComber somehow, not because it's her company or she's the program manager, but because she has something to do with the report it should be produced.

I understand the issue of the technical status report she wasn't able to prepare herself. But if there are any weekly or monthly status reports related to her duties as the program manager, monthly COR status reports. I don't know what that would have to do with her but. . .

I think the fact, what's troubling me, Ms. Weeks, there may well be COR status reports. I don't understand what that has to do with Ms. McComber. You've got to give me a way to tie it to Ms. McComber.

MS. WEEKS: Your Honor, we discussed this at the December 21st hearing in detail. It was there labeled as the stoplight chart in an email. It's called the COR monthly status report. We've gone back and forth I feel on every call. We go over the same territory again.

THE COURT: Well, I guess you're not doing a very good job of explaining it because I apparently don't seem to be

```
1
    getting it.
 2
             MS. WEEKS: Certainly not. Certainly not, Your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT: I don't know whether that was an insult
 4
    for me -- only one of us at a time. If you're trying to insult
 5
    me, that's fine. But, I'm sorry if I don't get your point.
 6
    I'm not remembering it or understanding it. You made your
    argument. And I think that the Government had produced
 7
 8
    anything that fell into these categories if it seemed relevant.
 9
         But now you've given me this very broad request.
    trying to work with you. I was actually trying to help you get
10
    what you want. But I need to narrow it, how does it relate to
11
12
    Ms. McComber? And you still haven't really explained it to me.
13
    If you're willing to narrow it, I'm willing to work with you.
14
             MS. WEEKS:
                        Those monthly status reports reflect
15
    openings on the Ironbridge contract which the Government argues
16
    are relevant to whether --
17
             THE COURT: But that's number three, wait a second.
18
    That's number three. Hiring and staffing, that's number three.
             MS. WEEKS: That would cover --
19
             THE COURT: I'm talking about number two. All of
20
    these status reports that are of a technical nature.
21
22
             MS. WEEKS: Okay. As long as the Government
23
    understands that three encompasses 2(b), to the extent that
24
    those monthly COR status reports relate, reflect to openings on
25
    the Ironbridge contract, we are happy with the Government
```

having that understanding.

The problem is that Mr. Gray made a representation on December 21st that the Government said, yep, we found that one COR status report and that was the only one we found.

What we keep staying, and I apologize that we are clearly deficient in explaining this to you, Your Honor, that NSA never completed the pull and search of documents. Ms. Peacy explained this morning that they only did so for four of the 12 custodians we're identifying. This particular COR monthly status report would have been in the possession of Don Pugh, who is not a custodian that they pulled the records for. All we are asking is that they go back and complete the search. That is it.

MR. GRAY: Your Honor, if I may respond to that very directly with some help from Ms. Peacy.

Ms. Peacy, did we pull the records for Don Pugh?

MS. PEACY: Yes, I didn't talk about those because those were not sent in the January time frame. They were sent in -- hang on just a moment and I can give you a date here.

Don Pugh's were sent on 14 November 2022. So we did pull those and sent those as well, as we did some of the others. I was just speaking to the ones we sent in that January 10, 11 and 12 of 2023 time frame earlier.

MS. WEEKS: Can I ask a qualifying question on that? Was it just emails, or was it also his share drive?

```
MS. PEACY:
                         So I have a list of search terms that were
 1
 2
    searched against his high side and low side email and his high
 3
    side and low side share files from 2016 to 2017.
 4
             MS. WEEKS: Thank you. That's helpful.
                                                     What about
 5
    the remaining custodians on the priority list?
             MS. PEACY: I can go down the list if you want me to
 6
 7
    do so.
 8
             THE COURT: Yes, please.
 9
             MS. WEEKS:
                        I think it would be very helpful.
10
             MS. PEACY: Sure. I'm going to go from the list from
11
    your email. Kristin Mair, all of those documents -- again, it
12
    is the emails, high side and low side, and the shared files,
13
    high side and low side from 2016 to 2107, that I'll be speaking
14
    of on all these people.
15
         Kristin Mair was sent on January 11 of 2023, and I have a
16
    list of search terms that were used.
17
         Jonathan Smith was sent January 10th, 2023, and again I
    have a list of search terms.
18
19
         Jason Doyle, his name did not appear as part of that
20
    August 2022 defense search, so he was not searched as part of
21
    that.
           His name was not listed in that original that we got in
22
    2022.
23
         Regina Shirley, hers were sent on January 11th, 2023.
24
         Tiffany Starr-Smith, we ended up doing only her high side
25
    files and emails because we did not have access to the
```

```
1
    unclassified. They were still pulling the unclassified when
 2
    trial started. But those high side files and emails were sent
 3
    on the 10th of January 2023.
 4
         Don Pugh, as I previously stated, was 14 November 2022.
 5
         Megan Collins was not part of that defense search from
 6
   August 2022. However, we did send Access Control records over
 7
    on 12/20/2022.
 8
         Sherry Guinther, there are limited search terms there.
 9
    did not find anything against those search terms. We did send
10
    Access Control records on 12/20/2022 as well for her.
11
         Rob Bryant, the only email we found was already sent under
12
    Kristin Mair. They were both on the same email. So that was
13
    sent in the January 2023 time frame.
14
         Jason Clark, he was searched. There were two different
15
    tranches sent for Jason Clark. The first tranche was sent on
    9 November 2022. The second tranche against those search terms
16
17
    was sent on 1 January 2023 against the list of search terms I
    had.
18
19
             MR. GRAY:
                        I'm sorry, that was Jason Doyle?
20
             THE COURT: Why did we leave out Jason Doyle?
21
             MR. GRAY:
                        Jason Doyle was the technical lead on the
22
    contract and as we indicated the actual de~facto program
23
    manager.
24
             THE COURT: Right. But how is it he didn't have --
25
    there was no search for him? So that's who needs to be
```

```
searched.
 1
 2
             MS. PEACY: I can give you the list of names that we
 3
    were given in August of 2022, if you would like.
 4
             THE COURT:
                         No, I'm sure if you didn't search you
 5
    didn't have it. But I'm trying to understand how you wouldn't
 6
    have had that name? Other than perhaps the Government had
    already obtained that information, I don't know.
 7
 8
         Mr. Gray, do you have anything you can shed light on here?
 9
             MR. GRAY: Not right off, Your Honor, because as I've
10
    indicated it was Mr. Cooch who took on the responsibility of
11
    dealing with these issues while I was dealing with a lot of
12
    motion on papers and things like that.
13
             THE COURT: Is it possible we can search for Jason
    Doyle for some of these topics then?
14
             MR. GRAY: Yeah --
15
16
             MS. PEACY: So we would have to get all of his files.
17
    We don't even have his files that I'm aware of.
18
             THE COURT:
                         What do you mean?
             MS. PEACY: So his files were not in the list that was
19
20
    originally requested, so they weren't in the list that our
21
    capabilities director was pulling; the copies of his emails and
22
    shared directories because they're on tapes they have to upload
23
            I don't even have them uploaded so they would have to
24
    pull them and upload them before we could search them.
25
             THE COURT: How long does that take?
```

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

MS. PEACY: Are we talking about all of 2016 and '17? 1 2 THE COURT: I don't know. 3 MR. GRAY: March 2016 through end of September of 4 2017. That's about 19 months. 5 MS. PEACY: I would think it will take a couple of They have to upload them one month at a time. 6 weeks. They 7 have to get the tapes from archives and then upload them one 8 month at a time. 9 **THE COURT:** Okay. What are we talking about with 10 Mr. Doyle? Are these going to be emails, or are these project 11 management, these topics, project management status reports? 12 They wouldn't be COR status reports because he wasn't a COR. 13 Technical status reports? I mean, do any of these fall under 14 any of the things listed in Paragraph 2 from anybody's 15 perspective of ECF-401? 16 MR. GRAY: Well, obviously, if there are -- if there 17 were high side emails from Mr. Doyle to Ms. McComber, they 18 would be included in her email, high side emails. If there 19 were low side emails between the two of them, those would be 20 included in InfoTek's emails, of which some large number, like, 21 1,800 pages or something was produced of those. 22 So, I mean, a lot of those should have been things that 23 were -- have already been in a position to be seen. And then 24 you have to apply to that the further testimony of people like 25 Jonathan Smith that he did not consider status reports

```
necessary because he and all of these people -- I mean, he
 1
 2
   literally worked in that same small NSOC space. These people
    were all within 20 to 30 feet of each other or less, within
 3
 4
    five feet sometimes, in terms of their locations of their
 5
    desks, on a daily basis.
             THE COURT: Ms. Weeks, were you trying to say
 6
 7
    something?
             MS. WEEKS: I was just trying to state for the record
 8
 9
    that I am shocked to hear that Jason Doyle's documents, emails
    and files have not been searched so far in this case.
10
                                                           I just
11
    am still processing that. And to the extent that we are taking
12
    back a motion to draft that will be reflected in there and our
13
    concern about that but he was the technical --
14
             THE COURT: I'm sorry what?
15
             MS. WEEKS: Basically, Ms. McComber's deputy is the
16
    technical manager and he would almost certainly have documents,
17
    you know, reflecting the work she was doing offsite. And I'm
18
    just --
19
             THE COURT: Well, I'm surprised, but also, as Mr. Gray
20
    just said, they're captured in other ways because if
21
    Ms. McComber was communicating with Mr. Doyle it would be in
    her emails.
22
23
             MR. GRAY:
                        Right.
             MS. WEEKS: We've discussed that we're not sure we
24
25
    have all of the InfoTek emails, Your Honor --
```

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

(Overlapping speakers.) 1 2 **THE COURT:** No, but I'm saying -- it sounds upsetting but on further reflection there's more than one way to get to 3 4 the same spot. His may not have been searched but -- and I'm 5 surprised myself, which is why I raised it, but at the same 6 time if Ms. McComber were communicating with him it would still be in her emails. 7 MS. PEACY: Your Honor, this is Ms. Peacy. I'm sorry, 8 9 I misspoke. That happened before I came on. I'm looking at the file from Maxine Meade. His files look like they were 10 11 pulled and it looks like they were all uploaded on 9 August of 12 2022. So that may have been before we got -- that would have 13 been before we got these search terms on August 30th of 2022. 14 THE COURT: But they were searched? 15 MS. PEACY: They were, yes. I'm showing 2016, 2017, a 16 few months of 2018, and one month of 2019 were all searched. 17 And it looks like August 9th of 2022 was the date that anything 18 was uploaded that they found during that search. 19 I'm sorry, what was the last date? MR. GRAY: 20 MS. PEACY: August 9th of 2022. 21 MR. GRAY: I'm sorry, was the what date or what 22 happened then? 23 MS. PEACY: It looks like that was the date that they 24 uploaded anything that was found relative to that. 25 MR. GRAY: When you say uploaded that you think was

```
found relative to that, you mean uploaded anything that turned
 1
 2
    up search terms?
 3
             MS. PEACY: Yes.
                               I don't know what search terms they
    were using, I wasn't involved then. The list I have is from a
 4
    30 August 2022 hearing. I'm not sure which search terms they
 5
    would have searched. But I do have his records, and it does
 6
 7
    look like he did produce some things from his record on
 8
    August 9th of 2022.
 9
             THE COURT: Well, thank you for the clarification.
10
         So, Ms. Weeks, we can all start breathing again.
         (Laughter.)
11
12
             MS. WEEKS: Yes.
13
             THE COURT: Ms. Weeks, let me go back to number two,
    this is a big one, if you ask me, the one that's the most
14
15
    problematic for me. I'm trying to narrow this because I think
16
    it's overbroad, and it doesn't focus enough on Ms. McComber, so
17
    I need your help to direct NSA and Mr. Gray to work on exactly
18
    what? I mean, not everything just because it involves
19
    Ironbridge is going to support your reasons for wanting this
    material.
20
21
         For example, technical reports about the work on the
22
    contract that she's not involved in have nothing to do with
23
         Even though you want to say to me that, well, she's in
24
    charge.
             It's not enough.
25
         So I would say that if there are any reports, status
```

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

reports, or other reports relating to her duties and responsibilities as program manager then I agree that those should be produced.

I would agree that any reports that involve work she did should be produced. I just don't know if these are the right characterizations.

MS. WEEKS: Okay. Your Honor, we are happy to strike these if that would help move these along. What you just articulated in terms of status reports relating to the duties and responsibilities of a program manager, that is what we are looking for, and is required by the contract --

(Overlapping speakers.)

THE COURT: That would make sense to me. Anything relating to the duties and responsibilities of the program manager on this contract, that would be something I agree with you about.

So I don't know how to go about effectuating that,

Mr. Gray. Here's where you come in or your colleagues at NSA.

MR. GRAY: Your Honor, I would --

THE COURT: You may have done this already. I'm not saying you didn't, but your claim has been that some of this, if not all of this, has already been done. But then that's a different issue. If that's your point, I'll address that when and if you confirm that.

But the bottom line is if it hasn't already been produced

or searched for, it's something I agree that the defense should get.

MR. GRAY: Right, Your Honor. But what I want to emphasize is that Jason Doyle, for example, testified extensively at trial and indicated that his contacts or taking things up with Ms. McComber were pretty limited and, you know, we had Rob Bryant and all sorts of people, Jonathan Smith, who testified that there was nothing approaching the need of the number of hours that she was billing NSA for her work as a program manager.

THE COURT: I understand that. But they're asking for if there are reports relating to her status reports. I don't know -- Ms. Weeks, are you saying these would be her reports or NSA's reports or both?

MS. WEEKS: Both.

THE COURT: Okay. So any reports Ms. McComber would have filed, I mean, I guess Ms. McComber could have them. But if NSA is in possession of any reports either that it received from Ms. McComber or that it sent to Ms. McComber relating to her responsibilities and duties as program manager on this contract, they may well have already been produced. I'm not for a minute suggesting they weren't, but I need somebody to verify. And if they haven't been, then we need to see if they aren't. I don't know how to go about that.

MR. GRAY: Yes, in terms of, you know, this sort of --

it lays out why I made the statement that I made in my response to Ms. Weeks in an email on January 4th. At this point, I don't see any way, unfortunate in some way as it is, to avoid the need for us to put together a filing of affidavits from Mr. Cooch, Ms. Peacy, and Maxine Meade, who was the previous NSA agent who handling sort of the earlier stages of this in the late summer and fall of 2022, that lays out what was done and what has been produced in a comprehensive systematic way.

I can tell you that, you know, Ms. Weeks is certainly aware of this, there is a lengthy list of NSA personnel that were, you know, asked to either search their files or if they had left had their files searched.

And in particular the defense, I'm sure, included the name of every single COR, administrative COR, probably technical COR like Jonathan Smith, and all of their files were searched for the search terms. So I think we're going to find out ultimately that all of this was done.

Just to go back to something like this one stoplight document, that is not a document that was prepared by Ms. McComber. It was a document that was generated by Mr. Pugh. And then further, as I recall, Mr. Pugh, as you may remember, actually left -- he retired from the NSA I think in August of 2017. And these were, you know, ongoing things that -- the only real significance that Ms. Weeks and Ms. Richman have been able to tease out of the stoplight report

was that there was one vacancy on the Ironbridge contract at the time, and this gets into relevance and materiality.

As I indicated, the Government introduced at trial an exhibit that showed all of the positions that got filled on the Ironbridge contract when Ms. McComber was the program manager in 2016, 2017. She, herself, testified extensively about who had to be replaced or who had to be massaged in order to be kept from leaving. That covers dozens of pages of trial transcript.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't mean to be critical.

You're all repeating yourself. We know that. That doesn't necessarily foreclose their, at this stage, I mean, that ship has sailed to some extent but now we're in a different phase. And the fact that those people testified or you introduced exhibits doesn't foreclose that there may be other documents regarding staffing and hiring, for example item number three, or status reports relating to the responsibilities and duties of the program manager.

So I realize that you may have already produced this. I certainly am sensitive to that, and I'm sorry about it. I mean, I don't know how to solve that. It's been presented in a different format for a different issue.

If you've got it, I don't know how to tell NSA to search for this kind of because I don't know what it involves. I don't know if it's that shared drive would have status reports

about the -- you could do a search on program manager. Maybe
that would then lead to or status reports, slash, program
manager, however you could search, we would have at least a
reasonable effort I'd say to find something if it exists.

Is that doable, Ms. Peacy or Mr. Pine?

MS. PEACY: We can do it against the UFOUO. I believe it's been done against the classified already. Some of the search terms we had included résumé, status reports, program management plan, and we had already searched those. So I believe most of that has already been done. But we can do it against the UFOUO emails as well.

THE COURT: Okay. So I'm interested in basically asking NSA to search for anything that would concern the duties and responsibilities of the program manager, which is item 2C, status reports, documents relating to hiring and staffing, that's number three. I don't know if you have this document, ECF-401. That's what I'm reading from. Paragraph 2(c) and number three, this may have been searched for but not necessarily with a fine eye to the defense's request about now trying to focus on reducing the Government's claim on the amount of loss.

And number four item, communication on materials relating to the project management review held in July 2017 and any other PMRs. I don't know what that's about, Ms. Weeks. I know that we talked about that, if memory serves me correctly, in

```
1
    December.
               But would you like to elucidate what the relevance
    of this is?
 2
 3
             MS. WEEKS: There was a project manager review that
 4
   was undertaken in July 2017. It was a meeting that
    Ms. McComber attended with several NSA officials about the
 5
    program management on the contract. It's directly relevant to
 6
 7
    the work that Ms. McComber was doing. We are asking for the
 8
    communication surrounding that meeting between the people that
 9
    were at the meeting that would be discussing Ms. McComber's
    work on the contract. And there are indications that there are
10
11
    documents that have been produced --
12
             THE COURT: I'm sorry, do we know who those people
13
    are? Could you tell us --
14
             MS. WEEKS: Yes, I can get a list. I need to go back,
15
    but I can get a list.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. So --
17
             MR. GRAY: Your Honor.
18
             THE COURT: Yes.
19
             MR. GRAY: This relates to Government's Exhibit 24, I
20
    believe it's H at trial, which was the program management
21
    PowerPoint slides for July 28th, 2017. And I believe we
22
    introduced emails, which there were a handful, back and forth
23
    between Ms. McComber and Mr. Doyle discussing that they were
24
    going to be having this quarterly review and her tasking him
25
    with, like, pulling together stuff for it. I think the emails
```

were introduced at trial.

I think we introduced -- we certainly introduced this 24H, the PMR itself.

It was, I think, three PowerPoint slides, and it was divided into two categories, one of which was program management functions, one of which was everything else, and it listed what had been done as part of the program management functions, or at least what InfoTek either considered significant or it could come up with. It was, like, signing off on two contract modifications, which, moreover, were Mr. Craig Plunkett's responsibility anyway and something else kind of generic.

But this is literally the document itself, the program management review PowerPoint slides are a Government's exhibit at trial. Exhibits relating to this are a Government's exhibit at trial. It shows that for a three-month period in which Ms. McComber billed 460 hours of program management time, which she was present at the NSA pretty rarely, they can only come up with, like, a couple of things to indicate what she's been doing. What else is there to dig into on this issue? Of course it was testified to by Mr. Doyle. Certainly Ms. McComber had the ability to offer any testimony she wanted about it.

I mean, that is the reason for my frustration here. We keep pointing these things out and they -- the defense is still

```
trying to suggest there's something more to be learned here
 1
 2
    beyond this.
 3
             THE COURT: Mr. Gray, did you say that -- you said it
    was Government Exhibit 24. Any -- the communications or
 4
 5
    materials relating to it weren't introduced in evidence, were
 6
    they?
 7
             MR. GRAY: I think some of the emails were, yes,
 8
    between Ms. McComber and Mr. Doyle.
 9
             MS. WEEKS: That's not what we're asking for.
10
             MR. GRAY: What in the world are you asking for?
11
             MS. WEEKS: We're asking for the communications
12
    between the NSA officials who were at the meeting about the
13
          So if, for example, I'm just saying names, I don't know
14
    if these people were there.
         If Kristin Mair emails Don Pugh and says, wow, this is how
15
16
    the PMR went, Jacky is sure doing a lot of work, or has other
17
    things to add to it. That would be relevant.
18
         You're saying you've produced documents and emails from
19
    Ms. McComber to Mr. Doyle. We are asking for NSA emails, if
20
    any exist, about the PMR that relate to Ms. McComber's work
21
    that would be relevant to the work that she's doing.
22
             MR. GRAY: That's Kristin Mair, who was the
23
    contracting officer's representative at the time, and as we've
24
    already said a number of times during the course of this
```

conference call her records were searched for with the agreed

```
search terms.
 1
 2
         (Overlapping speakers.)
             MS. WEEKS: And I think we need to see the search
 3
 4
    terms for this to be productive.
 5
         (Overlapping speakers.)
             MR. GRAY:
                        I'm sorry, what?
 6
             MS. WEEKS: I was saying, I think we need to go back
 7
 8
    and look at the search terms for this to be productive. We are
    asking for -- we weren't involved in drafting those search
 9
10
    terms before trial and we're asking for the issue of loss that
11
    was not an issue when those search terms were being drafted for
12
    trial to search for these particular emails.
13
         So if we need to provide search terms for this we are
14
    really happy to do so.
15
             MR. GRAY: Obviously, the first thing to do is
16
    confirm, A) that the stuff was searched for and generated by
17
    the NSA to the Government, and B) that because of the
18
    representation by the defense that they didn't want anything
19
    more that it wasn't like, you know, sitting in the Government's
20
    inbox or something when that drawbridge was pulled up by the
21
    defense.
22
         But then, you know, I mean, after that obviously there
23
    were a limited number of Government people who attended that
24
    meeting that's reflected in the documents that are already in
25
    evidence as Government's exhibit trial exhibits in this case.
```

And I just think we're going to find out that all of them have already had their records searched for and produced, and the only thing is to make sure that when the plug got pulled there was nothing that was left in transit with our office at that point.

MS. WEEKS: And that the search terms would have hit on this particular topic, but yes.

**THE COURT:** So what search terms are you looking for?

MS. WEEKS: Program management review, PMR, in or around -- probably just those two things because -- among the custodians that were at the meeting. There's also indications that there might be more than one PMR. I don't want to limit it to that period. For the indictment period the word "program management review," in quotes, and "PMR," in quotes.

THE COURT: So is it program management or project management? Because they're very different. So the project is about the project. But what I thought you wanted was about the program manager?

MS. WEEKS: Your Honor, I think it would be helpful to search those because I think people naturally conflate the terms and misspeak sometimes when they mean to say program manager. I think that's common even for people at NSA. So I would ask that project management review and program management review be searched.

THE COURT: So --

MR. GRAY: Your Honor, if I can -- I'm looking for this so just give me a second here because I think this may help you to understand this request in particular because I literally attached the relevant Government's exhibit trial exhibits to my filing on December 20th. Hang on for a moment. Let me see if I can find it. Maybe it was the 9th.

THE COURT: While he's looking, Ms. Weeks, but you're saying you don't know who the people were at the meeting, so how many people are you talking about?

MS. WEEKS: It's no more than four or five people,
Your Honor. I'd be happy to exchange search terms with
Mr. Gray.

MR. GRAY: Yeah, I mean, I think this is going to turn out to be a dry hole. It's going to be a dry hole that can be scooped out relatively quickly.

THE COURT: So I need to be clear. How are we leaving this? We're going to hang up and everyone else -- I'm going to hang up. Everyone else is going to stay on the line and make sure that Mr. Pine and Ms. Peacy have contact information for defense counsel so that a prompt arrangement can be made for defense counsel to go out to NSA and review the emails that were the ones that were involving just Ms. McComber, or a small group that included Ms. McComber during the relevant period of time.

And I think that number was in the 400 range, right?

```
1
             MR. GRAY: Yes, Your Honor, that was approximately 470
 2
    emails.
             I want to just emphasize this, too, that when we keep
 3
    saying that, oh, the NSA shouldn't be taking so much time to
 4
    get things done, bear in mind that if the defense -- and this
 5
    goes back to something that I said in an email to Ms. Weeks
 6
    that I don't think she fully understood around December 5th,
    December the 6th. If they don't just blanket say we want every
 7
 8
    single email that she sent, but if they exercise some
 9
    professional judgment in terms of whether this is significant
10
    or not. And so, for example, if we can get her 172 emails down
11
    to about 60 or 50, that would greatly expedite things.
12
             THE COURT: Right. So they should be judicious in the
13
    choice of what they want?
14
             MR. GRAY:
                        Right.
15
             THE COURT: Otherwise, this is just a process that I
16
    cannot totally control by any stretch.
17
             MR. GRAY:
                        It is significantly --
18
             THE COURT: If it isn't really something helpful, best
19
    to leave it. But what I understood that of these 475 plus
20
    emails, they may have some of them anyway.
             MR. GRAY: Yes, that is true.
21
22
             THE COURT:
                         These are not necessarily emails that were
23
    never produced.
24
             MR. GRAY:
                        Right. And then --
25
             THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.
```

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

MR. GRAY: One final thing I want to make clear is when I advised Ms. Weeks in that email on December the 5th or December the 6th that we were not going to make a blanket commitment in advance to produce anything they asked for, my concern was I didn't want them coming out and then just saying, okay, we're just going to reiterate Ms. McComber's demand that we get 1,300 emails because I knew that would take months. And, moreover, I knew by that point of having looked at a lot of them, and having seen Ms. McComber's list, that the vast majority of those were of no significance whatsoever.

So that was what I was trying to indicate even then, looking ahead to Ms. Derrow's visit, that the defense has significantly within its own control the ability to expedite this process by focusing on things that really matter.

THE COURT: Okay. The next thing that's going to happen -- that's number one. The next thing that's going to happen is that we need NSA, with the help of both sides, to conduct a search. This may have already taken place, but verify if it has, verify if it hasn't. If it hasn't, it needs to be done: So-called monthly status reports relating to the duties and responsibilities of the program manager of the Ironbridge contract, and communications or materials that pertain to the project management review in July of 2017. That's among NSA people who were participants, four or five of them. And it's identified as project management review but it

```
1
    could also be a program management review.
                                                Because right or
 2
   wrong, the terms are sometimes used interchangeably.
 3
                        Just to be clear, Your Honor, as I'm
             MR. GRAY:
 4
    saying, this document is in evidence and I think it's
    Government's Exhibit 24H.
 5
             THE COURT: Right. The document is, but what they
 6
 7
    were seeking, isn't that the communications or materials among
 8
    personnel at NSA about it.
 9
             MR. GRAY: Okay. All right.
             THE COURT: Which is related to what's in evidence but
10
11
    not the same.
12
             MR. GRAY: Yes, it's the same. I do believe those
13
    have already been covered by the searches of Kristin Mair
    and --
14
             THE COURT: And if anybody can verify that, that's
15
16
          So then we don't need to do it again. It's just that
17
    I'm not in a position to verify it that's for sure, so I can't
18
         I'm simply addressing what the request is. And if you're
    able to establish this has been done, that's fine. I'm not
19
20
    asking the Government to do it twice. I just can't tell you
21
    off the top of my head, I have no clue if this has been done.
22
         Is this helpful? Are we finished?
             MR. GRAY: I think we're finished as to that issue.
23
24
             THE COURT: Is there any other issue? Okay.
             MR. GRAY: No, Your Honor. Aside from the fact that I
25
```

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

think the Government is also going to have to turn its attention over the next few weeks to preparing a summary document that lays out in systematic form what was searched for when and all that so that's part of the record.

MS. WEEKS: Your Honor, I'm sorry, I realized I was on mute. I was trying to speak. I think you might have left out request three from your list that you were just discussing from the -- relating to hiring and staffing of Ironbridge contract.

THE COURT: I think you're right. I did. And I apologize. I did leave it out by mistake. Yes, number three also. Again, this may already have been done so I'm not asking the Government to do it twice. Number three, once again, is documents relating to hiring and staffing of Ironbridge. Once again, limited to Ms. McComber. I'm not talking about just anybody, the whole point is what she did. All of this is with an eye towards what Ms. McComber was doing.

So and, Mr. Gray, I know that your point is that this has been covered at the trial. I just don't know if all of this was, like anything else, you picked what you wanted but I don't know that that doesn't mean there weren't other things.

MR. GRAY: Your Honor, what I indicated in particular this was the centerpiece of Ms. McComber's direct examination by Mr. Ahlers was talking about all of the people that either were hired during the 2016/2017 period, or that were thinking of leaving that she supposedly had to dissuade from it.

(Overlapping speakers.) 1 2 THE COURT: Right. I understand that, so the answer 3 may be this has already been produced. I'm simply saying I 4 can't obviously speak to that. I have no idea. So if you're able to tell the defense that this search was made and 5 6 everything was -- I mean, I know you weren't personally involved, Mr. Cooch was. But if you can confer with NSA and 7 determine that the search was made. 8 9 I mean, listen, nobody can demand perfection but what we 10 were expecting is a serious and comprehensive effort. 11 not -- mistakes get made. There's a lot of material here, but 12 I think the expectation would be that this is something that 13 was actually searched for, not just -- the Government obviously 14 was -- you're right, this was a big part of the testimony. 15 I don't know what that means in terms of what the search was. 16 MS. WEEKS: Your Honor, this is Ms. Weeks, to the 17 extent, Mr. Gray, you've mentioned several times Ms. McComber's 18 testimony about who was hired onto the contract, that does not 19 speak to who else might have been interviewed for those 20 positions. I don't think that was all encompassing because of 21 the work that was done on hiring. 22 (Overlapping speakers.) 23 MR. GRAY: Go ahead. 24 THE COURT: Well, I'm --25 (Overlapping speakers.)

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

THE COURT: I was going to say this, I obviously, I mean, excuse me, a year after the trial ended I could not swear to anything she or anything anyone else said at that trial. But I think the gist of the point was that if she's interviewed people she didn't hire, but spent time doing it, that's the kind of thing she testified about. I think that was what Mr. Gray was trying to capture.

MR. GRAY: Right, Your Honor. And, in particular, as I mentioned -- actually I don't think I mentioned this in one of the filings, I mentioned it in the discussion last week, Ms. McComber admitted on cross-examination that two-thirds of the people who were brought aboard during her time as program manager already worked for InfoTek in some other capacity. So she didn't need to go out and locate them and recruit them. That is her testimony in the trial record.

THE COURT: So that's, from my perspective at the moment, neither here nor there. The issue is, the request is if they may well have been covered in her testimony, but I can't say, I'm not obviously able to say whether all of the documents relating to hiring and staffing, as captured by number three on ECF-401, were, in fact, produced or searched for. So if that's something that's been done then it's done. But if it wasn't done, then I'm asking that it be done because that was a big part of her responsibility from what I gather that didn't have to be done at NSA.

So I know the people at NSA seem quite capable, and they have very detailed records of what they did. So if this can be reviewed that would be helpful.

I don't know if that was a search term or was it a search term or how we can search for it but that's what they're asking for, and I don't think it's unreasonable.

MR. GRAY: Yeah, I mean, the one -- well. Let me mention this because this does occur to me too, since these people are contractors they're not NSA employees. I guess some kind of résumé would have been transmitted to NSA. But they would have presumably personnel files at ITK itself and anything related to, like, outreach to identify these people, or setting up lunch meetings, or, you know, for the few who, I think it was, like, maybe three or four who weren't already InfoTek employees, that's all in InfoTek's file. And a lot of that wouldn't even be at the NSA's file.

MS. WEEKS: Mr. Gray, we are not asking the Government to produce anything that's not in their custody or control. We're asking the Government and NSA to conduct a search for these documents. If they don't exist, please let us know.

MR. GRAY: Well, that's fine. But perhaps you should search the files at InfoTek yourself of all of the names and employees in that testimony to determine what records you have related to that. If I have to issue a formal request for that, I'm glad to do it.

```
MS. WEEKS: Mr. Gray, we are talking about interviews of potentially other people for these positions. I just said we're not limiting this to the people who were actually hired. That's not how hiring works. Generally, there are multiple interviews of candidates and people aren't hired. So the fact that somebody at InfoTek was hired, does not mean that there weren't interviews of people who weren't at InfoTek. We're simply asking the Government to run the search terms.
```

MR. GRAY: Yes, Ms. Weeks, what you're not understanding is if there were people that she looked at for example but decided not to nominate to the NSA, the NSA will have no record of that. That will be in InfoTek's own file.

MS. WEEKS: Well, again, we're not asking you to produce anything that's not in the Government's custody or control. To the extent the NSA interviewed candidates that Ms. McComber presented to them, we would like the records of the evidence that Ms. McComber was doing offsite.

THE COURT: Okay. It doesn't necessarily sound like there will be anything. It doesn't necessarily sound like this is something that wasn't already done. But I think it's important to verify. So let's get that done.

Is anybody ordering a transcript of this hearing?

MS. WEEKS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's really a conference. But it's definitely a conference. Okay. So that's helpful because if

1 there's any misunderstanding of what I said, then we'll have a 2 record. 3 Again, my only concern as this juncture two hours into 4 this discussion is, is everybody on the same page and knows what homework they have? And what can I do to compel NSA to 5 6 move quickly? 7 MR. GRAY: Yes, well, I think the first thing is we --Mr. Pine will have to set up what's necessary to do the read-in 8 9 for people to come out there and review the emails. And if the 10 defense would care to send us a letter or email summarizing 11 what they believe they're specifically looking for. For 12 example, on four that they're specifically looking for internal 13 emails among this limited handful of NSA participants giving their reactions to what was said at that meeting. 14 15 **THE COURT:** Well, they said that already. So I don't 16 think they need to say it again. It's in there. 17 MR. GRAY: All right. I hope Mr. Pine got that down. THE COURT: You can look at ECF-401. 18 19 MR. GRAY: Yes. As to hiring and staffing, we're 20 looking for communications as to the people who are all 21 discussed at trial and were the subject of a 22 Government's exhibit at trial --

THE COURT: No, they're looking for people that she maybe brought to NSA as candidates who weren't ultimately hired. That's what I understood.

23

24

25

MS. WEEKS: We're looking for documents relating to any hiring and staffing for the Ironbridge contract. Just because their name might have come up at trial, if there are documents showing the work that Ms. McComber was doing to hire or train or onboard those personnel, it didn't stop at hiring. Her duties extended to onboarding and making sure they were trained and their clearances were, you know, up to date. That would be the evidence that we're looking for here. It's not just limited to the names of the people that were hired.

THE COURT: So what Mr. Gray is saying is she's a contractor, not an employee. If they didn't have anything to do with it they may never know who else she saw, and she would know who else she saw.

MR. GRAY: Right.

THE COURT: But it's possible that she brought people to them that they rejected or didn't want or whatever. So that's what the defense is looking for. If there is fine, if there isn't, fine.

MR. GRAY: I just want to note this point, Your Honor, that what you just made was very relevant. That the defense has the ability to get specific information from Ms. McComber to the effect that, oh, yes, I remember that I did propose so and so for that and the Government rejected him. And, yes, I can show you where in my InfoTek emails that's reflected and/or at the very least I can give you the name so that the

Government can then run that name through its systems to identify this system.

MS. WEEKS: Your Honor, I don't think the burden is on Ms. McComber here to this extent.

MR. GRAY: We're never getting that kind of specific information from the defense that might help us to locate this stuff with a lesser expenditure of effort and without casting as wide a net --

THE COURT: Well, it's not a matter of burden, I guess, Ms. Weeks, what I think Mr. Gray is saying is that the posture we're now in, and I don't mean to be crass in any particular light, but let's not play games.

If you know who you have in mind then he's asking it would help if you could identify people that you actually did have in mind so they could look for that because it's a guessing game to some extent at this point. It's a big, massive amount of material and you're sending them off on, you know, just this effort to try to sort of find a needle in a haystack of some person she may have sent a name about. And if you knew who it was, and she would know who she's talking about, then it would help. But it's not a matter of burden, it's just a matter of starting to work together now to get this done.

If you have names you should tell them so they can try to search for them and substantiate your claim. But. . .

MS. WEEKS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's possible that the Government is in possession of names it got from Ms. McComber that it chose not -- I don't know how it works there so I can't answer this. I don't know if ultimately she could bring somebody to -- I mean, obviously get a clearance, that's a problem. But if she identifies employees, potential people to work on the contract and NSA has the last word, they might have information relevant to this point. And I think that's what Ms. Weeks is asking for. And she may not know at this point it's so long ago.

MS. WEEKS: Correct.

THE COURT: So I don't, you know, I don't want to spend time going over the merits. I've said what I've said in terms of the wisdom of my ruling. That's what I meant by the merits.

But I was persuaded on this point. I'm thinking that this has already been done, so if there's a way that the Government can just establish that it did look for this kind of information. I'm not asking them to do it again. But I can't say that because I'm not involved in the nitty-gritty of the discovery process.

So I think everybody understands what I'm saying and I think it's -- I think it's -- the rulings are the rulings.

MR. GRAY: Okay.

THE COURT: So I'm going to hang up and that means everybody else stays on the line to get the ball rolling.

```
1
             THE COURT REPORTER: Your Honor, should I stay on or
 2
    should I hang up?
 3
             THE COURT: Let me ask the lawyers.
 4
             MR. GRAY: I think at this point we can shorten the
    length of the transcript by not keeping Ronda around, and I
 5
    think we can do an exchange of correspondence if necessary to
 6
 7
    make sure we're all on the same page.
 8
             THE COURT: Is everyone in agreement on that?
 9
         Ms. Weeks, are you okay with that?
             MS. WEEKS: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
             THE COURT: I'm signing off and that means the court
12
    reporter is, too. Thank you all very much. Bye-bye.
13
         (Conference adjourned at 12:04 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

1	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
2	
3	
4	I, Ronda J. Thomas, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified
5	Realtime Reporter, in and for the United States District Court
6	for the District of Maryland, do hereby certify, pursuant to 28
7	U.S.C. § 753, that the foregoing is a true and correct
8	transcript of the stenographically-reported proceedings held in
9	the above-entitled matter and the transcript page format is in
10	conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of
11	the United States.
12	Dated this 10th day of January 2024.
13	
14	
15	Ronda J. Thomas
16	Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR Federal Official Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	•
MR. GRAY: [83] 2/10	<b>'17 [1]</b> 55/1
2/16 5/6 6/7 8/5 10/7 10/21 11/7 11/9 12/14	0
12/20 13/12 13/22 14/1	036 [1] 2/4
14/4 15/2 15/15 16/10	1
16/14 17/16 17/20 17/24 18/1 18/5 19/3	<b>1,300 [2]</b> 26/19
19/13 25/19 25/22 27/8	<b>1,315 [3]</b> 19/3 2
27/14 28/17 31/10 32/4 47/2 47/4 51/14 53/19	26/8 <b>1,800 [1]</b> 55/21
53/21 54/9 54/15 55/3	<b>10 [3]</b> 7/19 15/
55/16 56/23 57/19	51/22
57/21 57/25 59/19 60/3 60/25 64/17 64/19 66/7	<b>100 [1]</b> 13/14 <b>101 [1]</b> 1/24
66/10 66/22 67/6 67/15	<b>10:03 [2]</b> 1/7 2/
69/1 69/13 70/1 70/14	<b>10th [8]</b> 14/16
70/17 70/21 70/24 71/1 72/3 72/9 72/12 72/23	16/13 16/24 17/ 53/3 83/12
72/25 73/21 74/23 75/8	<b>11 [3]</b> 21/3 51/2
76/7 76/21 77/9 78/7	52/15
78/17 78/19 79/14 79/19 80/5 81/23 82/4	<b>11:00 [1]</b> 39/21 <b>11:00 last [1]</b> 3
MR. PINE: [14] 6/14	<b>11:01 [1]</b> 40/14
7/1 7/3 7/8 8/1 41/1	11:10 [1] 4/8
41/11 41/15 42/4 42/8	11:10 with [1] 41:15 [1] 42/1
42/22 43/6 43/8 43/14 <b>MS. PEACY: [27]</b>	<b>11th [2]</b> 17/7 5
14/7 14/13 14/24 15/1	<b>12 [2]</b> 51/8 51/2
15/3 15/13 17/5 18/4 18/10 18/19 19/2 27/5	<b>12/20/2022 [2]</b> 53/10
51/17 52/1 52/6 52/10	<b>120 [1]</b> 13/14
54/2 54/16 54/19 55/1	<b>12:04 [1]</b> 82/13
55/5 57/8 57/15 57/20 57/23 58/3 63/6	<b>130 [1]</b> 13/14 <b>14 [4]</b> 5/17 45/9
MS. RICHMAN: [32]	53/4
2/19 2/24 4/4 4/16 9/2	<b>15 [1]</b> 11/24
13/17 14/3 15/16 19/18 20/5 20/11 22/11 23/20	<b>16 [1]</b> 10/3 <b>171 [2]</b> 11/11 1
23/23 24/1 24/4 24/16	<b>172 [1]</b> 70/10
25/5 25/10 37/7 37/9	17th [1] 32/2
37/19 37/24 39/6 39/16 39/18 39/24 40/3 40/6	<b>18th</b> [1] 14/20 <b>19</b> [1] 55/4
40/17 44/2 44/8	<b>19-month [1]</b> 1
MS. WEEKS: [43]	<b>1:00 [1]</b> 3/4
44/14 44/22 45/3 46/6 46/11 46/19 46/22 47/3	2
49/19 50/2 50/14 50/19	<b>2,000 [1]</b> 36/4
50/22 51/24 52/4 52/9	<b>20 [2]</b> 11/25 56 <b>2016 [9]</b> 10/22
56/8 56/15 56/24 58/12 59/7 60/15 64/3 64/14	11/7 52/3 52/13
66/9 66/11 67/3 67/7	55/3 57/15 62/6
68/6 68/9 68/19 69/10	<b>2016/2017 [1]</b> 7 <b>2017 [11]</b> 48/2
73/5 74/16 76/17 77/1 77/13 77/23 79/1 80/3	55/4 57/15 61/2
80/25 81/10 82/10	63/23 64/4 64/2
THE COURT	73/24
<b>REPORTER: [2]</b> 2/6 82/1	<b>2018</b> [1] 57/16 <b>2019</b> [1] 57/16
THE COURT: [148]	<b>2020 [1]</b> 31/13

21-cr-00036-ELH Do	ocument 406 Filed (
•	<b>2022 [19]</b> 4/22 14/15
<b>'17 [1]</b> 55/1	26/7 51/20 52/20 52/22
	53/4 53/6 53/7 53/10
0	53/16 54/3 57/12 57/13
036 [1] 2/4	57/17 57/20 58/5 58/8
1	61/7
	<b>2023 [17]</b> 14/17 14/20 15/10 16/13 16/24 17/7
<b>1,300 [2]</b> 26/19 71/7	24/5 28/22 32/3 32/4
<b>1,315 [3]</b> 19/3 26/5	51/23 52/15 52/17
26/8	52/23 53/3 53/13 53/17
<b>1,800 [1]</b> 55/21 <b>10 [3]</b> 7/19 15/10	<b>2024 [4]</b> 1/7 3/2 3/17
51/22	83/12
<b>100 [1]</b> 13/14	<b>20th [3]</b> 32/19 47/24
<b>101</b> [1] 1/24	69/5
<b>10:03 [2]</b> 1/7 2/1	21-cr-0036-ELH [1]
<b>10th [8]</b> 14/16 16/12	1/4
16/13 16/24 17/7 52/17	<b>2107 [1]</b> 52/13
53/3 83/12	<b>21201 [1]</b> 1/25
<b>11 [3]</b> 21/3 51/22	<b>21st [9]</b> 9/8 10/12
52/15	21/25 25/6 25/11 32/12
<b>11:00 [1]</b> 39/21	34/20 49/20 51/3
11:00 last [1] 3/23	<b>24 [2]</b> 64/19 66/4
<b>11:01</b> [1] 40/14	<b>24E</b> [1] 47/25
<b>11:10 [1]</b> 4/8	<b>24H [2]</b> 65/2 72/5 <b>24th [1]</b> 27/15
<b>11:10</b> with [1] 40/15	<b>25</b> [1] 36/8
<b>11:15 [1]</b> 42/1 <b>11th [2]</b> 17/7 52/23	<b>25 percent [1]</b> 33/14
<b>12 [2]</b> 51/8 51/23	<b>28</b> [1] 83/6
<b>12/20/2022 [2]</b> 53/7	<b>289 [1]</b> 11/11
53/10	<b>28th [1]</b> 64/21
<b>120 [1]</b> 13/14	<b>299 [1]</b> 12/11
<b>12:04 [1]</b> 82/13	<b>29th [1]</b> 8/15
<b>130 [1]</b> 13/14	<b>2:00 [1]</b> 3/4
<b>14 [4]</b> 5/17 45/9 51/20	<b>2:11 [1]</b> 3/6
53/4	<b>2C [1]</b> 63/14
<b>15 [1]</b> 11/24	<b>2nd [6]</b> 24/5 27/11
<b>16 [1]</b> 10/3	27/18 29/16 29/17 29/18
<b>171 [2]</b> 11/11 12/3	29/10
<b>172 [1]</b> 70/10	3
<b>17th [1]</b> 32/2 <b>18th [1]</b> 14/20	<b>3,000 [2]</b> 36/20 43/10
<b>19 [1]</b> 55/4	<b>3,000-page [1]</b> 36/7
19-month [1] 12/4	<b>30 [1]</b> 58/5
1:00 [1] 3/4	<b>30 feet [1]</b> 56/3
	<b>30th [5]</b> 11/3 11/7
2	30/23 31/18 57/13
<b>2,000</b> [1] 36/4	31st [1] 11/3
<b>20 [2]</b> 11/25 56/3	<b>32,000 [1]</b> 36/18
<b>2016 [9]</b> 10/22 10/22	3rd [2] 20/23 25/17
11/7 52/3 52/13 55/1	4
55/3 57/15 62/6 <b>2016/2017 [1]</b> 73/24	<b>400 [1]</b> 69/25
<b>2017</b> [11] 48/2 52/3	<b>401</b> [7] 3/18 5/8 44/15
55/4 57/15 61/23 62/6	55/15 63/17 75/21

<b>1,800 [1]</b> 55/21	52/25 55/5 55/15 55/17
<b>10 [3]</b> 7/19 15/10	<b>2024 [4]</b> 1/7 3/2 3/17
51/22	83/12
<b>100 [1]</b> 13/14	<b>20th [3]</b> 32/19 47/24
<b>101</b> [1] 1/24	69/5
<b>10:03 [2]</b> 1/7 2/1	21-cr-0036-ELH [1]
10th [8] 14/16 16/12	1/4
	<b>2107 [1]</b> 52/13
16/13 16/24 17/7 52/17	<b>21201 [1]</b> 1/25
53/3 83/12	<b>21st [9]</b> 9/8 10/12
<b>11 [3]</b> 21/3 51/22	
52/15	21/25 25/6 25/11 32/12
<b>11:00 [1]</b> 39/21	34/20 49/20 51/3
<b>11:00 last [1]</b> 3/23	<b>24 [2]</b> 64/19 66/4
<b>11:01 [1]</b> 40/14	<b>24E</b> [1] 47/25
<b>11:10</b> [1] 4/8	<b>24H [2]</b> 65/2 72/5
<b>11:10</b> with [1] 40/15	<b>24th [1]</b> 27/15
<b>11:15 [1]</b> 42/1	<b>25 [1]</b> 36/8
<b>11th [2]</b> 17/7 52/23	<b>25 percent [1]</b> 33/14
<b>12 [2]</b> 51/8 51/23	<b>28 [1]</b> 83/6
<b>12/20/2022 [2]</b> 53/7	<b>289 [1]</b> 11/11
53/10	<b>28th [1]</b> 64/21
<b>120 [1]</b> 13/14	<b>299 [1]</b> 12/11
<b>12:04 [1]</b> 82/13	<b>29th [1]</b> 8/15
<b>130 [1]</b> 13/14	2:00 [1] 3/4
	<b>2:11</b> [1] 3/6
<b>14 [4]</b> 5/17 45/9 51/20 53/4	<b>2C [1]</b> 63/14
	<b>2nd [6]</b> 24/5 27/11
<b>15 [1]</b> 11/24	27/18 29/16 29/17
<b>16 [1]</b> 10/3	29/18
<b>171 [2]</b> 11/11 12/3	23/10
<b>172 [1]</b> 70/10	3
17th [1] 32/2	<b>3,000 [2]</b> 36/20 43/10
<b>18th [1]</b> 14/20	<b>3,000-page [1]</b> 36/7
<b>19</b> [1] 55/4	<b>30 [1]</b> 58/5
<b>19-month [1]</b> 12/4	
1:00 [1] 3/4	<b>30 feet [1]</b> 56/3
2	<b>30th [5]</b> 11/3 11/7
2	30/23 31/18 57/13
<b>2,000 [1]</b> 36/4	<b>31st [1]</b> 11/3
<b>20 [2]</b> 11/25 56/3	<b>32,000 [1]</b> 36/18
<b>2016 [9]</b> 10/22 10/22	<b>3rd [2]</b> 20/23 25/17
11/7 52/3 52/13 55/1	4
55/3 57/15 62/6	
<b>2016/2017 [1]</b> 73/24	<b>400 [1]</b> 69/25
<b>2017 [11]</b> 48/2 52/3	<b>401 [7]</b> 3/18 5/8 44/15
55/4 57/15 61/23 62/6	55/15 63/17 75/21
63/23 64/4 64/21 71/23	78/18
73/24	<b>404 [1]</b> 3/24
<b>2018 [1]</b> 57/16	<b>460 [1]</b> 65/17
<b>2019 [1]</b> 57/16	<b>470 [1]</b> 70/1
<b>2020 [1]</b> 31/13	<b>471 [2]</b> 3/12 9/9
2020 [1] 01/10	<b>475 [1]</b> 70/19
<u> </u>	DMD ODD 5 1 15
Ronda J. Thon	nas, RMR, CRR - Federal C

```
4th [3] 1/24 35/19 61/2 ad [1] 40/8
53/16 54/3 57/12 57/13 50 [1] 70/11
                       5th [4] 3/1 25/6 70/6
                       71/2
                       60 [2] 12/8 70/11
                       60 feet [1] 34/4
                       60 percent [1] 42/25
                       6th [3] 9/14 70/7 71/3
                       75 percent [2] 33/10
                       33/13
                       753 [1] 83/7
                       7th [2] 8/19 26/7
                     9 November 2022 [1]
                       53/16
                       9th [7] 3/2 26/7 32/23
                       57/17 57/20 58/8 69/6
                       Α
                       a.m [2] 1/7 2/1
                       abbreviation [1] 14/23
                       ability [3] 65/22 71/13
                       79/21
                       able [10] 5/2 7/20 7/25
                       9/13 44/25 49/11 61/25
                       72/19 74/5 75/19
                       aboard [1] 75/12
                       about [96]
                       above [2] 1/9 83/9
                       above-entitled [2] 1/9
                       83/9
                       absolutely [5] 11/16
                       13/13 25/12 42/8 42/8
                       access [4] 7/5 52/25
                       53/6 53/10
                       accessible [1] 47/20
                       accommodate [2]
                       24/20 41/19
                       accomplish [2] 38/20
                       40/21
                       accomplished [1]
                       12/23
                       accounted [1] 23/5
                       accurate [3] 10/5 13/6
                       20/9
                       accusing [1] 38/13
                       acronym [1] 20/16
                       across [1] 34/4
                       actual [1] 53/22
                       actually [16] 3/11 6/11
                                               aided [1] 1/22
                       12/4 12/22 13/2 27/3
                                               air [1] 48/25
                       28/4 30/9 30/22 45/19
                                               all [70] 3/20 7/1 9/4
                       50/10 61/22 74/13 75/9
                                               10/11 12/23 12/24 13/9
                       77/3 80/14
```

add [4] 33/19 35/25 38/2 66/17 addition [3] 5/14 29/11 32/17 additional [2] 29/22 34/18 address [11] 6/8 6/10 8/5 10/9 15/22 27/9 41/4 42/8 47/4 47/5 59/23 addressed [2] 6/1 6/2 addressing [1] 72/18 adequate [1] 15/22 adjourned [1] 82/13 administrative [1] 61/14 admitted [1] 75/11 advance [1] 71/4 advantage [2] 5/24 12/6 advise [2] 4/7 6/3 advised [1] 71/2 affidavits [2] 35/21 61/4 after [9] 9/19 26/6 31/3 34/18 35/5 35/11 48/10 67/22 75/2 again [22] 7/10 8/1 14/23 29/7 30/18 31/5 32/1 35/17 43/17 48/23 49/23 52/11 52/17 58/10 72/16 73/11 73/12 73/14 77/13 78/3 78/16 81/18 against [9] 20/18 40/8 52/2 53/9 53/16 53/17 63/6 63/7 63/11 agent [3] 1/19 1/19 61/6 agents [1] 2/13 **ago [1]** 81/9 agree [5] 45/15 59/2 59/4 59/15 60/1 agreed [6] 29/2 33/8 33/13 34/13 35/11 66/25 agreeing [1] 33/14 agreement [1] 82/8 ahead [7] 9/8 40/16 46/21 47/3 70/25 71/12 74/23 **Ahlers [7]** 17/17 17/23 28/8 28/19 28/25 39/1 73/23 **Ahlers' [1]** 28/14

## all... [63] 15/21 16/8 16/11 16/16 16/17 17/3 17/9 17/10 20/9 20/10 22/14 25/19 26/22 27/1 27/5 27/17 27/23 29/3 31/20 32/14 33/5 34/10 34/20 35/4 36/1 37/11 37/14 38/2 38/15 38/16 41/8 50/20 51/11 52/11 52/14 54/16 55/1 56/1 56/3 56/25 57/11 57/16 58/10 59/22 60/7 61/15 61/17 62/4 62/11 68/1 72/9 73/4 73/15 73/18 73/23 74/20 75/19 76/15 76/22 78/17 78/20 82/7 82/12 ALLI [2] 1/17 2/21 allow [2] 6/21 44/5 allowed [1] 7/5 allowing [1] 38/24 almost [2] 36/14 56/16 along [4] 21/20 22/14 42/22 59/8 already [42] 13/8 13/15 14/11 15/8 15/13 19/7 20/18 27/24 28/7 28/10 29/19 32/17 33/13 33/20 33/21 34/17 34/25 36/18 49/3 53/11 54/7 55/23 59/20 59/22 59/25 60/21 62/19 63/7 63/9 63/10 66/24 67/24 68/2 71/18 72/13 73/11 74/3 75/13 76/14 77/20 78/15 81/16 also [23] 1/18 2/11 3/22 12/9 16/23 17/6 17/8 22/6 29/11 30/10 31/25 32/16 36/3 39/25 40/3 44/4 47/10 51/25 56/19 68/11 72/1 73/1 73/11 **Although [1]** 10/23 always [4] 26/12 37/17 38/24 47/7 **am [8]** 2/4 21/21 24/18 37/17 38/23 56/9 56/11 62/20 **AMERICA [2]** 1/3 2/3 among [5] 27/19 68/10 71/24 72/7 78/13 amount [8] 21/17 21/23 22/21 27/25 34/12 36/2 63/21 80/16

animal [1] 25/1

**answer [5]** 11/15

another [2] 3/5 31/22

12/20 40/21 74/2 81/3 answers [1] 15/7 anxious [2] 22/6 22/7 any [44] 5/11 5/12 6/3 6/21 11/20 14/14 19/24 20/19 20/21 20/24 22/16 25/2 26/4 26/4 29/8 30/3 30/13 33/11 34/12 36/22 38/7 42/17 72/25 43/19 44/16 45/3 47/11 47/18 49/11 55/13 55/14 58/25 59/4 60/16 60/18 61/3 63/23 65/22 66/4 66/20 70/16 72/24 78/1 79/2 80/11 anybody [5] 4/2 46/24 72/15 73/15 77/22 anybody's [2] 44/20 55/14 anyone [1] 75/3 anything [30] 4/13 4/14 5/5 5/20 8/23 8/24 9/19 22/17 29/9 30/7 37/3 45/22 50/8 53/9 54/8 57/17 57/24 58/1 59/13 63/13 67/18 71/4 73/19 75/3 75/3 76/12 76/18 77/14 77/19 79/11 anyway [2] 65/11 70/20 **anywhere [1]** 18/13 apologize [3] 4/9 51/5 73/10 apparently [3] 14/8 48/3 49/25 appear [1] 52/19 appellate [2] 30/25 32/14 applies [1] 5/15 apply [1] 55/24 **appreciate [4]** 24/16 25/9 25/10 47/5 approaching [1] 60/8 approximately [2] 3/6 70/1 **April [1]** 29/14 archives [1] 55/7 are [93] aren't [4] 34/11 45/2 60/24 77/5 argued [1] 24/21 argues [1] 50/15 arguing [2] 46/6 46/7 argument [4] 22/20 38/6 45/24 50/7 arisen [1] 4/7 army [2] 23/10 23/12 around [11] 5/7 5/15

8/10 11/19 17/6 18/14

25/14 34/1 68/10 70/6 82/5 arrange [1] 41/1 arrangement [1] 69/20 25/17 articulated [1] 59/9 as [88] **ASAP [2]** 40/24 42/3 aside [3] 26/4 26/19 ask [12] 2/9 16/4 27/22 27/23 31/2 36/21 available [7] 6/6 6/8 68/23 82/3 asked [11] 28/24 29/1 29/9 30/13 36/5 36/6 45/13 46/19 46/23 61/11 71/4 asking [24] 24/19 29/18 48/10 51/12 60/11 63/13 64/7 66/9 66/10 66/11 66/19 67/9 back [27] 5/24 8/11 67/10 72/20 73/11 75/23 76/5 76/17 76/19 77/8 77/13 80/13 81/8 81/18 asks [1] 46/18 aspersions [1] 39/23 assertion [1] 23/3 assessment [1] 31/24 assumption [1] 6/3 assure [1] 32/17 attached [3] 35/21 47/24 69/4 attacks [1] 40/8 attend [2] 21/22 40/19 attended [2] 64/5 67/23 attention [1] 73/2 attenuate [2] 9/12 44/9 attenuated [1] 9/22 attorney [2] 23/9 41/8 attorney's [3] 2/10 14/24 16/12 **attorneys** [5] 6/17 23/12 28/2 32/14 41/3 audio [1] 40/7 August [26] 14/15 20/23 21/14 24/5 25/17 27/11 27/15 27/18 29/16 29/16 29/17 29/18 29/22 30/10 31/15 33/4 52/20 53/6 54/3 57/11 57/13 57/17 57/20 58/5 58/8 61/23 August 2022 [3] 52/20 53/6 58/5 **August 24th [1]** 27/15 August 2nd [6] 24/5 27/11 27/18 29/16

29/17 29/18 August 30th [1] 57/13 August 3rd [2] 20/23 August 9th [3] 57/17 57/20 58/8 **AUSA [3]** 14/24 15/1 30/4 **AUSA's [1]** 14/16 availability [1] 3/9 38/11 48/9 51/24 58/14 24/6 29/15 31/25 34/14 41/13 avoid [1] 61/3 aware [3] 7/1 54/17 61/10 away [2] 16/22 44/4 awhile [1] 48/3 В 28/17 29/23 31/3 31/12 31/21 32/1 32/8 32/12 33/3 34/23 36/3 37/6 42/18 44/11 47/8 49/1 49/22 51/12 56/12 58/13 61/18 64/14 64/22 67/7 70/5 backup [1] 41/17 ball [1] 81/25 **Baltimore [2]** 1/6 1/25 based [4] 12/2 15/10 16/17 31/16 basically [5] 12/11 12/23 29/1 56/15 63/12 **basis [2]** 24/22 56/5 be [134] bear [1] 70/4 because [66] 4/24 8/7 8/12 9/18 10/2 11/1 11/5 11/22 11/24 12/15 13/4 13/4 13/5 13/20 14/19 18/14 19/7 24/23 27/19 30/15 31/4 31/5 31/21 32/21 33/3 33/24 35/23 36/15 37/25 38/11 40/14 41/15 42/13 43/9 45/1 46/3 46/15 46/25 49/7 49/8 49/25 51/17 52/25 54/9 54/22 55/12 56/1 56/20 billed [1] 65/17 58/15 58/18 62/24 billing [1] 60/9 67/17 68/10 68/16 birth [2] 41/4 41/4 68/20 69/2 69/3 71/7 bit [2] 18/5 19/19 72/1 74/20 75/23 76/8 blaming [1] 38/4 77/25 79/3 80/15 81/19 **blanket [2]** 70/7 71/3 been [77] 3/12 4/21 **boggling [1]** 35/3 5/13 13/8 13/10 14/11 boil [1] 12/7

14/21 15/8 15/13 17/3

18/7 19/7 20/6 20/18

20/23 21/12 21/19 22/25 24/6 24/10 24/20 24/22 25/16 25/25 27/11 27/25 28/7 29/19 29/20 29/23 31/11 31/22 33/20 33/21 35/4 35/6 37/2 37/14 37/21 47/12 47/12 48/3 48/18 48/22 49/3 51/10 55/22 55/23 56/10 57/4 57/12 57/13 59/21 59/22 59/25 60/21 60/23 61/8 61/25 62/21 63/7 63/10 63/18 64/11 65/7 65/19 72/13 72/19 72/21 73/11 73/18 74/3 74/19 75/18 75/22 76/10 81/16 **before [23]** 1/11 3/17 4/7 4/12 7/10 7/16 7/21 9/21 12/17 12/18 13/2 20/5 21/19 25/8 28/1 36/6 37/15 38/24 54/24 57/9 57/12 57/13 67/10 **beforehand** [1] 19/25 began [3] 10/10 25/22 30/11 begin [1] 4/3 beginning [4] 5/21 8/10 11/17 25/23 begun [2] 5/7 21/18 **behalf [3]** 1/14 1/15 2/11 being [5] 7/4 12/11 28/5 44/24 67/11 believe [17] 3/3 3/12 3/17 5/10 5/22 29/24 30/7 31/17 32/19 40/7 47/14 63/6 63/10 64/20 64/21 72/12 78/11 benefit [1] 31/17 best [3] 23/6 28/15 70/18 **between [9]** 17/16 18/7 26/25 34/16 55/19 64/8 64/23 66/8 66/12 beyond [4] 5/12 25/13 30/4 66/2 big [6] 28/9 43/7 58/14 74/14 75/24 80/16

both [7] 2/13 10/11

21/1 53/12 60/14 60/15

### В

both... [1] 71/17 bothered [1] 37/11 **bottom [2]** 35/7 59/25 Brady [2] 47/22 47/23 **brand [1]** 13/9 **breathing [1]** 58/10 brief [2] 24/2 30/25 **briefly [1]** 9/3 bring [4] 25/8 28/15 31/6 81/4 bringing [1] 4/24 broad [4] 44/19 45/16 45/17 50/9

**broadly [1]** 24/18 **brought [3]** 75/12 78/24 79/15

Bryant [2] 53/11 60/7 **bullet [1]** 48/9 bunch [1] 10/19 burden [3] 80/3 80/9 80/21

**burdensome** [1] 45/18 bye [2] 82/12 82/12 Bye-bye [1] 82/12

call [23] 4/6 4/8 4/19 5/4 5/19 6/19 10/13 11/12 11/18 11/20 16/7 32/7 32/9 34/7 34/7 34/21 36/3 40/14 42/7 42/7 44/5 49/22 66/25 called [3] 36/8 49/21 71/20

came [6] 1/9 25/14 27/5 29/16 30/12 57/9 can [80] 2/15 4/9 4/20 4/22 4/23 6/7 6/8 7/12 7/20 8/3 12/9 12/13 12/22 13/20 14/23 16/1 16/3 16/4 17/2 18/8 20/20 21/8 24/19 24/23 26/3 26/13 26/21 27/22 29/25 32/17 33/13 34/7 34/7 35/1 35/1 37/23 38/19 38/19 40/19 40/24 42/2 42/6 42/7 42/7 42/10 44/12 47/5 48/9 51/19 51/24 52/6 54/2 54/8 54/13 58/10 61/9 63/6 63/10 64/14 64/15 65/18 69/1 69/6 69/14 69/20 70/10 72/15 74/7 74/9 76/2 76/5 78/5 78/18 79/24 79/25 80/1 80/23 81/17 82/4 82/6

can't [17] 3/22 8/7

8/20 8/22 15/25 15/25

34/21 39/11 42/12 42/17 42/17 72/17 72/20 74/4 75/19 81/3 81/18

**candidates [3]** 77/5 77/15 78/24

cannot [6] 15/19 15/23 clarify [5] 13/24 14/1 39/9 39/10 42/17 70/16 15/6 16/11 17/2 capabilities [1] 54/21 capable [1] 76/1 capacity [1] 75/13 captain [3] 44/24 45/1 45/4

capture [1] 75/7 captured [2] 56/20 75/20

car [1] 32/8

care [3] 33/25 39/12 78/10 carry [1] 27/3

case [13] 2/2 6/21 8/17 8/22 21/11 28/2 30/16 32/7 36/13 40/12 47/21 56/10 67/25

cast [2] 38/4 39/22 casting [2] 37/17 80/7 categories [2] 50/8 65/5

cell [1] 32/9 centerpiece [1] 73/22 certain [5] 3/10 5/2 21/10 22/1 36/17 certainly [11] 11/2 11/3 19/7 30/13 50/2 50/2 56/16 61/9 62/20 65/2 65/21

**CERTIFICATE** [1] 82/15

Certified [1] 83/4 certify [2] 29/25 83/6 cetera [2] 34/2 34/2 chance [1] 12/7 change [1] 27/24 **changing** [1] 16/3 character [1] 30/8

characterizations [1] 59/6

charge [5] 22/13 45/25 46/3 47/1 58/24 chart [1] 49/21 **charts** [1] 25/14 **chase [2]** 34/7 48/16 checking [1] 10/4 **choice [1]** 70/13 chose [2] 17/15 81/2

**Christmas [3]** 34/16 34/18 35/5 Circuit [3] 22/18 31/1

38/19 circulating [1] 34/1 claim [6] 22/24 30/18 30/21 59/21 63/20 80/24

clarification [2] 48/10 58/9

clarified [1] 30/17 Clark [4] 17/9 18/4 53/14 53/15

classification [3] 8/8 26/10 27/3

classifications [1] 27/6

classified [5] 6/22 14/13 17/12 18/8 63/7 clear [18] 6/17 13/5 18/7 18/18 19/8 22/15 25/12 26/24 31/23 33/19 38/1 38/15 42/11 conduct [2] 71/18 42/17 48/20 69/16 71/1 76/19 72/3

**clearance** [1] 81/5 clearances [1] 79/7 cleared [1] 9/15 clearly [1] 51/5 client [3] 4/7 21/10 22/8

25/24 close [2] 4/25 10/14

clue [1] 72/21 coding [1] 47/9 **colleagues** [1] 59/18 **Collins [1]** 53/5 come [10] 15/19 18/15 83/10 34/15 42/18 44/10 59/18 65/9 65/18 78/9

79/3 coming [7] 6/17 6/18 41/3 41/7 41/22 41/23 71/5

commencing [1] 16/22

comments [1] 22/9 commitment [1] 71/4 committing [2] 9/16 9/19

common [1] 68/22 communicating [3] 45/8 56/21 57/6 communication [3] 35/19 63/22 64/8 communications [5] 66/4 66/11 71/22 72/7 78/20

**company** [1] 49/8 compel [1] 78/5 complete [3] 16/14 16/17 51/12

completed [2] 9/21 51/7

completely [1] 27/17 comprehensive [2] 61/8 74/10 Computer [1] 1/22

Computer-aided [1] 1/22 concern [7] 19/24

22/21 44/20 56/13 63/13 71/5 78/3 concern about [1]

56/13

concerned [4] 8/21 21/17 21/21 25/16 concerns [3] 9/10 28/24 29/9

concession [1] 35/8 concluded [1] 22/1

confer [1] 74/7 **conference** [17] 2/25 3/3 3/17 10/12 11/12 11/18 15/19 27/14 27/15 32/7 32/9 38/10 66/25 77/24 77/25 82/13 83/10

client's [3] 19/19 20/2 | confident [2] 27/18 28/20

> confidential [1] 14/14 confirm [5] 12/22 16/19 17/1 59/24 67/16 conflate [1] 68/20 conformance [1]

**confused [1]** 12/15 connection [2] 22/5 36/10

consciousness [1] 36/16

**consensus** [1] 5/23 **consider [1]** 55/25 considered [3] 30/6 35/14 65/8

considering [1] 23/7 consist [2] 16/14 33/11

consistent [1] 40/8 consuming [2] 11/22 11/25

**contact [1]** 69/19 **contacts** [1] 60/5 **contain [2]** 13/3 26/4 contemplated [1] 3/12 contemplating [1] 9/10

**content** [1] 7/17 **contention** [1] 27/10 **contents** [1] 30/19

continue [3] 20/12 33/2 36/13 continuing [1] 35/7 **contract [37]** 5/16 5/17 24/25 25/1 33/16 36/10 43/18 43/18 43/21 44/21 45/4 45/7 45/10 46/3 46/4 46/9 46/16 46/24 47/9 49/5 50/15 50/25 53/22 58/22 59/11 59/15 60/21 62/1 62/5 64/6 64/10 65/10 71/22 73/8 74/18 79/2 81/6 contracting [1] 66/23

**contractor [2]** 30/15 79/11 contractors [1] 76/9

control [7] 22/3 53/6 53/10 70/16 71/13 76/18 77/15

convenience [1] 38/20 conversation [3] 11/4 35/17 44/8

conversations [1] 20/8 Cooch [5] 17/21 29/12

54/10 61/5 74/7 coordinating [1] 45/8 copied [1] 12/11 copies [5] 7/7 7/8 7/10 31/5 54/21

**copy [2]** 19/5 19/23 **COR [13]** 43/21 48/1 49/13 49/16 49/21 50/24 51/4 51/9 55/12 55/12 61/14 61/14

61/14

82/6

corporate [1] 47/19 correct [10] 3/8 13/10 13/13 18/6 27/5 28/8 28/16 28/16 81/10 83/7 correcting [1] 40/18 **correctly [1]** 63/25 correspondence [1]

could [34] 6/5 7/14 9/2 10/3 11/15 13/17 14/1 22/2 28/15 29/1 32/9 32/20 35/6 36/25 41/18 41/19 41/19 42/1 42/25 44/10 45/11 45/15 48/4 54/24 60/17 63/1 63/3 64/13 65/9 72/1 75/2 80/14 80/15 81/4

couldn't [7] 22/15 23/25 29/6 29/8 30/3 31/5 31/6

counsel [12] 2/12 3/11 19/7 27/24 28/6 28/14

counsel... [6] 35/12 36/13 36/21 40/9 69/20 69/21

Counsel's [1] 41/17 countenanced [1] 23/1

couple [5] 7/3 11/15 26/15 55/5 65/19 course [5] 3/19 37/16 46/16 65/21 66/24 court [16] 1/1 2/5 3/6 9/21 11/17 13/20 16/4

19/6 22/1 24/8 25/8 32/18 32/20 32/23 82/11 83/5

Court's [2] 24/10 44/5 **courthouse [1]** 11/13 cover [1] 50/19 covered [3] 72/13 73/18 75/18 covers [1] 62/8

cr [1] 1/4 Craig [1] 65/11

crass [1] 80/11 created [1] 23/13 credit [4] 22/25 33/10 45/1 45/21

**Criminal [2]** 1/4 2/3 critical [1] 62/10 **criticism** [1] 7/21 cross [1] 75/11 cross-examination [1]

75/11 **CRR [2]** 1/23 83/16 **crushing [1]** 32/13 **CRYSTAL [2]** 1/16

2/21 **custodian** [1] 51/11 custodians [8] 12/24 21/4 21/7 21/7 21/15

51/9 52/5 68/11 custody [3] 22/2 76/18 77/14

daily [1] 56/5 darn [1] 33/19 date [9] 5/2 34/18 41/4 51/19 57/17 57/19 57/21 57/23 79/7 dated [2] 7/23 83/12 dates [2] 26/13 34/13 dating [1] 47/8 **DAVIS [3]** 1/19 2/13 29/12 day [12] 3/17 8/10 10/13 30/23 32/6 34/17 34/18 34/25 41/10

41/12 41/13 83/12

days [5] 16/22 18/16 18/20 31/1 35/10 de [2] 47/11 53/22 deadline [3] 3/24 8/18 32/14 deal [1] 8/20 dealing [2] 54/11 54/11 **December [27]** 5/25 8/11 9/7 9/8 10/1 20/25 21/18 21/25 25/6 25/11 25/15 26/7 26/7 29/23 30/22 32/19 32/23 34/20 47/24 49/20 51/3

December 20th [1] 69/5

71/2 71/3

64/1 69/5 70/6 70/7

**December 21st [6]** 9/8 21/25 25/6 25/11 34/20 51/3

**December 5th [1]** 70/6 **December 7th [1]** 26/7 **December 9th [2]** 26/7 32/23

decided [5] 9/20 18/15 33/8 34/22 77/11 decision [1] 18/21 deduplicate [1] 20/18

**Defendant [4]** 1/5 1/15 6/1 31/12 **Defendant's [1]** 8/14

**Defender [1]** 2/21 defense [51] 2/18 3/10 3/16 5/23 6/6 6/13 14/12 14/14 15/9 15/11 16/21 16/23 22/19 23/9 difference [1] 25/2 23/9 23/12 23/18 26/1 30/6 30/11 31/4 32/11 36/12 36/21 39/8 39/14 40/22 40/23 42/2 48/17 48/25 52/20 53/5 60/1

61/13 65/25 67/18 67/21 69/20 69/21 70/4 diligence [1] 36/16 71/12 74/5 78/10 79/17

79/20 80/6 defense's [2] 33/7 63/19

deficient [1] 51/6 define [1] 4/22 **defined [1]** 17/16 **definitely [1]** 77/25 delay [12] 21/16 21/23 22/7 22/10 23/6 23/17 23/17 27/11 37/12

39/11 42/10 42/17 delaying [1] 38/13 delays [1] 25/15 demand [2] 71/6 74/9

demonstrates [1] 35/18 denying [1] 33/7 **deputy [1]** 56/15 **Derrow [2]** 19/8 34/19 **Derrow's [2]** 9/19 71/12

describing [1] 40/6 desks [1] 56/5 detail [1] 49/20

**determine [3]** 21/23 74/8 76/23

**determined** [2] 29/20 31/22

16/14 17/22 18/12 18/16 18/20 19/23 20/24 22/24 24/15 27/19 29/20 33/23 33/25 36/5 36/11 36/22 **DIVISION [1]** 1/2

37/1 37/2 37/2 40/5 41/16 45/2 45/19 46/4 47/9 51/8 51/16 51/20 51/21 52/19 52/25 53/6 53/9 53/9 53/20 55/25

58/7 59/4 66/3 73/9 73/10 73/15 76/2 79/22 80/14 81/17

didn't [23] 14/8 24/14 28/13 31/15 31/15 31/16 31/17 34/24 37/3 48/22 51/17 53/24 54/4 54/5 59/21 67/18 71/5 75/5 75/14 75/25 79/5 79/11 79/16

different [12] 7/15 9/5 27/10 28/6 28/14 29/24 25/1 25/18 41/19 46/10 53/14 59/23 62/13

62/22 62/22 68/16 difficult [4] 8/19 20/7 23/15 40/9

dig [1] 65/20 direct [2] 58/17 73/22

directed [1] 19/10 directly [3] 48/13 51/15 64/6

director [1] 54/21 directories [1] 54/22 disclosed [1] 26/1 **discovery** [10] 3/1

20/21 21/12 21/22 24/5 77/6 24/7 25/8 28/1 29/22 81/20

discuss [1] 4/1 discussed [8] 10/11 11/12 11/18 25/5 33/3

49/19 56/24 78/21 discussing [7] 3/21 5/20 14/11 15/12 64/9 64/23 73/7

discussion [6] 5/9 21/17 46/15 46/16 75/10 78/4

disproportionate [1] 45/19

disputes [2] 3/25 25/8 detailed [2] 13/23 76/2 dissuade [1] 73/25 distinction [3] 18/7 18/9 26/25

distribution [3] 42/24 43/7 43/11

did [49] 3/2 12/25 16/9 DISTRICT [4] 1/1 1/1 83/5 83/6 **disturbed [1]** 19/19 disturbing [1] 22/10 divided [1] 65/5 do [65] 2/5 5/2 7/25

> 8/25 9/11 9/13 12/9 16/10 18/25 19/2 19/3 20/19 22/16 24/24 26/3 26/4 26/12 29/2 29/21 35/20 35/20 35/23 36/15 37/3 38/19 39/8 39/9 39/11 39/12 39/19 40/25 41/20 45/11 45/15 46/25 47/9 48/11

49/17 52/7 54/8 54/18 55/13 58/6 58/22 63/1 63/6 63/10 64/12 67/14 67/15 72/12 72/16 72/20 73/12 76/25 78/5

78/8 79/12 81/18 82/6 83/6

do-over [1] 22/16

doable [1] 63/5 document [8] 61/19 61/19 61/20 63/16 65/13 72/4 72/6 73/3 documents [16] 31/11 36/23 51/7 52/11 56/9 56/16 62/15 63/15 64/11 66/18 67/24 73/13 75/20 76/20 79/1 79/4

does [11] 4/2 6/24 9/11 22/7 48/20 50/11 54/25 58/6 74/18 76/8

doesn't [8] 45/24 46/5 58/16 62/11 62/15 discrete [2] 21/9 25/13 73/20 77/18 77/19 doing [25] 3/3 10/14 11/20 15/23 38/14 38/15 39/8 44/17 45/5

45/10 45/11 46/2 46/5 47/12 49/24 52/24 56/17 64/7 65/20 66/16 66/21 73/16 75/5 77/17 79/4

**Don [5]** 51/10 51/16

51/20 53/4 66/15 don't [68] 3/10 3/14 3/19 4/2 6/24 8/19 15/7 16/6 20/9 28/4 34/4 34/24 35/23 36/15 38/4 39/12 41/9 42/11 44/9 45/14 45/15 45/24 46/6 48/24 49/5 49/13 49/16 49/25 50/3 50/5 54/7 54/17 54/23 55/2 58/3 59/5 59/17 60/12 60/24 61/3 62/10 62/21 62/23 62/24 62/25 63/16 63/24 66/13 68/12 69/8 70/6 70/7 72/16 73/18 73/19 74/15 74/20 75/9 76/4 76/6 76/20 78/15 80/3 80/11 81/3 81/4 81/11 81/11 done [45] 3/9 4/11

6/15 12/23 16/6 16/25 19/12 23/6 23/22 27/12 32/12 35/4 35/4 35/11 35/15 38/21 39/3 39/9 42/21 44/10 46/8 47/12 48/22 48/23 49/9 49/14 48/3 59/20 59/22 61/7 61/17 63/7 63/10 65/7 70/4 71/20 72/19 72/21 73/11 74/21 75/22 75/22 75/23 75/23 75/25 77/20 77/21 80/22 81/16 doubting [1] 30/14 down [8] 12/8 14/22 16/5 32/11 43/12 52/6

> 70/10 78/17 **Dovle [21]** 46/2 46/3 46/4 46/7 46/16 47/9 48/9 48/21 52/19 53/19 53/20 53/21 54/14 55/10 55/17 56/21 60/4 64/23 65/21 66/8 66/19

**Doyle's [1]** 56/9 dozens [1] 62/8 **draft [2]** 5/12 56/12 drafted [3] 19/10 33/12 67/11

drafting [2] 44/17 67/9 dragging [1] 38/14 dramatically [1] 11/2 drawbridge [1] 67/20 drawing [2] 18/7 26/24

drive [5] 29/3 29/6

### drive... [3] 29/7 51/25 62/25 drop [1] 38/8 dropped [1] 11/2 dry [2] 69/14 69/14 **dubious [1]** 5/19 due [3] 9/14 21/10 31/1 duplicate [1] 29/1 during [11] 5/3 9/17 12/19 29/14 32/13 34/15 57/18 66/24 69/23 73/24 75/12 duties [10] 43/20

49/12 59/1 59/9 59/14

60/20 62/17 63/13

### Е

D

71/21 79/6 each [8] 15/20 15/22 15/25 16/1 38/3 38/4 38/4 56/3 earlier [2] 51/23 61/6 early [3] 9/7 10/1 29/4 ECF [8] 3/18 3/24 5/8 44/15 55/15 63/17 75/21 78/18 ECF-401 [7] 3/18 5/8 44/15 55/15 63/17 75/21 78/18 ECF-404 [1] 3/24 **edgewise** [1] 20/7 effect [1] 79/22 effectively [1] 5/18 effectuating [1] 59/17 efficient [2] 9/25 20/20 effort [5] 28/9 63/4 74/10 80/7 80/18 effort and [1] 80/7 efforts [1] 37/21 eight [3] 10/2 16/22 21/6 either [14] 4/25 9/6 17/7 26/17 29/15 33/15 40/24 41/15 42/19 43/4 60/18 61/11 65/8 73/23 ELH [2] 1/4 2/4 ELH-21-036 [1] 2/4 **ELLEN [2]** 1/11 2/4 else [14] 11/24 31/8 35/23 65/6 65/11 65/20 69/17 69/18 73/19 74/19 75/3 79/12 79/13 81/25 else's [1] 46/24 **elsewhere [1]** 33/15 **elucidate** [1] 64/1 email [28] 7/18 7/23 **everything** [11] 10/8 8/14 10/15 10/15 11/20

14/20 26/16 29/22 30/2 11/24 18/23 28/19 30/4 31/20 31/21 34/3 40/19 42/8 48/19 49/21 52/2 52/11 53/11 53/12 55/18 61/2 70/5 70/8 71/2 78/10 emails [107] emphasize [2] 60/4 70/2 employee [1] 79/11 **employees [7]** 7/19 34/1 45/9 76/9 76/15 76/23 81/6 encompass [1] 15/11 encompasses [1] 50/23 encompassing [1] 74/20 end [7] 6/23 8/10 10/22 15/19 40/14 41/10 55/3 ended [2] 52/24 75/2 endorsed [1] 27/17 **enormous** [1] 33/18 enough [3] 3/11 58/16 58/24 entered [1] 28/2 entitled [5] 1/9 22/1 24/10 38/7 83/9 Erica [1] 48/1 errand [3] 33/2 34/8 48/15 **escaping** [1] 36/1 especially [1] 19/9 **ESQUIRE [5]** 1/14 1/16 1/16 1/17 1/18 **essence** [1] 42/13 essentially [2] 27/10 28/5 establish [2] 72/19 81/17 establishing [1] 33/23 et [2] 34/2 34/2 evaluations [4] 5/9 5/11 5/12 5/18 even [17] 9/19 10/4 21/18 21/22 21/23 30/14 31/6 34/15 39/1 46/25 49/5 54/17 54/23 58/23 68/22 71/11 76/16 ever [2] 20/2 47/15 every [4] 8/6 49/22 61/14 70/7 everybody [5] 23/6 45/25 78/4 81/21 81/25 everyone [7] 2/2 16/2 26/17 26/17 69/17 69/18 82/8

28/25 35/21 35/24 38/8 eye [2] 63/19 73/16 58/18 65/6 74/6 evidence [9] 33/21 36/23 47/15 66/5 67/25 fact [18] 19/4 22/16 72/4 72/10 77/17 79/8 exactly [4] 3/22 22/4 48/11 58/17 examination [2] 73/22 75/11 **example** [10] 7/13 7/16 8/9 58/21 60/4 62/16 66/13 70/10 77/11 78/12 except [1] 26/21 **exchange** [3] 42/6 69/11 82/6 excited [1] 25/24 **excluded** [1] 42/23 exculpatory [1] 30/1 **excuse [2]** 12/15 75/2 **executed** [1] 5/11 **exercise** [1] 70/8 exhibit [9] 62/4 64/19 65/14 65/15 66/4 67/25 69/4 72/5 78/22 exhibits [7] 13/2 28/1 47/25 62/15 65/15 67/25 69/5 exist [4] 29/20 49/5 66/20 76/20 exists [1] 63/4 expectation [1] 74/12 **expecting [2]** 37/17 74/10 expedite [3] 9/1 70/11 71/13 expedition [1] 23/1 expeditiously [1] 23/7 expenditure [1] 80/7 **explain** [1] 18/9 **explained [2]** 50/12 51/8 explaining [3] 7/3 49/25 51/6 explanation [1] 23/4 **expressed [2]** 27/3 28/24 **extended** [1] 79/6 **extensive** [2] 31/21 36/24 extensively [2] 60/5 62/6 extent [11] 15/10 20/17 24/14 45/6 50/23 56/11 62/13 74/17 77/15 80/4 80/16 extra [2] 42/1 42/21

40/9 22/21 23/16 24/13 26/21 32/6 32/25 35/9 35/16 36/1 36/24 45/25 49/15 62/14 72/25 75/21 77/5 facto [2] 47/11 53/22 fair [1] 21/11 fall [3] 46/24 55/13 61/7 familiar [1] 44/3 fanciful [1] 8/16 far [2] 37/11 56/10 fault [3] 23/2 37/12 39/21 February [2] 9/14 31/12 February 6th [1] 9/14 federal [4] 1/24 2/20 23/10 83/16 feeding [1] 33/25 feel [3] 23/6 36/15 49/22 feet [4] 34/4 38/14 56/3 56/4 fell [1] 50/8 felt [1] 22/14 few [5] 19/9 48/9 57/16 73/2 76/13 fifth [2] 10/16 10/23 fight [1] 33/18 | figure [2] 8/25 32/5 file [12] 28/14 30/12 30/13 30/17 30/19 30/24 31/14 31/23 57/10 76/15 76/16 77/12 filed [2] 3/24 60/17 files [15] 17/10 52/3 52/12 52/25 53/2 54/16 54/17 54/19 56/10 57/10 61/11 61/12 61/15 76/11 76/22 filing [5] 32/19 35/20 47/25 61/4 69/5 filings [1] 75/10 fill [2] 6/18 41/6 filled [1] 62/4 final [2] 35/25 71/1 find [10] 22/10 23/21 28/13 42/4 53/9 61/16 63/4 68/1 69/6 80/18 finding [2] 4/25 22/23 fine [9] 38/19 41/21 50/5 63/19 72/16 72/19 76/21 79/17 79/18

finish [4] 3/2 16/7 20/5 41/25 finished [5] 3/4 3/5 8/22 72/22 72/23 first [23] 5/10 10/9 10/10 10/15 14/5 17/3 20/1 22/19 23/18 25/15 26/2 30/11 30/12 30/22 31/18 33/20 38/7 40/2 41/12 48/21 53/15 67/15 78/7 fishing [1] 23/1 five [4] 42/1 56/4 69/10 71/24 fixation [1] 33/4 flag [2] 4/5 23/17 float [1] 48/25 floor [2] 1/24 4/14 focus [2] 58/16 63/20 focusing [1] 71/14 FOIA [1] 31/12 follow [2] 15/20 39/15 followed [1] 31/19 fool's [3] 33/2 34/8 48/15 foreclose [2] 62/12 62/15 foregoing [1] 83/7 form [3] 36/14 41/10 73/3 formal [1] 76/24 format [3] 3/13 62/22 83/9 forms [2] 6/19 41/6 forth [3] 31/21 49/22 64/22 forward [5] 8/18 14/21 18/22 25/17 27/18 forwards [1] 48/20 found [6] 51/3 51/4 53/11 57/18 57/24 58/1 four [10] 5/8 21/3 31/1 34/19 51/8 63/22 69/10 71/24 76/14 78/12 Fourth [3] 22/18 31/1 38/18 frame [5] 29/4 30/10 51/18 51/23 53/13 frankly [2] 8/16 32/21 frequently [1] 19/4 frivolous [1] 42/19 front [1] 42/16 frustration [2] 48/14 65/24 full [4] 8/9 10/13 34/18 41/3 fully [1] 70/6 functions [2] 65/6 65/8 fundamental [1] 47/22

**extreme** [1] 33/1

**extremely [2]** 30/9

## further [8] 9/12 21/20 31/20 34/25 35/6 55/24 57/3 61/21 G game [1] 80/15 games [1] 80/12 gather [1] 75/24 gathered [2] 4/21 21/8 gave [4] 22/19 29/3 31/3 36/11 general [6] 2/12 2/14 6/12 41/16 41/17 42/24 Generally [1] 77/4 generated [2] 61/20 67/16 generic [1] 65/12 generous [1] 38/23 get [48] 4/9 6/16 7/16 8/21 8/22 11/14 13/21 17/23 19/23 20/7 23/22 28/11 31/5 32/9 33/5 35/1 35/15 36/6 38/12 38/15 38/21 39/2 39/5 39/9 39/15 40/1 40/2 40/21 42/3 42/21 49/1 50/5 50/10 54/16 55/7 57/3 60/2 64/14 64/15 70/4 70/10 71/7 74/11 77/21 79/21 80/22 81/5 81/25 gets [3] 45/1 48/19 62/2 getting [5] 23/10 25/23 35/12 50/1 80/5 gist [1] 75/4 give [15] 6/19 12/21 14/23 19/8 24/22 33/10 33/14 38/8 38/25 42/2 49/17 51/19 54/2 69/2 79/25 given [7] 5/1 11/19 22/18 38/11 45/20 50/9 54/3 giving [2] 22/25 78/13 glad [3] 19/6 31/20 76/25 gladly [1] 20/19 **go [45]** 3/11 4/17 5/25 8/1 8/6 8/8 8/18 9/8 9/11 9/13 9/20 12/7 12/7 16/21 24/3 26/9 27/19 28/13 28/17 31/1 31/6 32/4 32/8 33/1 35/12 40/2 40/16 40/23 41/25 46/21 47/3 49/23 51/12 52/6 52/10 58/13 59/17 60/24 61/18

74/23 75/14 goal [1] 37/14 God [1] 32/19 qoes [4] 7/22 19/9 36/3 70/5 going [56] 3/9 3/16 3/21 5/7 5/23 7/15 7/20 7/25 8/25 9/12 9/23 11/5 11/21 12/21 15/18 15/19 16/6 16/25 18/15 18/22 19/21 20/2 22/3 24/19 25/14 26/13 28/20 30/23 33/16 34/11 39/4 40/13 40/14 43/5 43/8 69/23 42/16 42/21 43/17 44/25 52/10 55/10 58/19 61/16 64/24 68/1 69/13 69/14 69/17 69/17 69/18 71/3 71/6 71/15 71/16 73/1 75/1 81/12 81/24 **gold [1]** 36/12 gone [5] 4/18 9/4 10/10 42/14 49/22 good [4] 2/2 2/19 35/13 49/24 goose [2] 34/7 48/16 got [18] 5/2 16/3 16/20 20/16 28/22 29/7 32/6 38/1 39/13 49/17 52/21 57/12 57/13 62/4 62/23 68/3 78/17 81/2 Gotshal [2] 2/23 28/1 gotten [1] 28/25 government [53] 2/9 3/24 4/17 5/1 9/16 13/2 17/17 19/21 19/25 20/17 20/24 21/14 21/18 21/22 22/4 22/24 24/5 24/6 24/12 25/12 25/16 25/25 29/14 30/9 half [2] 10/16 34/17 36/8 38/13 45/9 45/13 47/20 47/21 47/25 48/1 50/7 50/15 50/22 50/25 handled [3] 17/21 51/3 54/6 62/3 66/4 67/17 67/23 72/20 73/1 73/12 74/13 76/17 76/19 77/8 79/23 80/1 81/1 81/16 Government's [15] 22/2 22/22 23/2 37/12 37/21 63/20 64/19 65/14 65/15 67/19 67/25 69/4 72/5 77/14 78/22 Government's Exhibit **[7]** 64/19 65/14 65/15 67/25 69/4 72/5 78/22 grasping [1] 24/24 64/14 67/7 69/21 70/25 gravity [1] 42/15

**GRAY [35]** 1/14 2/10 3/5 5/5 9/3 9/8 10/1 16/6 16/9 19/12 20/1 20/5 23/8 25/8 27/9 28/3 37/5 39/18 40/4 40/18 43/12 51/2 54/8 56/19 58/17 59/18 66/3 69/12 73/17 74/17 75/7 76/17 77/1 79/10 80/10 greatly [1] 70/11 gritty [1] 81/19 ground [1] 44/25 group [5] 6/2 11/1 guess [5] 45/24 49/24 60/17 76/9 80/10 guessing [1] 80/15 **Guinther [1]** 53/8 had [78] 2/25 3/5 3/11 5/7 5/8 5/10 5/10 5/12 5/22 7/18 10/10 11/10 14/21 17/3 18/22 19/16 34/16 40/5 47/11 47/13 21/5 21/14 21/18 21/19 22/4 25/16 25/25 27/14 27/17 27/24 27/25 28/3 28/7 28/10 28/24 28/25 29/7 29/9 29/19 29/20 29/23 30/5 30/25 31/4 31/8 31/11 31/13 31/16 head [2] 19/25 72/21 31/22 32/7 32/13 32/15 32/23 32/25 33/1 34/17 34/19 34/20 35/4 35/9 36/21 39/19 42/22 46/25 48/2 48/5 48/8 50/7 53/18 54/6 54/6 60/7 61/12 61/12 62/7 62/7 63/8 63/9 65/7 65/22 68/2 73/25 handful [2] 64/22 78/13 29/13 32/15 handling [1] 61/6 hang [8] 15/18 41/24

have [155] haven't [4] 11/22 36/15 50/12 60/23 having [15] 3/25 21/4 21/16 22/16 24/24 29/12 33/18 34/9 35/11 35/16 46/15 51/1 64/24 71/8 71/9 haystack [1] 80/18 **Hazenstab** [1] 30/5 **he [23]** 9/5 17/19 17/23 29/14 34/15 48/10 48/11 48/12 52/20 53/14 53/24 55/12 55/25 56/1 56/1 he's [3] 23/10 69/7 80/13 hear [7] 4/18 14/5 16/1 16/4 23/25 42/20 56/9 heard [7] 4/16 9/3 13/17 14/1 14/3 14/6 29/5 hearing [11] 10/12 11/10 20/13 21/1 21/3 21/25 25/11 38/10 49/20 58/5 77/22 Heinz [1] 48/1 held [2] 63/23 83/8 help [11] 28/5 44/12 69/3 71/17 80/6 80/14 80/21 51/19 69/5 69/17 69/18 helpful [13] 15/7 30/9 31/14 37/8 43/2 43/14 happen [4] 6/14 39/12 52/4 52/9 68/19 70/18 72/22 76/3 77/25 her [50] 6/2 11/1 11/21 35/22 48/5 57/9 57/22 12/10 14/13 14/18 17/12 18/8 18/15 18/16 18/17 19/5 19/11 22/25 25/24 26/18 33/10 hard [5] 4/8 8/18 24/11 33/14 34/23 35/11 45/3 45/5 45/17 45/23 47/8 47/16 47/20 48/12 49/8 49/12 49/14 52/24

21/10 21/21 22/14 23/5 53/10 55/18 56/22 57/7 23/6 27/16 32/16 32/18 58/23 59/1 60/9 60/12 33/4 40/9 41/16 41/17 60/13 60/20 64/24 41/25 42/14 47/7 49/3 66/25 70/10 75/12 49/9 49/17 59/21 59/22 75/15 75/18 75/24 79/6 61/8 62/13 63/10 66/16 here [21] 5/16 6/23 71/12 71/19 72/19 9/10 22/6 27/1 29/11 72/21 73/17 74/3 79/21 35/24 37/15 39/3 43/25 81/7 81/16 44/13 46/14 51/19 54/8 hasn't [4] 37/2 59/25 65/24 66/1 69/2 74/11 71/19 71/19 75/17 79/8 80/4 Here's [1] 59/18 hereby [1] 83/6 hers [2] 30/13 52/23 herself [6] 11/11 33/24 36/5 43/4 49/11 62/6 high [22] 12/24 13/15 16/15 20/14 20/15 26/25 27/1 27/6 33/5 33/11 33/19 33/23 34/11 35/8 52/2 52/2 52/12 52/13 52/24 53/2 55/17 55/18 **him [11]** 16/7 28/19 46/8 46/8 46/8 47/12 48/9 53/25 57/6 64/24 79/23 56/13 56/16 58/7 61/22 himself [2] 9/4 23/11 hire [3] 46/8 75/5 79/4 hired [9] 46/3 46/7 73/24 74/18 77/3 77/5 77/6 78/25 79/9 hiring [11] 50/18 62/16 63/15 73/8 73/13 74/21 75/20 77/4 78/19 79/2 79/5 his [17] 11/14 28/21 40/4 51/25 52/2 52/2 52/19 52/21 54/16 54/17 54/19 54/21 57/4 57/10 58/6 58/7 60/5 Heinze [2] 48/13 48/19 history [1] 27/23 hit [1] 68/6 **hold [1]** 46/5 50/10 51/15 58/17 59/8 hole [2] 69/14 69/14 HOLLANDER [3] 1/11 2/4 2/6 **HOLLY [2]** 1/19 2/12 homework [2] 3/9 78/5 hominem [1] 40/8 honest [1] 37/13 Honor [80] 2/19 2/24 4/4 4/16 5/6 5/14 8/5 8/6 9/2 10/7 10/7 11/22 12/20 13/12 13/17 14/3 15/16 19/13 19/18 20/7

81/24 82/2

71/16 71/17

happened [5] 28/3

happening [1] 45/7

59/7 67/14 69/11

24/24 25/7

happy [5] 9/11 50/25

has [39] 4/7 9/4 13/8

13/22 20/18 20/23

20/11 20/13 21/10

22/11 23/20 23/24 24/1

24/4 24/16 25/5 25/19

## Honor... [49] 27/14 29/11 35/15 37/7 37/9 37/19 37/20 37/24 39/6 39/16 39/24 40/6 40/10 40/17 41/1 42/22 44/2 44/14 45/3 46/7 46/12 47/2 49/19 50/2 51/6 51/14 54/9 56/25 57/8 59/7 59/19 60/3 64/17 68/19 69/1 69/11 70/1 72/3 72/25 73/5 73/21 74/16 75/8 77/23 79/19 80/3 80/25 82/1 82/10 **HONORABLE** [1] 1/11 hope [5] 3/25 4/11 4/19 22/23 78/17 hoping [1] 5/3 hours [6] 10/2 10/3 34/19 60/9 65/17 78/3 how [29] 6/5 6/17 11/14 19/9 33/23 34/25 35/1 35/13 36/11 39/8 40/15 41/7 41/22 42/11 49/6 50/11 53/24 54/5 54/25 59/17 60/24 62/21 62/23 66/15 69/9 69/16 76/5 77/4 81/3 however [5] 5/22 10/18 40/23 53/6 63/3 huge [2] 33/6 47/17 **human [1]** 13/20

**I'd [5]** 37/7 38/17 44/2 63/4 69/11 I'II [12] 26/11 27/19 28/5 28/6 31/19 37/13 39/2 41/5 42/19 45/20 52/13 59/23 I'm [109] I've [11] 13/13 16/2 22/20 24/20 24/22 32/17 37/15 39/18 54/9 81/12 81/12 idea [5] 8/13 25/24 28/18 34/9 74/4 identification [1] 14/10 identified [10] 9/5 9/17 10/1 19/1 21/4 21/7 29/23 39/11 42/23 71/25 identifies [1] 81/6 identify [5] 7/8 42/11 76/12 80/2 80/14 identifying [2] 26/19 51/9

hundred [1] 26/15

**hurdles [1]** 23/15

imply [1] 26/20 important [6] 7/14 7/14 13/4 37/25 44/8 77/21 impressions [6] 19/20 20/2 21/25 26/4 26/18 36/11 impugned [1] 25/2 inaccurate [2] 10/9 37/13 inaudible [1] 47/13 inbox [1] 67/20 included [7] 31/23 43/10 55/18 55/20 61/13 63/8 69/23 including [1] 43/15 inconvenience [1] 4/10 **incorrect [1]** 13/6 incredible [2] 23/1 36/12 incredibly [3] 21/21 22/23 38/23 incumbent [1] 37/20 indicate [4] 27/16 47/15 65/19 71/11 indicated [14] 5/10 13/13 26/6 26/8 26/8 31/7 32/12 34/15 35/18 53/22 54/10 60/5 62/3 73/21 indications [2] 64/10 68/11 indictment [1] 68/13 **individuals** [1] 16/16 **information [15]** 6/16 6/20 6/22 7/22 12/16 41/2 41/5 42/2 42/5 54/7 69/19 79/21 80/6 81/7 81/18 **informing [2]** 28/5 28/6 **InfoTek [10]** 47/19 48/8 56/25 65/8 75/13 76/15 76/22 77/6 77/7 79/24 InfoTek's [3] 55/20 76/15 77/12 infuriated [1] 32/22 initial [1] 28/18 inquiry [1] 4/23 **Inspector [2]** 2/14 41/16 instructions [1] 15/20 insult [2] 50/3 50/4 interchangeably [1] 72/2 interested [6] 4/18

4/24 7/17 19/22 20/3

illuminate [1] 4/20

63/12 interim [1] 3/8 internal [1] 78/12 interrupt [2] 12/13 24/13 interrupting [1] 20/6 **interrupts** [1] 20/6 intervention [1] 25/10 interview [1] 47/8 interviewed [3] 74/19 75/4 77/15 interviews [4] 7/19 77/1 77/5 77/7 introduced [11] 33/21 48/6 48/7 49/3 62/3 62/14 64/22 65/1 65/2 65/2 66/5 investigative [1] 36/19 investigator [1] 36/4 involve [3] 24/15 49/7 59/4 involved [9] 14/8 14/9 14/9 30/2 58/4 58/22 67/9 74/7 81/19 involves [2] 58/18 62/24 involving [1] 69/22 **Ironbridge [19]** 5/16 5/17 7/19 36/10 43/17 43/18 43/21 45/9 46/24 49/4 50/15 50/25 58/19 62/1 62/5 71/22 73/8 73/13 79/2 ironic [1] 23/7 is [205] isn't [7] 7/21 10/5 28/8 January 2023 [3] 53/3 38/11 70/18 72/7 79/18 53/13 53/17 issue [14] 4/6 5/14 5/16 36/1 49/10 59/23 62/22 65/20 67/10 67/11 72/23 72/24 75/17 76/24 issues [5] 3/20 15/23 22/16 24/7 54/11 it [210] it's [68] 2/6 4/19 7/3 7/17 8/4 9/22 10/24 11/25 13/8 13/14 15/3 15/7 19/7 20/7 20/21 20/22 22/12 23/7 23/11 Jermain [1] 29/12 23/15 25/3 27/2 31/23 33/6 33/19 35/22 35/25 **job [4]** 5/18 45/3 45/5 37/20 38/15 39/20 39/21 40/14 41/7 44/20 joined [1] 2/21 48/17 49/8 49/21 58/16 jointly [1] 17/16 58/24 60/1 62/21 62/25 Jonathan [6] 17/8 63/7 64/6 64/20 69/10 69/14 71/25 72/4 72/12 61/15

79/15 80/9 80/15 80/16 Judicial [1] 83/10 80/21 80/21 81/1 81/9 81/22 81/22 43/15 44/15 62/16 63/14 63/22 items [2] 5/8 21/9 **ITK [1]** 76/11 its [5] 7/22 38/14 71/13 73/1 80/1 itself [10] 20/24 25/1 28/9 33/7 36/20 40/7 48/8 65/3 65/13 76/11 **JACKY [3]** 1/5 2/3 66/16 **JAMES [1]** 1/18 January [26] 1/7 3/1 3/2 3/16 4/22 8/15 14/16 14/20 15/10 16/12 16/13 16/24 17/7 25/4 25/6 35/19 51/18 51/22 52/15 52/17 52/23 53/3 53/13 53/17 61/2 83/12 **January 10 [2]** 15/10 51/22 January 10th [4] 16/12 16/13 16/24 52/17 January 11 [1] 52/15 January 11th [1] 52/23 **January 5 [1]** 25/4 January 5th [2] 3/1 25/6 46/2 46/3 46/4 46/7

January 2022 [1] 4/22 January 4th [1] 61/2 January 9th [1] 3/2 **Jason [16]** 17/9 18/4 47/9 52/19 53/14 53/15 53/19 53/20 53/21 54/13 56/9 60/4 **JEFFERSON [2]** 1/14 2/10 **Jim [1]** 2/11 49/25 Laughter [1] 58/11 18/2 52/17 55/25 60/7 law [1] 47/23 lawyers [3] 39/9 42/2 72/16 75/22 76/6 77/20 Judge [2] 2/4 2/6 77/24 77/24 78/16 79/8 judgment [1] 70/9 lays [3] 61/1 61/7 73/3

judicious [1] 70/12 **JULIE [2]** 1/19 2/13 item [8] 5/10 5/15 5/21 July [6] 11/2 29/4 63/23 64/4 64/21 71/23 July **2017 [2]** 63/23 64/4 July 28th [1] 64/21 jumped [1] 24/15 juncture [1] 78/3 June [2] 10/22 28/23 jury [1] 16/22 just [90] justified [1] 23/13

> **KATZEN [2]** 1/17 2/22 keep [4] 35/23 51/5 65/25 70/2 keeping [1] 82/5 kept [2] 29/25 62/8 key [1] 21/5 keyword [2] 12/25 13/1 keywords [1] 17/4 kind [8] 35/8 45/21 62/24 65/12 75/6 76/10 80/5 81/17 knew [4] 30/4 71/7 71/8 80/19

knowledge [3] 13/23 17/22 31/18 knows [2] 32/19 78/4 Kristin [8] 17/8 18/1 52/11 52/15 53/12 66/15 66/22 72/13

82/3

know [89]

labeled [1] 49/20 laptop [1] 41/21 laptops [1] 41/18 large [1] 55/20 largely [1] 23/1 larger [1] 43/10 last [19] 3/1 3/22 3/23 5/7 5/15 8/14 10/12 11/12 11/17 11/19 17/4 25/3 35/5 35/18 36/3 41/16 57/19 75/10 81/7 late [3] 28/23 48/2 61/7 later [4] 26/12 29/5 31/1 38/19 latitude [2] 22/18 22/19

Ronda J. Thomas, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Reporter

lead [3] 47/10 53/21 63/2 learn [2] 19/22 35/1 learned [2] 7/15 66/1 learning [2] 5/1 20/1 least [9] 5/25 13/14 24/14 24/22 28/3 35/5 63/3 65/8 79/25 leave [7] 8/7 32/7 34/17 38/21 53/20 70/19 73/10 leaves [1] 34/2 leaving [4] 11/13 62/8 69/16 73/25 led [1] 31/13 left [6] 29/14 32/15 61/12 61/22 68/4 73/6 length [2] 21/21 82/5 lengthy [1] 61/10 less [2] 12/12 56/3 lesser [1] 80/7 let [13] 2/9 14/5 16/2 16/6 18/15 25/22 27/21 40/20 58/13 69/6 76/7 76/20 82/3 let's [12] 23/21 39/2 39/4 39/14 39/20 45/25 46/1 46/14 49/1 49/2 77/21 80/12 letter [8] 3/16 5/8 27/18 29/17 29/18 29/18 32/22 78/10 letters [1] 9/18 level [1] 6/20 light [6] 16/23 31/19 35/8 35/9 54/8 80/12 like [60] 3/7 4/14 6/10 6/19 9/12 9/12 9/16 9/22 11/2 11/3 11/23 12/8 13/9 14/3 20/5 20/12 22/15 23/2 23/11 26/18 27/9 29/4 31/24 32/1 33/5 34/4 37/3 37/7 37/18 38/21 40/11 42/25 44/2 44/20 44/24 48/15 48/16 48/25 54/3 54/12 55/20 55/24 57/10 57/11 57/17 57/23 58/7 61/15 61/18 64/1 64/25 65/9 65/19 67/19 73/19 76/12 76/14 77/16 77/18 77/19 likely [1] 19/13 limit [1] 68/12 74/11 76/15 limitations [1] 15/21 lots [1] 26/12 limited [7] 6/19 53/8 low [6] 48/7 52/2 52/3 60/6 67/23 73/14 78/13 52/12 52/13 55/19 79/9

**limiting [1]** 77/3 line [8] 2/18 35/7 35/17 42/1 42/16 59/25 **LYNN [1]** 1/5 69/18 81/25 lines [1] 42/22 list [20] 5/7 9/6 26/5 26/22 52/1 52/5 52/6 52/10 52/16 52/18 53/17 54/2 54/19 54/20 58/4 61/10 64/14 64/15 71/9 73/7 listed [4] 44/15 52/21 55/14 65/7 listen [3] 37/16 38/4 74/9 **listening [1]** 34/2 lists [3] 26/13 26/14 26/14 literally [3] 56/2 65/13 69/4 litigate [2] 40/10 40/11 little [3] 18/5 27/12 30/14 live [1] 39/2 locate [2] 75/14 80/6 locations [1] 56/4 lockup [1] 4/9 lodged [1] 24/4 Lombard [1] 1/24 long [6] 11/14 32/4 35/17 50/22 54/25 81/9 longer [1] 29/12 look [18] 3/12 11/23 15/9 20/24 21/14 21/16 24/18 25/14 28/20 36/17 39/5 40/23 57/10 58/7 67/8 78/18 80/15 81/17 looked [2] 71/8 77/10 looking [17] 20/19 26/21 30/18 43/3 57/9 59/11 68/8 69/1 69/7 71/12 78/11 78/12 78/20 78/23 79/1 79/8 79/17 looks [3] 57/11 57/17 57/23 **Lori** [1] 30/5 loss [12] 20/21 22/22 24/7 24/9 25/7 25/13 34/12 36/2 37/22 39/25 63/21 67/10 **lot [16]** 9/23 12/10 22/18 22/19 23/4 23/14 March 2016 [1] 55/3 32/8 32/13 37/15 39/1

54/11 55/22 66/16 71/8

43/7 80/16 **lunch [1]** 76/13 lunchtime [1] 3/3 ma'am [4] 14/7 14/13 30/19 62/2 15/14 19/2 **MacDonald** [1] 30/5 made [24] 4/23 6/6 6/8 11/19 12/6 16/24 18/21 27/12 29/24 31/5 35/9 72/7 38/1 38/17 39/21 40/9 50/6 51/2 61/1 61/1 69/20 74/5 74/8 74/11 79/20 mainly [1] 41/3 83/9 Mair [8] 17/8 18/2 52/11 52/15 53/12 40/19 66/15 66/22 72/13 majority [1] 71/10 make [23] 9/13 11/16 18/6 19/15 20/20 23/23 24/1 24/8 24/19 29/6 30/3 32/21 37/20 39/12 40/11 40/13 41/12 59/13 68/3 69/18 71/1 71/3 82/7 makes [1] 25/11 80/19 81/9 making [3] 7/13 48/19 79/6 78/24

managed [1] 48/11 management [22] 43/16 43/24 49/4 55/11 55/11 63/9 63/23 64/6 64/20 65/6 65/7 65/14 65/17 68/9 68/14 68/15 68/16 68/23 68/23 71/23 71/25 72/1 manager [25] 10/22 43/20 44/1 44/17 45/4 47/11 49/8 49/13 53/23 56/16 59/2 59/10 59/15 60/10 60/20 62/5 62/18 63/1 63/3 63/14 64/3 68/18 68/22 71/21 75/13 many [13] 6/17 12/3 21/12 24/11 26/22 29/19 29/19 32/20

32/20 40/23 41/7 41/22 69/9 March [4] 8/19 10/22 48/2 55/3 **March 7th [1]** 8/19

marginal [1] 33/22 **MARYLAND [4]** 1/1 1/6 1/25 83/6

massaged [1] 62/7 massive [3] 30/25

material [9] 7/4 8/23 12/17 21/5 34/13 36/12 58/20 74/11 80/17 materiality [3] 5/19 materials [14] 4/20 6/21 20/25 21/2 21/5 21/8 22/2 24/9 24/24 28/7 63/22 66/5 71/22 matter [14] 1/9 3/5 19/4 25/13 29/13 32/6 34/11 36/14 48/12 71/14 80/9 80/21 80/21 matters [3] 3/1 33/1 Maxine [2] 57/10 61/5 may [31] 8/5 8/24 44/4 47/4 49/16 51/14

11/23 11/24 13/10 15/8 15/11 15/16 24/1 39/18 57/4 57/12 59/20 60/21 61/21 62/15 62/19 63/18 69/2 70/20 71/18 meantime [1] 7/21 73/11 74/3 75/18 79/12 medal [1] 28/11

maybe [15] 10/2 12/8 13/14 28/8 28/23 30/20 31/24 34/4 38/2 39/1 41/25 63/1 69/6 76/14

**MCCOMBER [57]** 1/5 2/3 7/18 7/23 9/6 16/16 memory [1] 63/25 18/18 18/19 18/20 22/1 mention [2] 31/15 26/6 26/16 29/23 35/10 76/8 36/5 36/22 43/4 43/9 44/16 44/22 47/7 47/15 13/2 74/17 75/9 75/9 48/21 49/6 49/7 49/17 49/18 50/12 55/17 56/21 57/6 58/16 60/6 60/16 60/17 60/19 60/19 61/20 62/5 64/5 64/7 64/23 65/17 65/22 66/8 66/19 69/22 69/23 73/14 73/16 75/11 77/16 77/17 79/4 79/21 mid-March [1] 10/22 80/4 81/2

McComber's [12] 17/6 17/11 18/8 24/25 36/24 56/15 64/9 66/20 71/6 71/9 73/22 74/17 me [55] 2/9 2/11 3/19 7/23 8/7 8/14 10/14 11/15 12/15 13/6 14/5 16/3 17/21 20/6 22/14 22/22 24/15 25/22 27/21 29/12 31/1 31/15 32/19 35/3 35/20 36/1

37/11 37/12 37/20 40/20 40/25 42/18 43/24 44/12 45/18 48/10 49/15 49/17 50/4 50/5 50/9 50/12 52/6 58/13 58/14 58/15 58/23 59/13 63/25 69/2 69/6 75/2 76/7 76/8 82/3 Meade [2] 57/10 61/5

mean [40] 3/19 6/3 6/24 7/13 8/6 8/19 11/23 18/18 30/12 32/11 35/3 35/10 35/16 36/17 38/15 45/3 45/16 47/7 54/18 55/13 55/22 56/1 58/1 58/18 60/17 62/10 62/12 62/21 65/24 67/22 68/21 69/13 73/20 74/6 74/9 75/2 76/7 77/6 80/11 81/5

means [5] 6/15 38/2 74/15 81/24 82/11 meant [1] 81/13 meeting [8] 64/4 64/8 64/9 66/12 67/24 68/11 69/8 78/14

meetings [1] 76/13 **Megan [1]** 53/5 memo [3] 7/23 9/14 20/22

mentioned [6] 11/10 75/10

Merit [1] 83/4 merits [3] 38/22 81/12 81/14

method [1] 15/23 methodology [1] 13/11

mid [1] 10/22 midst [1] 18/20 might [15] 7/16 12/11 24/21 31/13 31/14 32/5 36/23 36/23 45/19 68/12 73/6 74/19 79/3 80/6 81/7

mind [4] 35/3 70/4 80/13 80/15 mine [1] 36/12 minute [1] 60/22 minutes [3] 40/16 42/1

48/12

### M

missed [1] 31/8 misspeak [1] 68/21 misspoke [1] 57/9 misstatements [1] 40/18

mistake [2] 10/8 73/10 mistakes [1] 74/11 misunderstanding [1] 78/1

mocking [1] 40/4 mockup [1] 48/9 modifications [1] 65/10

moment [5] 16/2 27/21 51/19 69/5 75/17 month [7] 12/4 29/5 39/11 55/6 55/8 57/16 65/16

monthly [9] 43/19 43/21 49/12 49/13 49/21 50/14 50/24 51/9 71/20

months [10] 8/22 11/15 21/13 24/11 26/9 48/3 48/18 55/4 57/16 71/7

months' [1] 10/16 more [23] 9/25 10/24 12/8 18/3 19/22 20/20 22/15 22/24 32/20 35/1 39/1 39/23 40/20 41/7 42/11 42/17 42/24 44/3 57/3 66/1 67/19 68/12 69/10

moreover [5] 26/11 34/8 47/17 65/10 71/8 morning [4] 2/2 2/19 23/8 51/8

most [6] 23/4 24/14 36/9 47/22 58/14 63/10 **motion [2]** 54/12 56/12

move [3] 18/22 59/8 78/6

moving [1] 14/21 Mr [2] 10/5 73/23 Mr. [70] 3/5 5/5 6/10 8/25 9/3 9/8 10/1 11/13 16/6 16/9 17/17 17/21 17/23 19/12 20/1 20/5 23/8 25/8 27/9 28/3 28/8 28/14 28/19 28/25 29/12 34/15 37/5 39/1 39/7 39/18 40/4 40/18 40/25 41/9 42/12 43/12 46/16 48/9 48/21 51/2 54/8 54/10 55/10 55/17 56/19 56/21 58/17 59/18 61/5 61/21 61/21

66/3 66/8 66/19 69/12 69/19 73/17 74/7 74/17 75/7 76/17 77/1 78/8 78/17 79/10 80/10

Mr. Ahlers [6] 17/17 17/23 28/8 28/19 28/25 39/1

Mr. Ahlers' [1] 28/14 Mr. Cooch [5] 17/21 29/12 54/10 61/5 74/7 Mr. Craig [1] 65/11 Mr. Doyle [10] 46/16 48/9 48/21 55/10 55/17 Ms. Richman [4] 56/21 64/23 65/21 66/8 66/19

Mr. Gray [33] 3/5 5/5 9/3 9/8 10/1 16/6 16/9 19/12 20/1 20/5 23/8 25/8 27/9 28/3 37/5 39/18 40/4 40/18 43/12 51/2 54/8 56/19 58/17 59/18 66/3 69/12 73/17 74/17 75/7 76/17 77/1 79/10 80/10

Mr. Pine [12] 6/10 8/25 11/13 34/15 39/7 40/25 41/9 42/12 63/5 69/19 78/8 78/17

Mr. Pugh [2] 61/21 61/21

**Ms [26]** 2/8 4/13 10/8 13/7 16/7 19/8 19/14 19/17 25/22 26/3 28/1 28/22 31/10 32/16 32/22 33/4 34/9 34/21 34/22 37/18 41/25 43/24 49/17 69/22 73/14 82/9

Ms. [124]

**Ms. Derrow [1]** 34/19 Ms. Derrow's [2] 9/19 71/12

**Ms. Heinze [2]** 48/13 48/19

Ms. McComber [52] 7/18 7/23 9/6 16/16 18/18 18/19 18/20 22/1 26/6 26/16 29/23 35/10 36/5 36/22 43/4 43/9 44/16 44/22 47/7 47/15 name [10] 2/15 41/3 48/21 49/6 49/7 49/18 60/19 60/19 61/20 62/5 names [9] 7/11 7/16 64/5 64/7 64/23 65/17 65/22 66/8 66/19 69/23 73/16 75/11 77/16 77/17 79/4 79/21 80/4 81/2

63/5 64/23 65/11 65/21 Ms. McComber's [12] 17/6 17/11 18/8 24/25 36/24 56/15 64/9 66/20 nature [1] 50/21 71/6 71/9 73/22 74/17 Ms. Peacy [25] 6/11 6/11 8/25 10/2 12/21 14/2 14/5 14/5 16/11 17/2 18/1 18/9 21/3 26/24 27/4 39/7 40/24 42/12 51/7 51/15 51/16 57/8 61/5 63/5 69/19 Ms. Peacy's [2] 2/15 9/24

23/14 27/16 29/6 37/6 Ms. Richman have [1] 61/25

Ms. Richman's [1] 30/21

Ms. Weeks [22] 31/10 35/19 44/3 44/5 44/12 47/4 49/15 56/6 58/10 58/13 60/13 61/2 61/9 61/24 63/24 69/7 70/5 71/2 74/16 77/9 80/10 81/8

much [14] 2/8 2/17 12/17 21/20 22/14 22/22 33/23 34/25 35/1 new [5] 13/9 28/6 36/11 38/17 42/14 70/3 34/16 36/12 48/1 82/12

multiple [4] 15/24 34/13 43/22 77/4 **must [1]** 46/5 **mute [1]** 73/6 my [43] 3/8 3/15 4/7 6/3 8/7 8/11 9/7 9/10 12/2 12/21 13/12 15/20 18/6 19/19 20/2 21/25 22/8 22/12 23/18 28/2 28/8 28/12 29/17 31/7 32/8 32/9 32/13 32/18 35/18 39/2 40/21 44/19 47/24 48/14 61/1 65/24 69/5 71/4 72/21 75/16 78/3 79/24 81/13

myself [3] 40/8 42/5 57/5

52/19 52/21 54/6 61/13 normal [1] 42/12 50/12 55/17 56/21 57/6 79/3 79/25 80/1 80/19 58/16 60/6 60/16 60/17 named [2] 12/24 16/16 not [130] 11/24 54/2 66/13 76/22 79/9 80/23 81/2 narrow [6] 4/22 24/6 47/6 50/11 50/13 58/15 **narrowed [2]** 4/1

24/23 naturally [1] 68/20 necessarily [7] 3/14 13/9 62/12 63/19 70/22 77/18 77/19 **necessary [4]** 36/16 56/1 78/8 82/6 need [33] 4/7 8/21 10/4 13/6 17/1 25/19 35/15 35/20 39/9 40/14 November 21st [1] 41/2 41/6 41/9 41/12 41/22 42/3 42/5 42/15 50/11 58/17 60/8 60/22 60/23 61/4 64/14 67/3 67/7 67/13 69/16 71/17 72/16 75/14 78/16 needed [2] 9/20 28/18 needle [1] 80/18 needs [5] 6/14 15/22 39/14 53/25 71/19 neighborhood [1] 3/23

neither [1] 75/17 net [1] 80/8 never [10] 16/2 18/13 25/2 27/19 30/13 30/21 51/6 70/23 79/12 80/5 news [1] 38/25 next [7] 16/19 21/24 22/3 40/2 71/15 71/16 73/2 night [2] 3/22 3/23

nine [1] 40/16 **nitty [1]** 81/19 **nitty-gritty** [1] 81/19 no [30] 1/4 4/16 5/10 12/4 12/14 14/4 14/25 14/25 15/18 15/18 22/12 22/12 25/12 29/12 39/19 39/20 42/10 47/14 47/14 48/17 53/25 54/4 57/2 69/10 71/10 72/21 72/25 74/4 77/12 78/23 **nobody [2]** 11/5 74/9 nominate [1] 77/11 none [2] 17/12 34/2 noon [1] 4/6 **NORTHERN [1]** 1/2

note [4] 7/20 29/11 37/7 79/19 **noted** [1] 5/14 notes [12] 1/22 7/12 8/1 8/7 8/11 9/13 19/5 19/19 20/2 26/2 26/5

31/7 nothing [10] 22/7 26/18 30/1 30/5 34/12 40/15 46/25 58/22 60/8

**November [7]** 8/10 21/1 25/11 32/12 51/20 53/4 53/16 November 2022 [1]

51/20 32/12

now [34] 2/9 9/3 11/9 13/22 14/10 15/9 15/12 19/5 19/15 21/13 21/20 22/12 22/25 23/10 23/17 23/21 25/20 29/16 29/17 30/10 35/12 36/1 37/6 39/2 40/19 42/13 42/18 48/20 48/22 50/9 62/13 63/19 80/11 80/22 **NSA [65]** 1/18 1/19

1/19 2/13 3/11 4/17 4/18 4/20 6/7 7/21 9/15 19/24 19/25 20/24 26/7 26/17 30/14 31/19 32/7 33/12 33/15 33/24 36/4 36/10 39/5 39/10 40/23 42/15 45/11 47/8 47/13 51/6 58/17 59/18 60/9 60/18 61/6 61/10 61/22 62/23 63/13 64/5 65/18 66/12 66/19 67/17 68/22 69/21 70/3 71/17 71/24 72/8 74/7 75/25 76/1 76/9 76/10 76/19 77/11 77/11 77/15 78/5 78/13 78/24 81/7

**NSA's [3]** 8/20 60/14 76/16 NSA-OGC [1] 1/18 **NSA-OIG [2]** 1/19 1/19 NSOC [5] 26/17 33/16 33/17 34/4 56/2 number [31] 2/3 4/1 10/25 13/3 26/8 33/20

33/21 41/4 43/12 43/13 43/22 44/15 46/17 50/17 50/18 50/18 50/20 55/20 58/13 60/9 62/16 63/16 63/18 63/22 66/24 67/23 69/25 71/16 73/10 73/12 75/21 numbers [1] 42/6

obtain [1] 28/18 obtained [2] 31/12

## obtained... [1] 54/7 obviously [11] 3/1 17/21 35/11 55/16 67/15 67/22 74/4 74/13 75/1 75/19 81/5 occasioned [2] 23/18 27/11 occasions [1] 13/8 occur [1] 76/8 October [1] 32/2 off [8] 18/11 37/12 42/25 54/9 65/10 72/21 80/17 82/11 offer [1] 65/22 offered [1] 5/24 office [12] 2/11 2/12 2/13 2/20 6/12 14/16 14/24 16/12 34/3 34/5 41/17 68/4 officer's [1] 66/23 Official [4] 1/24 15/4 83/1 83/16 officials [2] 64/5 66/12 offsite [6] 45/12 45/15 47/16 47/18 56/17 77/17 often [1] 20/7 **OGC [1]** 1/18 oh [5] 7/2 14/24 15/3 70/3 79/22 **OIG [3]** 1/19 1/19 30/5 okay [33] 2/23 2/25 4/13 5/5 7/12 11/8 15/5 17/25 19/12 19/17 25/21 27/8 40/13 40/20 43/15 44/10 46/14 49/1 50/22 55/9 59/7 60/16 62/10 63/12 64/16 71/6 71/15 72/9 72/24 77/18 77/25 81/23 82/9 onboard [1] 79/5 onboarding [1] 79/6 once [8] 16/2 30/17 31/5 32/1 39/10 42/11 73/12 73/13 one [43] 3/14 3/15 3/17 6/11 6/15 6/19 13/19 13/21 15/20 16/4 16/4 16/5 18/2 23/9 26/2 35/25 37/23 38/6 41/8 41/20 43/22 47/7 47/13 47/22 48/14 50/4 51/3 51/4 55/6 55/7 57/3 57/16 58/14 58/14 61/18 62/1 65/5 65/6

68/12 71/1 71/16 75/9

26/15 43/4 43/9 51/22

ones [7] 12/9 13/1

76/7

69/22 ongoing [1] 61/23 only [18] 13/19 13/21 15/4 16/4 16/4 17/5 17/11 29/20 37/10 50/4 51/4 51/8 52/24 53/11 61/24 65/18 68/3 78/3 onsite [2] 6/13 47/13 openings [2] 50/15 50/24 opportunity [4] 5/24 25/20 36/21 39/19 opposed [1] 24/25 opposing [1] 19/7 order [2] 4/2 62/7 ordering [1] 77/22 ordinary [1] 23/14 organizing [1] 45/8 original [4] 8/14 30/2 47/8 52/21 originally [4] 10/14 18/12 32/14 54/20 other [34] 5/17 8/20 page [5] 11/23 36/7 11/11 11/12 13/7 13/10 15/8 15/22 15/25 16/1 20/22 21/2 23/11 27/19 pages [9] 7/3 11/23 38/4 38/5 40/9 43/19 47/18 54/6 56/3 56/20 59/1 62/15 63/24 66/16 painted [1] 45/16 72/24 73/20 75/13 77/2 paper [1] 31/20 others [3] 6/2 11/1 51/21 Otherwise [1] 70/15 our [19] 4/19 4/23 9/13 Paragraph 2 [1] 55/14 9/14 20/21 20/22 21/10 paralegal [1] 29/13 21/12 24/5 25/7 25/13 28/18 35/9 37/21 41/1 41/5 54/20 56/12 68/4 out [69] 5/25 6/17 6/18 6/18 6/22 6/25 8/6 8/9 8/10 8/12 8/25 9/1 10/10 10/13 12/7 19/10 24/11 26/7 26/17 30/1 30/14 30/16 30/22 30/23 31/1 31/3 31/4 31/7 31/10 31/18 32/1 32/5 32/8 33/1 34/19 34/24 35/10 36/10 39/15 40/23 41/3 41/6 41/6 41/7 41/22 41/23 42/3 42/4 42/20 44/12 48/8 48/16 48/24 53/20 2/20 4/4 9/2 61/1 61/7 61/16 61/25 65/25 68/1 69/14 69/15 69/21 71/5 73/3 73/6 73/10 75/14 78/9 outreach [1] 76/12 outset [1] 4/5 outstanding [2] 20/23

21/12 over [15] 3/3 4/9 12/4 18/16 18/20 19/25 20/14 22/15 22/16 43/17 44/6 49/23 53/6 73/2 81/12 overall [5] 10/17 10/25 12/11 30/7 30/8 overbroad [1] 58/16 overcome [1] 23/16 Overlapping [14] 10/6 13/18 15/17 17/14 20/4 46/13 46/20 57/1 59/12 67/2 67/5 74/1 74/22 74/25 own [8] 12/2 15/21 28/13 31/7 36/24 47/16 79/9 79/15 80/14 81/6 71/13 77/12

**P-E-A-C-Y [1]** 2/16

p.m [1] 82/13

packed [1] 34/5

78/4 82/7 83/9 36/20 55/21 62/8 painstaking [1] 11/25 papers [1] 54/12 Paragraph [2] 55/14 parking [1] 32/8 part [16] 9/20 12/18 17/3 28/9 33/8 33/9 35/22 43/5 47/19 52/19 52/20 53/5 65/7 73/4 74/14 75/24 participants [2] 71/24 78/13 participate [1] 32/9 particular [10] 42/4 44/3 51/9 61/13 67/12 68/7 69/3 73/21 75/8 80/12 particularly [1] 30/7 particulars [1] 41/23 **PATRICIA [4]** 1/16 pattern [2] 30/8 40/8 **PDF [1]** 3/12 **PEACY [27]** 1/19 2/12 6/11 6/11 8/25 10/2 12/21 14/2 14/5 14/5 16/11 17/2 18/1 18/9 21/3 26/24 27/4 39/7

40/24 42/12 51/7 51/15 plain [1] 29/24 51/16 57/8 61/5 63/5 69/19 Peacy's [2] 2/15 9/24 people [49] 4/19 5/17 6/7 11/12 15/24 34/1 34/2 34/14 36/4 36/9 41/2 41/7 41/19 41/20 41/23 52/14 55/24 56/1 56/2 60/7 62/14 64/8 64/12 66/14 67/23 68/20 68/22 69/8 69/9 69/10 71/24 73/23 75/5 36/23 70/19 75/12 76/1 76/9 76/12 77/2 77/3 77/5 77/7 77/10 78/9 78/20 78/23 percent [4] 33/10 33/13 33/14 42/25 perfection [1] 74/9 performance [4] 5/9 5/11 5/12 5/18 **performed [1]** 5/18 perhaps [3] 8/13 54/6 76/21 period [11] 10/17 31/14 31/17 33/1 37/23 13/14 13/15 36/4 36/18 10/20 10/23 10/24 12/4 32/13 65/16 68/13 68/13 69/23 73/24 permission [1] 44/5 person [8] 6/13 13/21 13/22 15/20 16/5 27/15 47/10 80/19 personal [2] 41/5 45/23 personally [4] 3/19 38/23 45/2 74/6 personnel [6] 30/12 30/13 61/10 72/8 76/11 79/5 perspective [3] 23/19 55/15 75/16 persuaded [1] 81/15 pertain [1] 71/23 phase [2] 42/13 62/13 phone [2] 32/9 42/6 picked [1] 73/19 picture [1] 45/17 piece [1] 47/15 PINE [15] 1/18 2/12 6/10 8/25 10/5 11/13 34/15 39/7 40/25 41/9 42/12 63/5 69/19 78/8 78/17 pint [1] 38/1 pitch [1] 11/19 place [9] 22/17 22/19 23/18 27/24 28/4 28/10 38/8 41/4 71/18 places [1] 41/17

Plaintiff [2] 1/4 1/14 plan [3] 39/8 41/7 63/9 planning [2] 6/18 45/8 plate [1] 8/20 **play [1]** 80/12 please [8] 2/15 2/18 23/24 24/2 39/18 48/4 52/8 76/20 plug [1] 68/3 Plunkett's [1] 65/11 plus [4] 36/19 36/20 **PMR [7]** 65/3 66/13 66/16 66/20 68/9 68/12 68/14 PMRs [1] 63/24 point [26] 21/10 23/24 28/22 36/17 37/19 38/1 38/17 38/20 39/22 45/22 48/16 48/24 50/5 59/23 61/2 68/5 71/8 73/15 73/17 75/4 79/19 80/16 81/8 81/9 81/15 82/4 pointing [1] 65/25 points [3] 3/18 19/14 48/9 position [5] 23/9 24/20 33/9 55/23 72/17 **positions** [3] 62/4 74/20 77/2 possession [6] 25/25 28/21 31/11 51/10 60/18 81/2 possible [4] 41/24 54/13 79/15 81/1 possibly [1] 20/20 posture [1] 80/11 potential [1] 81/6 potentially [3] 7/4 21/4 77/2 PowerPoint [3] 64/21 65/4 65/14 preference [1] 4/2 prejudice [1] 22/8 **premises** [1] 32/8 prepare [1] 49/11 prepared [2] 47/17 61/19 **preparing** [1] 73/2 present [5] 1/18 2/9 6/12 33/17 65/18 **presented** [3] 8/13 62/21 77/16 **pressure** [1] 33/1 presumably [1] 76/11 presupposes [1] 38/7 pretty [6] 10/14 11/22 33/19 35/13 60/6 65/18

## prevail [1] 44/25 preview [1] 44/4 previous [1] 61/5 previously [4] 5/13 5/24 28/7 53/4 principles [1] 47/23 **prior [1]** 9/18 prioritize [1] 8/3 priority [3] 21/3 21/7 52/5 privileged [1] 19/22 probably [11] 5/19 10/12 12/3 12/10 13/14 20/16 26/15 41/3 43/1 61/14 68/10 problem [6] 8/17 29/7 29/8 41/14 51/2 81/5 problematic [1] 58/15 proceedings [1] 83/8 process [16] 7/22 8/2 9/10 9/22 12/1 14/10 21/11 21/19 26/10 31/6 34/10 39/4 39/14 70/15 71/14 81/20 **processing [1]** 56/11 produce [14] 9/9 9/17 9/19 10/1 12/25 19/24 26/6 46/17 47/21 48/4 58/7 71/4 76/18 77/14 produced [45] 4/21 5/13 11/14 12/17 12/18 12/19 13/8 13/10 14/11 14/15 14/16 14/18 14/19 14/21 16/12 16/20 16/23 18/23 19/20 20/18 21/6 21/19 28/19 28/25 29/9 29/19 32/18 33/20 36/18 49/3 Q 49/9 50/7 55/21 59/3 59/5 59/25 60/21 61/8 62/19 64/11 66/18 68/2 70/23 74/3 75/21 **producing [1]** 21/19 product [3] 8/4 19/24 25/25 production [10] 11/20 12/22 16/20 17/4 17/5 17/7 20/3 27/25 29/1 30/3 productive [2] 67/4 67/8 professional [1] 70/9 program [34] 10/21 43/20 44/1 44/17 47/11 49/8 49/12 53/22 59/2 59/10 59/14 60/10 60/20 62/5 62/18 63/1 63/2 63/8 63/14 64/6

64/20 65/5 65/7 65/13

65/17 68/9 68/13 68/15 rate [1] 6/4 68/18 68/21 68/23 71/21 72/1 75/12 project [13] 43/16 43/24 49/4 55/10 55/11 63/23 64/3 68/15 68/16 reactions [1] 78/14 68/17 68/23 71/23 71/25 promise [1] 34/17 prompt [1] 69/20 **promptly [1]** 31/5 promptness [1] 6/9 propose [1] 79/22 **prosecutors** [1] 23/10 prospective [1] 7/19 provide [2] 32/20 67/13 15/13 17/8 17/10 17/11 17/13 24/23 28/7 **providing [1]** 28/7 psychological [1] 31/24 Public [1] 2/20 Pugh [6] 51/10 51/16 53/4 61/21 61/21 66/15 Pugh's [1] 51/20 pull [6] 18/12 34/23 51/7 51/16 51/20 54/24 pulled [5] 18/11 51/11 57/11 67/20 68/3 pulling [4] 18/21 53/1 54/21 64/25 pursuant [1] 83/6 **pursue [1]** 36/13 put [10] 11/4 13/15 25/24 26/3 27/17 29/3 41/5 41/18 42/16 61/4 qualifying [1] 51/24 quarterly [1] 64/24 question [5] 6/5 15/7 40/21 49/7 51/24 questionable [1] 30/20 questions [1] 36/21 queue [1] 8/12 quicker [1] 39/12 quickly [2] 69/15 78/6 quite [5] 8/15 27/18 32/21 48/3 76/1 quotes [2] 68/14 68/14 R raise [1] 21/1 raised [1] 57/5 raising [1] 30/11 ran [1] 12/25 range [1] 69/25

rarely [1] 65/18

rather [1] 38/17 reach [2] 5/21 48/8 reached [1] 5/22 reaction [1] 29/17 read [8] 6/15 6/20 6/20 6/22 6/25 6/25 38/19 78/8 read-in [4] 6/15 6/20 6/25 78/8 read-out [2] 6/22 6/25 reading [2] 11/5 63/17 ready [2] 16/21 16/25 real [1] 61/24 realize [1] 62/19 realized [1] 73/5 provided [9] 7/10 15/8 really [13] 16/10 22/10 6/16 22/17 62/16 23/3 23/17 28/25 35/20 Regina [3] 17/8 18/2 43/22 46/17 50/12 67/14 70/18 71/14 77/24 **Realtime [1]** 83/5 reason [9] 5/10 9/20 14/19 19/23 29/25 33/6 79/23 37/3 47/14 65/24 reasonable [8] 6/9 12/8 39/1 42/18 42/20 45/22 46/14 63/4 reasonably [1] 24/23 reasons [4] 34/11 42/14 48/14 58/19 rebuttal [1] 19/14 recall [2] 28/17 61/21 recap [1] 5/6 received [7] 8/11 11/21 14/15 14/20 19/5 26/16 60/18 receiver [1] 26/14 receptive [1] 29/21 **recessed [1]** 3/6 recipients [1] 9/7 recollection [1] 3/8 record [28] 11/5 13/16 15/6 17/2 18/6 18/17 18/25 20/11 23/5 23/23 24/2 25/3 31/20 32/21 34/3 35/22 37/20 38/1 40/3 40/11 40/13 48/17 56/8 58/7 73/4 75/15 77/12 78/2 records [12] 36/19 47/19 51/11 51/16 53/6 61/22 79/22 53/10 58/6 66/25 68/2 76/2 76/23 77/16 recruit [1] 75/14 redacted [4] 7/16 11/23 18/14 18/23 redacting [2] 7/10 10/4

redaction [7] 7/9 8/2 8/2 8/8 18/13 19/6 26/10 redactions [1] 11/25 redoing [1] 28/10 reducing [1] 63/20 refer [1] 30/11 reference [1] 21/1 reflect [5] 37/1 40/3 45/6 50/14 50/24 reflected [3] 56/12 67/24 79/24 reflecting [1] 56/17 **reflection [2]** 35/13 57/3 regard [2] 29/22 33/2 regarding [4] 3/24 52/23 Registered [1] 83/4 regulations [1] 83/10 **reiterate** [1] 71/6 rejected [2] 79/16 relate [4] 44/16 50/11 50/24 66/20 related [7] 14/14 20/21 43/25 49/12 72/10 76/12 76/24 relates [1] 64/19 relating [17] 43/19 48/6 59/1 59/9 59/14 60/12 60/19 62/17 63/15 63/22 65/15 66/5 71/20 73/8 73/13 75/20 relative [2] 57/24 58/1 relatively [1] 69/15 release [1] 7/22 relevance [4] 25/6 30/18 62/2 64/1 relevant [15] 22/21 24/9 24/21 32/5 43/25 49/6 50/8 50/16 64/6 66/17 66/21 69/4 69/23 79/20 81/7 relevant to [1] 50/16 remaining [4] 21/6 21/9 40/16 52/5 remarks [1] 40/4 remember [3] 3/22 remembering [1] 50/6 repeatedly [4] 32/25 33/6 33/25 48/16 repeating [1] 62/11 replaced [1] 62/7 report [7] 48/4 49/9 49/10 49/22 51/4 51/10

61/25 reported [2] 1/23 83/8 reporter [9] 1/24 2/5 13/20 16/5 82/12 83/1 83/4 83/5 83/16 reports [54] 5/21 30/4 43/15 43/16 43/18 43/19 43/21 43/23 43/25 44/4 44/12 44/15 44/18 44/23 45/6 46/19 46/23 47/5 47/11 47/16 47/18 47/18 48/2 48/17 48/20 49/4 49/12 49/13 49/16 50/14 50/21 50/24 55/11 55/12 55/13 55/25 58/21 58/25 59/1 59/1 59/4 59/9 60/12 60/12 60/13 60/14 60/16 60/18 62/17 62/25 63/2 63/8 63/15 71/20 representation [3] 44/6 51/2 67/18 representations [1] 16/24 representative [1] 66/23 request [15] 4/23 6/15 25/13 27/12 31/12 33/7 37/21 45/18 50/9 63/19 69/3 72/18 73/7 75/17 76/24 requested [4] 15/11 48/2 48/18 54/20 requests [13] 3/10 3/20 20/21 21/12 21/22 24/5 24/7 27/17 32/11 38/22 42/19 42/20 43/22 required [3] 45/10 47/21 59/11 resolution [3] 4/25 21/11 22/6 resolve [1] 5/3 resolved [1] 22/17 **resources** [1] 9/23 resources' [1] 9/23 respect [1] 20/13 respond [6] 25/12 25/20 27/13 37/21 39/18 51/14 responded [1] 29/8 responding [2] 3/25 38/14 response [4] 4/23 24/2 39/10 61/1 responsibilities [9] 43/20 45/17 59/2 59/10 59/14 60/20 62/17 63/14 71/21

U.S. v Jacky Lynn McComber -
R
responsibility [3]
54/10 65/11 75/24 responsible [3] 27/10
44/22 45/7
responsive [1] 21/5
rest [1] 34/24 restitution [3] 33/8
33/9 35/9
resume [1] 3/7 retired [1] 61/22
review [29] 5/25 6/21
8/2 8/8 9/11 9/13 9/15
9/17 9/20 10/15 10/15 12/3 16/15 16/17 26/10
30/2 63/23 64/3 64/24
65/14 68/9 68/14 68/23 68/24 69/21 71/23
71/25 72/1 78/9
reviewed [6] 4/21 9/4
10/11 19/20 43/10 76/3 RICHMAN [26] 1/16
2/20 4/4 4/13 10/8 16/7
19/14 19/17 23/14 25/22 26/3 27/16 28/1
28/22 29/6 31/10 32/16
33/4 34/9 34/21 34/22
37/6 37/18 41/25 43/24 61/25
Richman's [3] 13/7
30/21 32/22
right [38] 11/9 13/22 16/14 16/20 18/14
19/15 21/11 24/14
25/19 27/4 27/8 28/4 29/13 35/15 36/1 42/10
43/2 43/6 43/8 43/14
53/24 54/9 56/23 59/5
60/3 69/25 70/12 70/14 70/24 72/1 72/6 72/9
73/9 74/2 74/14 75/8
78/17 79/14 RMR [2] 1/23 83/16
<b>Roar [1]</b> 33/16
<b>Rob [2]</b> 53/11 60/7
rocks [1] 34/9 rolling [1] 81/25
Ronda [5] 1/23 2/7
82/5 83/4 83/16
room [1] 10/3 roughly [3] 3/4 11/10
36/7
rude [1] 8/19 ruling [5] 24/8 24/10
24/19 39/2 81/13
rulings [2] 81/22
81/22 run [5] 20/14 32/11
34/21 77/8 80/1
résumé [2] 63/8 76/10

S said [37] 3/7 4/15 7/17 7/24 10/8 10/13 11/13 14/6 14/25 15/1 16/21 18/1 21/14 33/6 34/21 34/22 36/3 36/25 37/15 38/24 39/20 40/24 47/7 47/10 48/10 51/3 56/20 66/3 66/24 70/5 75/3 77/2 78/1 78/14 78/15 81/12 81/12 sailed [1] 62/13 sake [1] 36/14 same [15] 15/24 17/6 18/14 19/24 37/14 39/2 49/23 53/12 56/2 57/4 57/5 72/11 72/12 78/4 82/7 **sat [1]** 27/12 saw [4] 48/5 48/6 79/12 79/13 say [39] 3/19 5/5 7/23 9/23 10/19 16/9 16/19 19/16 22/9 23/4 28/5 28/13 29/17 31/16 33/22 34/24 37/6 37/15 37/23 38/6 40/7 41/13 42/18 46/1 46/1 56/6 57/25 58/23 58/25 63/4 66/3 68/21 70/7 72/18 75/1 75/19 75/19 78/16 81/19 saying [20] 10/10 15/9 17/22 19/19 28/11 29/25 57/2 59/21 60/13 66/13 66/18 67/7 69/8 70/3 71/5 72/4 74/3 79/10 80/10 81/21 says [2] 48/21 66/15 **scheduled** [1] 7/19 **SCIF [1]** 45/11 **scooped [1]** 69/15 scope [2] 4/22 22/14 **search [62]** 14/15 14/18 15/10 16/18 17/9 17/12 17/15 18/12 20/14 22/4 22/4 34/22 34/22 51/7 51/12 52/1 52/16 52/18 52/20 53/5 53/8 53/9 53/16 53/17 53/25 54/4 54/13 54/24 57/13 57/18 58/2 58/3 58/5 61/11 61/16 62/23 63/1 63/3 63/8 63/13 67/1 67/3 67/8 67/9 67/11 67/12 67/13 68/6 68/8 68/20 69/11 71/18 74/5 74/8 74/15 76/4 76/4 76/5 76/19 76/22 11/7 30/23 31/18 55/3 77/8 80/24

searchable [1] 3/13 **searched [25]** 17/3 18/12 21/5 21/9 52/2 52/20 53/14 54/1 56/10|serves [1] 63/25 57/4 57/14 57/16 58/6 60/1 61/12 61/15 63/9 63/18 66/25 67/16 68/2 68/24 73/3 74/13 75/21 **searches [3]** 12/25 13/1 72/13 **searching [1]** 41/20 **second [4]** 12/13 50/17 53/16 69/2 secret [4] 6/20 7/4 14/13 14/14 section [1] 31/24 security [7] 30/17 30/19 30/24 31/14 31/23 41/2 41/4 see [15] 15/25 20/2 20/3 26/11 26/13 26/15 79/10 80/20 29/8 30/13 34/25 35/1 44/19 60/23 61/3 67/3 69/6 seeking [3] 15/9 24/8 72/7 seem [4] 9/11 43/24 49/25 76/1 **seemed [3]** 27/16 35/19 50/8 seems [5] 9/12 9/22 44/20 45/18 48/25 seen [7] 11/22 19/8 30/5 31/4 32/18 55/23 71/9 select [1] 18/15 **selected [1]** 18/19 **selection [1]** 16/22 send [4] 41/6 53/6 53/9 78/10 sender [1] 26/14 **sending [2]** 19/10 80/17 sense [3] 12/6 19/9 59/13 sensitive [1] 62/20 sent [28] 6/1 7/18 11/1 11/11 11/11 11/21 12/10 18/13 20/14 26/17 32/23 51/18 51/18 51/20 51/21 51/22 52/15 52/17 52/23 53/2 53/11 53/13 53/15 53/15 53/17 60/19 70/8 80/19 sentencing [3] 4/6 4/8 | 55/19 8/18 **separately [1]** 18/11 **September [5]** 11/3

September 30th [3] 11/7 30/23 31/18 **serious [1]** 74/10 **session** [1] 33/3 set [9] 9/9 20/18 21/6 34/10 34/13 34/17 37/12 42/3 78/8 setting [3] 4/17 40/22 76/13 several [2] 64/5 74/17 **shall [1]** 23/4 **share [3]** 7/6 51/25 52/3 shared [3] 52/12 54/22 62/25 she [98] **she's [12]** 45/1 45/25 46/3 47/1 49/8 58/22 58/23 65/19 66/21 75/4 site [2] 33/11 33/17 **shed [1]** 54/8 **Sherry [1]** 53/8 **ship [5]** 44/25 45/1 45/5 45/5 62/12 **Shirley [3]** 17/9 18/2 52/23 **shocked [1]** 56/9 **shoes [1]** 25/18 **short [1]** 12/20 shorten [1] 82/4 **should [13]** 29/11 38/15 46/17 49/9 55/22 **Smith [7]** 17/8 18/2 59/3 59/5 60/1 70/12 76/21 80/23 82/1 82/2 **shouldn't [2]** 41/15 70/3 show [10] 20/11 22/21 | Social [1] 41/4 24/21 24/21 35/2 40/15 solve [1] 62/21 44/16 45/19 45/23 79/24 showed [2] 47/25 62/4 **showing [2]** 57/15 79/4 **shown [1]** 36/15 shows [3] 20/12 45/10| 65/16 side [29] 12/24 13/15 16/15 20/14 20/15 23/11 26/25 27/2 27/6 33/5 33/11 33/19 33/24 34/11 35/8 48/8 52/2 52/2 52/3 52/3 52/12 52/12 52/13 52/13 52/24 53/2 55/17 55/18 sides [1] 71/17 sign [1] 7/1 signed [1] 5/11 significance [6] 12/5

12/12 26/21 34/12 61/24 71/10 significant [5] 26/22 30/6 35/14 65/9 70/9 significantly [2] 70/17 71/13 signing [2] 65/9 82/11 Silent [1] 33/16 simple [1] 37/3 simplest [1] 38/3 simply [10] 8/16 9/25 26/5 26/16 26/16 33/11 39/10 72/18 74/3 77/8 since [7] 5/15 6/11 20/23 27/11 30/2 34/25 76/8 single [3] 11/23 61/14 70/8 sit [1] 6/12 **sitting [1]** 67/19 **situation** [1] 23/15 six [2] 48/3 48/18 slash [1] 63/2 slides [3] 64/21 65/4 65/14 slow [1] 32/24 slow-walked [1] 32/24 small [6] 6/2 11/1 31/2 43/5 56/2 69/22 smaller [3] 42/23 43/1 43/8 52/17 52/24 55/25 60/7 61/15 so [146] **So-called [1]** 71/20 some [47] 3/9 3/20 3/25 13/8 13/10 13/24 14/11 15/8 15/11 15/13 19/14 23/5 24/23 27/2 27/2 28/22 28/24 29/7 31/13 36/18 37/2 38/24 41/2 41/5 41/17 42/23 43/10 43/10 43/16 45/21 48/7 51/15 51/21 54/14 55/20 58/7 59/21 61/3 62/13 63/7 66/7 70/8 70/20 75/13 76/9 80/16 80/18 somebody [5] 42/15 42/21 60/22 77/6 81/4 **somehow [2]** 37/11 49/7 someone [1] 48/4 something [34] 3/7 7/13 7/18 11/16 12/8 22/23 26/20 31/8 31/24

U.S. v Jacky Lynn McComber -	<u> </u>
S	S
something [25] 35/22 37/6 38/3 38/18 38/21 39/22 40/17 40/22 43/25 46/4 49/9 55/21 56/7 59/15 60/1	SSSS
61/18 63/4 65/11 66/1 67/20 70/5 70/18 74/12 75/22 77/20 sometimes [3] 56/4	S
68/21 72/2 somewhat [1] 29/21 somewhere [2] 3/23	S
	3 S 2 S 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 6 7 5 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
staff [1] 46/8 staffing [8] 50/18 62/16 63/15 73/8 73/13 75/20 78/19 79/2 stage [1] 62/12 stages [1] 61/6	SSSS

tand [1] 14/22 tandalone [1] 41/18 Starr [1] 52/24 Starr-Smith [1] 52/24 start [6] 19/18 25/22 tarted [3] 10/21 20/25 53/2 starting [2] 22/15 80/22 state [1] 56/8 tated [2] 40/19 53/4 tatement [5] 30/3 33/8 33/9 35/9 61/1 **STATES [6]** 1/1 1/3 2/3 2/11 83/5 83/11 tatus [50] 4/20 5/20 43/15 43/16 43/18 43/19 43/21 43/23 44/23 45/6 46/19 46/22|such [3] 30/15 37/1 46/23 47/5 47/11 47/16| 37/1 47/17 47/18 48/2 48/4 49/12 49/13 49/16 49/22 50/14 50/21 50/24 51/4 51/10 55/11 55/12 55/13 55/25 58/25 59/9 60/12 62/17 62/25 63/2 63/8 63/15 71/20 stav [4] 34/24 42/1 69/18 82/1 staying [1] 51/5 tays [1] 81/25 teering [1] 45/5 tenographically [1] 83/8 tenographically-repo ted [1] 83/8 tenotype [1] 1/22 step [2] 22/3 44/4 teps [2] 21/24 25/12 still [15] 8/11 8/12 12/6 13/20 16/25 18/5 18/25 22/2 33/18 44/11 50/12 53/1 56/11 57/6 65/25 stones [2] 37/18 38/4 tood [1] 5/7 top [2] 4/8 79/5 stoplight [4] 25/14 49/21 61/18 61/25 topped [1] 18/24 trategizing [1] 45/8 treamline [1] 20/20 Street [1] 1/24 stretch [1] 70/16 trike [1] 59/7 trikes [1] 22/22

**stuff [9]** 8/15 31/14 31/17 32/24 33/11 33/22 64/25 67/16 80/7 systems [1] 80/1 **style [1]** 40/10 **stymied [1]** 34/10 78/21 submit [4] 38/3 38/18 39/22 40/17 submitted [2] 7/9 20/23 **submitting [1]** 44/23 **subset [5]** 9/5 10/1 20/24 42/23 43/1 substance [1] 4/5 substantial [1] 32/18 substantiate [1] 80/24 substantive [3] 4/6 45/14 46/15 43/24 44/3 44/12 44/15 substantively [1] 46/2 talking [20] 10/20 11/6 **sufficient [1]** 10/14 48/17 48/20 49/4 49/10 suggest [3] 23/2 32/16 suggested [3] 12/2 12/5 26/3 suggesting [2] 8/23 60/22 suggestion [1] 37/11 suggestions [1] 32/22 taste [1] 23/10 **summarizes** [1] 35/21 summarizing [2] 3/14 78/10 **summary [2]** 31/3 73/2 **summer [2]** 28/22 61/7 **super [1]** 13/20 supplement [1] 4/14 support [2] 22/24 58/19 **supposed [3]** 30/25 34/16 38/8 supposedly [2] 36/2 73/25 sure [23] 4/11 10/24 11/7 11/17 17/20 18/6 19/16 33/3 37/6 41/7 41/12 44/7 52/10 54/4 56/24 58/5 61/13 66/16 76/4 76/5 68/3 69/19 72/17 79/6 82/7 surprised [2] 56/19 57/5 **surprising [1]** 22/10 surrounding [1] 64/8 swear [1] 75/2 **system [4]** 40/22 41/5

take [17] 5/23 7/12 8/7 9/23 11/14 12/6 16/5 20/24 21/15 24/10 26/9 26/9 33/9 44/5 54/25 55/5 71/7 takeaways [1] 3/15 taken [6] 21/22 27/24 28/3 28/10 33/1 71/18 taking [6] 21/18 21/23 32/12 56/11 60/5 70/3 talk [5] 15/25 39/4 39/14 49/2 51/17 talked [4] 25/3 43/13 43/16 63/25 15/24 25/23 27/1 34/1 34/3 43/9 44/14 45/2 46/1 46/11 50/20 55/1 55/9 69/9 73/14 73/23 77/1 80/20 tangential [2] 22/23 37/16 tapes [2] 54/22 55/7 task [1] 49/1 tasking [1] 64/24 team [1] 39/5 tease [1] 61/25 technical [14] 43/18 46/23 47/5 47/10 47/11 47/16 49/10 50/21 53/21 55/13 56/13 56/16 58/21 61/14 **TELECONFERENCE [1]** 1/10 telephone [1] 2/25 tell [11] 13/6 15/25 26/21 31/15 40/25 61/9 62/23 64/13 72/20 74/5 80/23 telling [1] 14/20 tend [1] 28/23 tender [1] 19/6 tendered [1] 26/11 term [4] 3/13 16/18 terms [50] 10/23 10/24 17/9 17/12 17/19 17/23 18/12 20/14 21/5 26/18 26/23 33/23 34/7 34/12 48/15 52/1 52/16 52/18 53/8 53/9 53/16 53/17 56/4 57/13 58/2 58/3 58/5 59/9 60/25 61/16

systematic [2] 61/8

73/3 67/10 67/11 67/13 68/6 68/8 68/21 69/11 70/9 72/2 74/15 77/8 81/13 territory [1] 49/23 testified [7] 36/9 60/4 60/8 62/6 62/14 65/21 75/6 testifies [1] 33/25 testimony [9] 36/24 48/5 55/24 65/22 74/14 74/18 75/15 75/18 76/23 than [10] 10/24 21/20 22/24 39/1 39/23 41/7 54/6 57/3 68/12 69/10 thank [15] 2/8 2/17 11/8 15/3 15/5 23/20 24/4 24/16 37/5 37/9 37/19 47/4 52/4 58/9 82/12 that [618] that's [83] 3/18 5/3 5/8 10/5 10/21 11/18 15/5 17/9 19/3 20/1 20/9 26/22 27/5 27/11 28/11 35/3 35/13 35/22 36/6 37/10 37/12 38/9 38/9 38/19 38/25 40/7 40/20 41/13 41/16 43/8 43/14 44/19 44/24 45/4 46/6 46/10 46/11 46/17 47/21 48/14 49/6 50/5 50/17 50/18 50/18 52/4 53/25 55/4 58/14 59/22 59/23 63/16 63/17 63/24 66/9 66/22 67/24 68/22 71/15 71/16 71/16 71/24 72/15 72/17 72/19 73/4 75/5 75/16 75/22 75/22 76/5 76/15 76/18 76/21 77/4 77/14 77/25 78/25 79/17 79/24 81/5 81/8 81/13 their [26] 5/18 8/1 8/20 16/2 17/10 21/5 21/8 23/6 26/20 30/18 36/11 39/21 41/3 42/19 42/20 56/4 56/4 61/11 61/12 61/15 62/12 68/2 76/18 78/14 79/3 79/7 11/9 14/15 14/18 15/11 them [50] 3/25 6/18 6/19 6/21 6/22 7/9 10/4 12/6 17/20 19/21 20/19 27/2 27/2 28/15 29/3 30/13 31/13 32/18 33/20 33/21 34/10 41/6 41/10 41/13 41/18 42/3 42/7 47/22 48/23 54/23

63/8 67/1 67/4 67/8

42/12 80/2

Them [20] 54/24 54/24 54/24 55/6 55/7 55/19 60/17 68/1 70/20 71/5 71/9 71/25 75/14 75/14 77/16 79/16 80/17 80/23 80/24 81/18 then [51] 2/12 3/13 3/18 3/22 6/22 7/9 7/20 12/9 12/9 12/21 16/7 16/23 19/25 29/4 29/16 31/3 31/19 31/22 34/19 34/20 34/22 35/11 36/7 37/17 40/2 42/6 42/20 45/25 47/21 48/12 54/14 55/7 55/23 57/22 58/4 59/2 59/22 60/23 61/21 63/2 67/22 70/24 71/5 71/11 72/16 75/22 75/23 78/1 80/1 80/13 80/20 theoretically [2] 7/17 26/20 theory [1] 25/7 there [105] there's [18] 5/14 12/9 23/4 23/12 25/2 26/18 31/17 34/8 35/25 36/7 36/25 46/4 57/3 66/1 68/11 74/11 78/1 81/16 these [69] 5/18 6/21 9/4 9/5 11/23 14/11 20/7 20/13 20/15 20/15 20/22 20/25 21/15 21/15 21/22 22/20 24/20 25/6 25/15 26/2 26/15 26/19 26/22 31/16 31/16 32/11 33/5 33/18 34/6 34/11 34/11 35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22 44/23 45/23 47/24 48/7 48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/11 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'il [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5 76/9 78/11 78/12 78/23	U.S. v Jacky Lynn McComber - Case 1.2
54/24 54/24 55/6 55/7 55/19 60/17 68/1 70/20 71/5 71/9 71/25 75/14 75/14 77/16 79/16 80/17 80/23 80/24 81/18 then [51] 2/12 3/13 3/18 3/22 6/22 7/9 7/20 12/9 12/9 12/21 16/7 16/23 19/25 29/4 29/16 31/3 31/19 31/22 34/19 34/20 34/22 35/11 36/7 37/17 40/2 42/6 42/20 45/25 47/21 48/12 54/14 55/7 55/23 57/22 58/4 59/2 59/22 60/23 61/21 63/2 67/22 70/24 71/5 71/11 72/16 75/22 75/23 78/1 80/1 80/13 80/20 theoretically [2] 7/17 26/20 theory [1] 25/7 there [105] there's [18] 5/14 12/9 23/4 23/12 25/2 26/18 31/17 34/8 35/25 36/7 36/25 46/4 57/3 66/1 68/11 74/11 78/1 81/16 these [69] 5/18 6/21 9/4 9/5 11/23 14/11 20/7 20/13 20/15 20/15 21/15 21/22 22/20 24/20 25/6 25/15 26/2 26/15 26/19 26/22 31/16 31/16 32/11 33/5 33/18 34/6 34/11 34/11 35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22 44/23 45/23 47/24 48/7 48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/11 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'il [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	Т
80/17 80/23 80/24 81/18 then [51] 2/12 3/13 3/18 3/22 6/22 7/9 7/20 12/9 12/9 12/21 16/7 16/23 19/25 29/4 29/16 31/3 31/19 31/22 34/19 34/20 34/22 35/11 36/7 37/17 40/2 42/6 42/20 45/25 47/21 48/12 54/14 55/7 55/23 57/22 58/4 59/2 59/22 60/23 61/21 63/2 67/22 70/24 71/5 71/11 72/16 75/22 75/23 78/1 80/1 80/13 80/20 theoretically [2] 7/17 26/20 theory [1] 25/7 there [105] there's [18] 5/14 12/9 23/4 23/12 25/2 26/18 31/17 34/8 35/25 36/7 36/25 46/4 57/3 66/1 68/11 74/11 78/1 81/16 these [69] 5/18 6/21 9/4 9/5 11/23 14/11 20/7 20/13 20/15 20/15 20/22 20/25 21/15 21/15 21/22 22/20 24/20 25/6 25/15 26/2 26/15 26/19 26/22 31/16 31/16 32/11 33/5 33/18 34/6 34/11 34/11 35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22 44/23 45/23 47/24 48/7 48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/11 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'il [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	54/24 54/24 55/6 55/7 55/19 60/17 68/1 70/20 71/5 71/9 71/25 75/14
3/18 3/22 6/22 7/9 7/20 12/9 12/9 12/21 16/7 16/23 19/25 29/4 29/16 31/3 31/19 31/22 34/19 34/20 34/22 35/11 36/7 37/17 40/2 42/6 42/20 45/25 47/21 48/12 54/14 55/7 55/23 57/22 58/4 59/2 59/22 60/23 61/21 63/2 67/22 70/24 71/5 71/11 72/16 75/22 75/23 78/1 80/1 80/13 80/20 theoretically [2] 7/17 26/20 theory [1] 25/7 there [105] there's [18] 5/14 12/9 23/4 23/12 25/2 26/18 31/17 34/8 35/25 36/7 36/25 46/4 57/3 66/1 68/11 74/11 78/1 81/16 these [69] 5/18 6/21 9/4 9/5 11/23 14/11 20/7 20/13 20/15 20/15 21/15 21/22 22/20 24/20 25/6 25/15 26/2 26/15 26/19 26/22 31/16 31/16 32/11 33/5 33/18 34/6 34/11 34/11 35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22 44/23 45/23 47/24 48/7 48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/11 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'il [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	80/17 80/23 80/24 81/18
31/3 31/19 31/22 34/19 34/20 34/22 35/11 36/7 37/17 40/2 42/6 42/20 45/25 47/21 48/12 54/14 55/7 55/23 57/22 58/4 59/2 59/22 60/23 61/21 63/2 67/22 70/24 71/5 71/11 72/16 75/22 75/23 78/1 80/1 80/13 80/20 theoretically [2] 7/17 26/20 theory [1] 25/7 there [105] there's [18] 5/14 12/9 23/4 23/12 25/2 26/18 31/17 34/8 35/25 36/7 36/25 46/4 57/3 66/1 68/11 74/11 78/1 81/16 these [69] 5/18 6/21 9/4 9/5 11/23 14/11 20/7 20/13 20/15 20/15 21/15 21/22 22/20 24/20 25/6 25/15 26/2 26/15 26/19 26/22 31/16 31/16 32/11 33/5 33/18 34/6 34/11 34/11 35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22 44/23 45/23 47/24 48/7 48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/11 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'il [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	3/18 3/22 6/22 7/9 7/20 12/9 12/9 12/21 16/7
54/14 55/7 55/23 57/22 58/4 59/2 59/22 60/23 61/21 63/2 67/22 70/24 71/5 71/11 72/16 75/22 75/23 78/1 80/1 80/13 80/20 theoretically [2] 7/17 26/20 theory [1] 25/7 there [105] there's [18] 5/14 12/9 23/4 23/12 25/2 26/18 31/17 34/8 35/25 36/7 36/25 46/4 57/3 66/1 68/11 74/11 78/1 81/16 these [69] 5/18 6/21 9/4 9/5 11/23 14/11 20/7 20/13 20/15 20/15 20/22 20/25 21/15 21/15 21/12 22/20 24/20 25/6 25/15 26/2 26/15 26/19 26/22 31/16 31/16 32/11 33/5 33/18 34/6 34/11 34/11 35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22 44/23 45/23 47/24 48/7 48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/11 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'll [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	31/3 31/19 31/22 34/19 34/20 34/22 35/11 36/7
71/5 71/11 72/16 75/22 75/23 78/1 80/1 80/13 80/20 theoretically [2] 7/17 26/20 theory [1] 25/7 there [105] there's [18] 5/14 12/9 23/4 23/12 25/2 26/18 31/17 34/8 35/25 36/7 36/25 46/4 57/3 66/1 68/11 74/11 78/1 81/16 these [69] 5/18 6/21 9/4 9/5 11/23 14/11 20/7 20/13 20/15 20/15 21/15 21/22 22/20 24/20 25/6 25/15 26/2 26/15 26/19 26/22 31/16 31/16 32/11 33/5 33/18 34/6 34/11 34/11 35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22 44/23 45/23 47/24 48/7 48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'll [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	45/25 47/21 48/12 54/14 55/7 55/23 57/22 58/4 59/2 59/22 60/23
26/20 theory [1] 25/7 there [105] there's [18] 5/14 12/9 23/4 23/12 25/2 26/18 31/17 34/8 35/25 36/7 36/25 46/4 57/3 66/1 68/11 74/11 78/1 81/16 these [69] 5/18 6/21 9/4 9/5 11/23 14/11 20/7 20/13 20/15 20/15 20/22 20/25 21/15 21/15 21/22 22/20 24/20 25/6 25/15 26/2 26/15 26/19 26/22 31/16 31/16 32/11 33/5 33/18 34/6 34/11 34/11 35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22 44/23 45/23 47/24 48/7 48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/11 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'II [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	71/5 71/11 72/16 75/22 75/23 78/1 80/1 80/13 80/20
there [105] there's [18] 5/14 12/9 23/4 23/12 25/2 26/18 31/17 34/8 35/25 36/7 36/25 46/4 57/3 66/1 68/11 74/11 78/1 81/16 these [69] 5/18 6/21 9/4 9/5 11/23 14/11 20/7 20/13 20/15 20/15 20/22 20/25 21/15 21/15 21/22 22/20 24/20 25/6 25/15 26/2 26/15 26/19 26/22 31/16 31/16 32/11 33/5 33/18 34/6 34/11 34/11 35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22 44/23 45/23 47/24 48/7 48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'II [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	26/20
there's [18] 5/14 12/9 23/4 23/12 25/2 26/18 31/17 34/8 35/25 36/7 36/25 46/4 57/3 66/1 68/11 74/11 78/1 81/16 these [69] 5/18 6/21 9/4 9/5 11/23 14/11 20/7 20/13 20/15 20/15 20/22 20/25 21/15 21/15 21/22 22/20 24/20 25/6 25/15 26/2 26/15 26/19 26/22 31/16 31/16 32/11 33/5 33/18 34/6 34/11 34/11 35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22 44/23 45/23 47/24 48/7 48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/11 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'll [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	there [105]
these [69] 5/18 6/21 9/4 9/5 11/23 14/11 20/7 20/13 20/15 20/15 20/22 20/25 21/15 21/15 21/22 22/20 24/20 25/6 25/15 26/2 26/15 26/19 26/22 31/16 31/16 32/11 33/5 33/18 34/6 34/11 34/11 35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22 44/23 45/23 47/24 48/7 48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/11 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'II [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	there's [18] 5/14 12/9 23/4 23/12 25/2 26/18 31/17 34/8 35/25 36/7 36/25 46/4 57/3 66/1
21/15 21/22 22/20 24/20 25/6 25/15 26/2 26/15 26/19 26/22 31/16 31/16 32/11 33/5 33/18 34/6 34/11 34/11 35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22 44/23 45/23 47/24 48/7 48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/11 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'II [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	these [69] 5/18 6/21 9/4 9/5 11/23 14/11 20/7 20/13 20/15 20/15
33/18 34/6 34/11 34/11 35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22 44/23 45/23 47/24 48/7 48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/11 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'll [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	24/20 25/6 25/15 26/2 26/15 26/19 26/22
48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/11 55/13 56/1 56/2 57/13 59/5 59/8 59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'll [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	35/2 35/12 35/14 36/14 36/22 38/16 38/22
59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20 77/2 they [136] they'll [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	48/15 50/8 50/21 52/14 54/11 54/14 55/10 55/10 55/11 55/13 56/1
they [136] they'll [5] 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/8 30/11 they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	59/8 60/13 61/23 65/25 66/14 67/12 70/19 70/22 76/8 76/12 76/20
they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5	they [136] they'll [5] 6/14 6/16
	they're [14] 6/20 6/23 7/12 41/13 42/18 54/22 56/20 60/11 68/16 76/5

they've [3] 5/1 5/1

9/15

29/7

thing [22] 16/19 17/11 26/2 26/2 29/20 30/15 35/5 35/25 37/1 37/10 37/23 38/6 39/3 47/7 48/21 67/15 68/3 71/1 71/15 71/16 75/6 78/7 things [30] 4/1 8/20 9/12 13/3 26/12 27/19 29/19 31/16 31/16 35/13 35/14 36/14 38/16 48/16 48/24 48/25 54/12 55/14 55/22 58/7 60/6 61/23 65/19 65/25 66/17 68/10 70/4 70/11 71/14 73/20 think [98] thinking [4] 23/8 27/22 73/24 81/15 **thinks** [1] 27/16 **thirds** [1] 75/11 this [214] **Thomas [5]** 1/23 2/7 2/8 83/4 83/16 those [49] 7/9 8/21 10/4 11/2 11/14 12/3 12/7 12/10 12/25 13/1 16/17 17/12 18/10 18/21 19/23 20/2 21/16 times [4] 26/8 32/25 24/7 30/8 40/23 43/10 47/18 48/2 48/22 50/14 today [5] 2/21 3/2 3/7 50/24 51/17 51/18 51/21 51/21 52/11 53/2 today's [3] 3/24 4/19 53/9 53/16 55/19 55/21 5/3 55/22 59/2 62/14 63/9 64/12 67/9 67/11 68/10 64/25 80/22 68/20 71/10 72/12 74/19 79/5 though [1] 58/23 thought [16] 3/4 12/6 12/16 27/1 28/3 28/14 29/18 30/18 31/7 32/5 37/15 38/10 38/10 38/25 41/8 68/17 three [19] 5/21 8/22 10/15 13/2 39/11 50/17 50/18 50/18 50/23 62/16 63/16 63/18 65/4 topic [4] 16/9 40/2 65/16 73/7 73/10 73/12 49/2 68/7 75/21 76/14 three-month [2] 39/11 65/16 through [16] 3/16 5/7 7/22 8/1 8/8 10/22 12/7 toto [1] 11/20 19/5 26/9 28/20 30/23 31/6 32/5 48/1 55/3 80/1 throwing [1] 34/9 track [1] 35/24 traffic [1] 31/21 thumb [3] 29/3 29/6

**Thursday [1]** 8/14 tie [1] 49/18 Tiffany [1] 52/24 time [77] 3/11 3/23 4/17 5/7 5/15 6/15 6/20 transcript [8] 34/20 6/23 8/6 8/12 9/1 9/23 9/24 10/17 10/19 10/23 10/24 11/19 11/22 11/25 13/19 13/21 14/22 15/20 15/21 15/22 15/24 16/4 16/5 16/8 16/20 17/7 17/13 18/14 18/23 19/9 19/16 48/13 76/10 20/1 21/17 21/21 24/24 trial [40] 12/17 12/18 25/3 28/21 29/4 29/10 29/24 30/10 30/22 30/25 31/2 32/13 33/1 33/10 33/13 33/14 33/15 35/8 35/23 36/17 41/16 42/13 42/14 50/4 51/18 51/23 53/13 55/6 55/8 57/6 62/2 65/17 66/23 69/24 70/3 75/5 75/12 81/12 time-consuming [1] 11/25 timeline [1] 4/22 timely [2] 21/11 24/4 66/24 74/17 4/1 26/12 together [3] 61/4 **told [4]** 9/18 14/9 30/12 48/22 tolerate [3] 39/9 39/10 42/17 tone [1] 40/4 too [7] 33/4 42/14 44/19 46/5 70/2 76/8 82/12 took [5] 22/17 25/12 32/4 42/25 54/10 top [2] 14/13 72/21 topics [3] 40/2 54/14 55/11 total [2] 10/24 36/8 totally [1] 70/16 touch [1] 28/23 towards [1] 73/16 trace [1] 34/3

trained [1] 79/7 tranche [3] 13/7 53/15 53/16 tranches [1] 53/15 36/7 36/20 62/9 77/22 82/5 83/8 83/9 transcription [1] 1/22 transcripts [3] 36/4 36/19 36/19 transit [1] 68/4 transmitted [3] 48/12 12/19 13/3 13/15 16/21 16/25 18/22 22/17 27/24 28/21 29/13 36/7 36/20 36/21 48/5 48/7 53/2 60/5 62/3 62/8 64/20 65/1 65/15 65/16 67/10 67/12 67/25 69/4 73/18 75/2 75/3 75/15 78/21 78/22 79/3 tried [2] 25/7 32/16 troubled [3] 23/3 23/16 38/9 troubling [2] 23/21 49/15 true [2] 70/21 83/7 try [3] 26/12 80/18 80/23 trying [26] 12/16 24/20 29/21 32/11 33/4 2/11 83/5 83/11 35/23 38/20 40/1 40/1 40/21 50/4 50/10 50/10 42/19 76/6 54/5 56/6 56/8 58/15 63/20 66/1 71/11 73/6 75/7 turn [4] 22/12 44/2 69/13 73/1 turned [5] 13/1 30/15 31/3 31/10 58/1 twice [2] 72/20 73/12 two [20] 5/15 18/16 18/20 30/24 35/10 41/18 41/19 41/20 43/15 44/15 46/17 50/20 53/14 55/19 58/13 65/5 65/10 68/10 75/11 78/3 two-thirds [1] 75/11 **U.S [2]** 2/10 16/12

57/11 57/18 57/24 **U.S.C** [1] 83/7 **UFOU [1]** 20/16 **UFOUO [10]** 14/18

15/2 15/3 17/12 18/8 18/10 26/25 27/2 63/6 63/11 Ugh [1] 48/22 ultimately [3] 61/17 78/24 81/4 umbrella [1] 43/23 umbrellas [1] 45/23 unclassified [4] 15/3 18/11 53/1 53/1 under [8] 32/25 35/6 43/22 45/23 46/25 47/22 53/11 55/13 understand [10] 12/16 45/24 48/24 49/2 49/10 49/16 54/5 60/11 69/3 74/2 29/14 33/22 35/12 36/6 understandably [1] 45/16 understanding [12] 7/5 9/8 12/21 13/12 18/6 27/4 28/2 28/8 28/12 50/6 51/1 77/10 understands [3] 42/15 50/23 81/21 understatement [2] 5/20 33/24 understood [6] 11/17 22/20 43/3 70/6 70/19 78/25 undertaken [1] 64/4 unduly [1] 45/18 **unfair [1]** 37/13 unfortunate [1] 61/3 13/24 18/5 24/11 24/18 **UNITED [6]** 1/1 1/3 2/3 unreasonable [2] until [3] 9/14 20/25 30/22 **up [33]** 4/17 7/13 9/23 13/1 15/18 25/14 28/15 29/13 30/12 31/19

34/10 34/13 34/17 40/22 41/18 41/24 42/3 46/5 47/15 52/24 58/2 60/6 65/9 65/18 67/20 69/17 69/18 76/13 78/8 79/3 79/7 81/24 82/2 upload [4] 54/22 54/24 55/6 55/7 uploaded [6] 54/23

57/25 58/1 upsetting [1] 57/2 us [29] 3/20 4/17 5/1 6/3 9/18 9/19 10/3 11/14 13/19 14/20 14/23 14/25 17/2 18/9 19/21 25/17 26/6 28/23

train [2] 46/8 79/5

<u> </u>
us [11] 28/24 29/15
29/25 34/9 50/4 54/23
61/4 64/13 76/20 78/10
80/6
use [12] 8/24 9/14
13/7 15/4 28/6 34/6
39/4 39/20 41/19 42/12
45/20 48/15
used [3] 40/4 52/16
72/2
useful [1] 40/20
using [2] 17/4 58/4

usually [1] 23/9

U

vacancy [1] 62/1 value [3] 30/1 33/22 34/13 various [1] 48/7 vast [1] 71/9 verify [6] 60/23 71/19 71/19 72/15 72/17 77/21 very [25] 4/24 7/15 8/10 9/22 11/17 22/14 23/21 24/11 25/1 25/7 25/17 25/23 27/12 35/16 45/16 45/17 49/24 50/9 51/14 52/9 68/16 76/2 79/20 79/25 82/12 virtue [1] 13/10 visit [1] 71/12 volume [3] 11/1 30/6

# W

31/22

volumes [1] 30/24

voluminous [1] 27/25

wait [3] 8/22 13/24 50/17 waiving [1] 23/17 walked [1] 32/24 want [40] 3/14 5/5 6/24 7/7 7/8 7/22 8/17 13/5 16/8 16/19 16/19 23/23 26/24 27/23 28/20 35/12 37/6 38/2 39/7 40/7 40/16 41/13 42/12 44/4 44/9 48/20 50/11 52/6 58/23 60/3 67/18 68/12 70/2 70/7 70/13 71/1 71/5 79/16 79/19 81/11 wanted [18] 4/5 8/15 11/16 15/5 16/10 17/19 17/23 19/15 19/16 26/6 26/19 35/25 38/18 41/20 48/11 65/22

68/17 73/19 wanting [1] 58/19 was [246] wasn't [10] 12/17 12/18 37/17 37/18 49/11 55/12 58/4 67/19 75/23 77/20 waste [1] 35/7 way [14] 20/19 25/2 28/6 29/21 35/6 37/25 38/3 44/16 49/17 57/3 61/3 61/3 61/8 81/16 ways [1] 56/20 we [219] We'd [1] 5/8 we'll [9] 6/16 6/18 7/10 9/13 9/13 21/15 33/10 40/2 78/1 we're [45] 4/11 5/3 8/25 9/1 9/10 9/11 14/10 15/12 16/21 16/25 16/25 33/14 35/16 35/23 39/4 39/8 40/14 40/22 42/13 43/8 43/12 44/11 46/6 46/11 51/9 56/24 61/16 62/13 66/9 66/11 67/10 68/1 69/17 71/6 72/23 76/19 77/3 77/7 77/13 78/19 79/1 79/8 80/5 80/11 82/7 we've [7] 22/25 33/12 37/14 48/22 49/22 56/24 66/23 **Wednesday [1]** 8/14 week [5] 3/2 25/15 34/15 35/5 75/10 weekly [2] 43/19 49/11 weeks [27] 1/16 2/21 31/10 35/19 44/3 44/5 44/12 47/4 49/15 55/6

56/6 58/10 58/13 60/13 61/2 61/9 61/24 63/24 69/7 70/5 71/2 73/2 74/16 77/9 80/10 81/8 82/9 Weil [2] 2/23 28/1

well [39] 4/13 5/15 6/3 7/8 8/2 8/6 10/13 12/20 15/15 17/10 18/5 20/22 21/14 26/2 27/19 28/13 37/5 41/21 42/6 42/9 44/22 49/16 49/24 51/21 53/10 55/16 56/19 58/9 58/23 60/21 63/11 74/24 75/18 76/7 76/21 77/13 78/7 78/15 80/9

went [10] 8/9 18/13 19/5 30/22 30/22 31/18

32/1 34/19 42/24 66/16 77/7 78/20 78/24 79/12 were [125] weren't [13] 3/5 9/18 18/13 54/20 60/22 66/5 Who is [1] 31/9 67/9 73/20 74/6 76/14 77/7 77/7 78/24 what [157] what's [6] 36/18 39/14 whole [5] 5/14 22/14 43/25 49/15 72/10 78/8 31/6 38/6 73/15 whatever [7] 14/21 18/22 19/14 24/21 29/9

42/14 79/16 whatsoever [3] 12/5 47/14 71/10 when [44] 3/3 8/7 8/9 10/19 10/21 11/18 16/21 18/14 18/21

23/17 24/15 27/12 28/2 29/25 30/12 30/23 30/25 31/4 31/18 32/25 32/25 33/19 33/23 34/3 34/10 34/13 34/16 38/13 41/24 48/1 48/19 48/20 48/24 53/1 57/25 willing [5] 24/22 37/16 yes [47] 2/6 2/24 59/23 62/5 67/11 67/20 38/25 50/13 50/13 68/3 68/21 70/2 71/2

73/4 where [10] 5/6 21/1 25/23 26/16 30/24 38/9 wishes [2] 20/17 24/8 42/13 43/4 59/18 79/24 within [4] 48/11 56/3 whether [13] 5/16 9/16 56/3 71/13 9/25 16/20 16/23 21/8 21/8 28/24 44/17 50/3 50/16 70/9 75/19 which [40] 3/13 5/9 6/19 9/14 9/20 10/16 11/10 15/20 16/20 18/16 18/23 23/1 23/4 25/1 26/20 29/3 29/19 29/20 32/13 34/21 36/4 36/18 36/24 40/10 44/15 45/20 45/20

63/14 64/20 64/22 65/5 65/6 65/10 65/16 65/17 72/10 whichever [1] 6/16 while [6] 6/12 7/12 27/22 33/12 54/11 69/7 who [50] 2/9 2/13 2/18 6/11 12/22 13/22 17/3 17/15 21/4 21/7 26/14 26/14 29/12 29/13 31/9 36/9 36/9 36/9 36/11 42/15 42/16 44/3 51/11 53/25 54/10 60/7 61/5 61/6 62/6 62/7 64/12

50/15 55/20 57/5 58/5

81/6 71/24 74/18 74/19 75/12 76/13 76/14 77/3 working [4] 5/17

79/13 80/13 80/19 80/20 **who's [1]** 15/25 whoa [4] 13/19 13/19 15/18 15/18 why [10] 12/16 12/18 18/23 29/25 33/18 34/24 45/13 53/20 57/5 61/1

wide [1] 80/8 wild [2] 34/7 48/16 will [27] 4/8 6/11 7/9 8/1 15/21 15/22 16/7 16/8 19/7 19/8 19/25 20/11 22/17 22/24 24/10 26/11 29/17 33/19 38/6 40/17 45/21 year [1] 75/2 55/5 56/12 77/11 77/12 years [2] 11/4 34/16 77/19 78/8

wisdom [1] 81/13 wish [4] 4/2 16/9 39/22 40/3

without [3] 19/21 35/12 80/7 witnesses [2] 36/9

36/22 won't [2] 7/5 9/13 wonder [1] 9/25 wondering [1] 21/6 word [7] 13/7 20/7 28/5 28/6 45/20 68/13 81/7

words [2] 15/8 17/15

work [48] 8/4 9/11 16/3 19/24 23/7 23/14 23/22 24/6 24/11 24/25 24/25 25/1 25/7 25/24 29/6 33/17 33/23 36/11 36/13 37/1 37/2 37/4 42/21 44/16 44/20 45/2 you've [13] 16/3 18/7 45/10 45/11 45/14 45/23 46/8 46/24 50/10 50/13 56/17 58/17 58/21 59/4 60/9 64/7 64/10 66/16 66/20 66/21 74/21 79/4 80/22

66/12 66/22 67/23 69/8 28/14 36/9 47/15 56/2 75/13

worked [6] 12/22

25/16 33/15 37/14 works [2] 77/4 81/3 world [1] 66/10 worry [2] 4/11 26/4 worth [1] 10/16 would [99] wouldn't [3] 54/5 55/12 76/16 wow [1] 66/15 write [2] 7/20 7/23 writing [3] 38/3 38/18 39/22 writing to [1] 39/22

written [1] 40/18 wrong [2] 20/16 72/2 wrote [2] 10/25 12/4

Yeah [6] 6/7 13/12 16/10 54/15 69/13 76/7 yep [1] 51/3 13/13 14/7 14/13 15/13 17/24 18/10 18/19 19/2 19/18 25/5 27/5 28/17 35/4 37/7 37/24 39/6 39/17 40/6 41/11 44/14 45/3 46/15 51/17 52/8 57/15 58/3 58/12 60/25 64/14 64/18 66/7 68/7 70/1 70/21 70/25 72/12 73/10 77/9 77/23 78/7 78/19 79/22 79/23 80/25 82/10 yet [3] 4/17 4/18 35/22

you [215] You'll [1] 26/15 you're [30] 7/1 7/4 7/15 11/6 15/9 23/16 24/14 24/19 27/10 27/22 28/13 34/3 34/25 35/15 44/10 44/25 45/2 46/1 49/24 50/4 50/13 62/11 66/18 69/7 72/18 73/9 74/4 74/14 77/9 80/17

23/13 24/21 27/12 38/17 39/13 45/16 49/17 50/9 62/23 66/18 74/17

your [110] yourself [2] 62/11 76/22